

In The Supreme Court Of The United States

No. 15-674

Cristial R. Satterwhite,et Al., Petitioners

V.

Frisch's Restaurant Inc, et al.,

On Writ Of Certiorari

To The United States Court Of Appeals

Petition For Rehearing

Cristial R. Satterwhite petitioner

1801 Maple Ave # 3

Cincinnati, Ohio 45212

(513)544-9186

Crissicris.cs@gmail.com

October 17th ,2018





Table of Contents

Table of Contents. Pg.1

Table of Authorities/rules/states pg.2

Petition for rehearing pg. 3

Reason for granting pg.3

- I. Reason for granting
- II. Law pg. 4

Conclusion pg. 5

Certificate pg.6

Table Of Authorities

Cases

- Sullivan v. Oregon Ford Inc., 552 F. Supp. 2d 681 (N.D. Ohio 2008) Judgment aff'd 559 F.3d 594 (6th Cir. 2009)
- Lovejoy v. EMH regional medical, ctr. 2008-ohio3205 2008 WL 258399 (Ohio Ct. App. 9th Dist. Lorain county 2008)
- Johnson-Steven v. Broadway Sunoco, 2008-Ohio 691 2008 WL 458483 (Ohio Ct. App. 8th Dist. Cuyahoga county 2008)
- Steadly v. Montanya, 67 Ohio St 2d, 21 Ohio op. 3d 187, 423 N.E. 2d 851 (1981)

Statutes & Rules

- R.C. 16:42. Knowledge of dangerous conditions
- R.C. 16:35. Sign usage
- C.R. 39:2. 37:26 waiving right to trial
- C.R. 37:26 resolve difference before filing
- R.C. 292.03(a). Intimidation
- 16:33. Reasonably safe condition
- R. C. 5321.04. Repair and keep conditions habitable
- C.R. 16:8 Proof of defect
- C. R. 16:8(2). At least 1 person had knowledge of hazard
- C.R. 16:8(3). Danger existed for a certain length of time
- C. R. 60 (b) motion for relief of judgment
- R.C. 39:15 & 39:16. Relief of judgment

Amendment

- 7th. Amendment the right to trial

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 15-674

Cristial R. Satterwhite , et al., Appellate

V.

Frisch's Restaurant Inc.

Petition for Rehearing

Pursuant to rule 44 in this court, I the appellate Cristial Satterwhite respectfully request a Rehearing in the above captioned case, and respectfully ask the Courts Grant the Rehearing of this case based on these merits.

I. Reason for granting petition

I the appellate Cristial Satterwhite was a guest at Frisch's Restaurant inc. located in the city of Norwood, Ohio on January 14th, 2014 along side of my mother Jacqueline Timberlake and unborn 7 month old fetus Janvier Shauntee. Where I became injured by an electric shock from the hot/cold steam table, which caused a burn on my lower abdomen from the shock it's self. The shock caused premature labor and nerve damage from the burn site down to my legs and feet. After receiving a series of treatment which included spinal injections, laser therapy, pain management, and rehabilitation over a four year span the doctor has concluded that a surgery will be necessary due to the amount of damage done to the nerves which is found to be pain producing. I was insured by Frisch's that their insurance would cover all treatment and would compensate for any damages. Later I found that Frisch's was operating in bad faith by not operating under the promises that was made to me and my family. My family has been directly impacted by the negligence of Frisch's because prior to the accident I was 100 percent healthy with no neurological problems and was able to work, and since the accident I have been unable to work and have to rely on medication for comfort. This debilitating injury is solely from the mechanical negligence on behalf of Frisch's.

Frisch's had promised to handle this situation after proof of the injury was submitted to their company, and all was done and Frisch's failed to own responsibility to their failed actions. I have cooperated with Frisch's and in the end result they feel as if it's ok to ruin an entire family's life and not hold themselves responsible to their failed actions. I did nothing to provoke being electrocuted but yet the injury still exists.

II. Law.

The law states that there should be a sign or verbal warning if danger is expected which Frisch's failed to do. The Law also states that if a guest is injured on your premises with no fault of their own, then the responsibility falls on the owner of the premises. Law also states that any premises shall operate in a safe condition, which Frisch's failed to do by not keeping up on frequent inspections to insure safety to their guest and employees. Frisch's owes the right of duty to settle seeing that they have all evidence of proof of health prior to accident and after the accident. I am owed the right to a trial by jury which I have failed to receive due to the manipulation of this case . I have truthful expert evidence that support my claim which Frisch's has copies of ,and I demand Justice to me and my family for the pain and suffering caused to me and my family. The law frowns on intimidation which my attorney and I experienced on behalf of Frisch's by their attorney which caused two attorneys to withdraw from the cases and caused concrete evidence not to be filed in the courts by the last representing attorney.

Conclusion

Mrs. Satterwhite's 7th amendment was taking from her the right to trial by jury.

Had Frisch's acted in good faith that was established between plaintiff and Frisch's employee manager Charles Black this petition would not be a present issue. Had Frisch's abided by the Laws, and rules in place incidents can be prevented. The negligence showed on the maintenance of their equipment could have been prevented, Frisch's had no record of any preventive maintenance done or inspections done on any equipment. Had Mrs. Satterwhite been told or a sign to warn of possible dangers Mrs. Satterwhite would have gave up the right to pursue for it being at her own risk or fault. When Mechanical failure is present it one is electrical and older or have not been maintained properly. The fact that Charles Black knew the steam bar could not operate on high would cause a problem stated that he knew of possible dangers that could've been prevented. The fact that Frisch's tampered with the new evidence erasing 23 or so minutes of the tape and edited the tape implies that something did happen which is worth listening to and seeing. It's when one person is not stopped that it gives lead way for continued issues, today steam table tomorrow equipment malfunction rides and etc. Which all came from not abided by rules set to keep normal and average people safe. The evidence to support the injury was not entered due purely to intimidation and misguidance by counsel. Documents proves that during the time of trial and or Summery of Judgement evidence was being generated after said trial date. The Justice system over looked the facts that are provided which would've and should've allowed a Rehearing or new trial set for Mrs. Satterwhite. On these basis I am asking that God allows you as the courts to see the accurate truth about this case and Grant Mrs. Satterwhite Rehearing for this case is not just a number it is her life a life that was stolen during the most venerable time in her life. Mrs. Satterwhite can not return to the workforce due to the chronic pain and medicines Mrs. Satterwhite is now forced to live with. I ask for the court to Grant the petition for hearing an order full briefing and argument on the merits of this case.