1	∥ Ω	Let's assume that the evidence proves premedicated
2		murder, let's just assume that, would you think then
3		that the death sentence should automatically follow?
4	A	Not automatically.
5	Ω	Can you explain that? I know I'm asking some hard
6	į.	questions, but I hope you understand that we have to
7		ask them and I hope you'll be as candid and
8		explanatory as you can?
9.	A	Could you repeat the question?
10	Q	If you found, let's assume at the end of the first
11		phase that the jury determined that the State had
12		proved premeditated murder, beyond a reasonable
13		doubt, do you got that?
14	Α.	Uhm-uhm.
15	Q	Would you feel that the death sentence would
16		automatically come into play?
17	A	No, I think the way the murder was committed would
18		have to come into play there, if it was unusual and
19		real cruel in any way, that would have to be taken
20		into consideration.
21	Q	Would that in and of itself dictate or mandate the
22		death sentence, in your mind?
23	A	It's really hard to say. I'd have to hear all the
24		evidence and really consider exactly what happened to
25		come to a conclusion.

1	Q	Off the top of your head can you think of some cases
2	,	which, in your mind, would undoubtedly mandate the
3		death penalty?
4	A	Well, the case here recently with the hanging, the
5		little boy, I felt the death penalty was called for
6		there.
7	Q	The one in Washington?
8 .	A	Right.
9	Ď	I believe there were three involved?
10	A	Right.
11	Q	Sir, the Defendant stated that if you let me out I'll
12		do it again?
13	A	Right.
14 .	Õ.	That's one illustration. Can you think of any
15		others?
16 .	·A	I'm not sure of the case but where there was serial
17	† 	killing where they have killed and killed again, in
18		that case I feel the death penalty would be called
19		for.
20	Q	Do you think you'd want to hear about if it reached
21		that phase, here about the Defendant's background and
22		his family and so forth and how he was raised; would
23		you want to know about the Defendant as a person?
24	A	Yeah, I would.
25	Q	Is this a fair statement that you don't necessarily

A Yes.

1 2

- Let's assume that you are selected as a juror and that you come to the first phase and you make the determination that the Defendant is guilty of murder and at the second phase, after hearing all the evidence in both phases, you come to the conclusion that the appropriate sentence is life imprisonment without parole. Let's assume that, and after all the discussion, you are the only one who believes that and the other 11 believe that it should be death, would you be willing to stick by your guns?
- A Yes, if I came to that conclusion and that's the way
 I believed, I would stick to my guns and stay with
 that decision.
- Q I know that under the circumstances of this case and the system, is there anything that I haven't asked you about, Mr. Corrin, that you feel might render it difficult or impossible for you to sit as a fair and impartial juror?
- A No, I think I could do a good job.
- Q Let's assume, do you have any fear at the present time that whatever your decision might be, even though some of your friends or your wife or somebody

1		more aggravating circumstances that make this case a
2]	special murder case; do you understand?
. 3	A	Uhm-uhm.
4	Ω	Those are circumstances that are basically enacted by
5		our legislature; do you understand?
6	A	Yes.
7	Ω	Not all murder cases if there is a conviction,
8		justify imposition of the death penalty; do you
9		understand?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	I would be attempting to prove one or more
12		aggravating circumstances that would permit you to
13 .		impose the death penalty. Do you see what I'm
1,4		saying?
15	A	Right.
16	Q	Now, if you got to that point, do you think you could
17		follow the Court's instructions concerning what those
18		other aggravating circumstances might be on
19		imposition of the death penalty?
20 .	A	Yeah.
21	Q	Do you think you could follow the Court's
22	,	instructions even if you didn't necessarily agree
23		those were aggravating circumstances?
24	A	I could follow the Court's instructions, yeah.
25	Q	And then of course even if you did find an
	H	

1.3

1.8

aggravating circumstance or more, if you were still looking at all the evidence and deciding it was appropriate to give a life sentence, you wouldn't have to give the death sentence, do you understand?

- A Yeah.
- When you got the questionnaire, obviously you stopped listening to anything about the case. Did you have an idea that you might actually be called in?
- A Well, no, just to fill out the questionnaire and get called in for the case, other than that.
- Q But you did get called in?
- A Yeah.
 - You have been here for quite sometime, I know.

 I want to ask you the same sort of question. Now that you have been called in, there is a very real possibility that you might be on this jury, and I'm wondering now that you have thought a little bit about the death penalty, I want to have you see if you can visualize what that might be like. Assuming that you did find Mr. Rhines guilty of first degree murder and you went through the entire sentencing phase process and you were convinced that the death penalty was the appropriate sentence, at some point in time if you were on that jury you'd come back with the other 11 jurors and you'd face the Court and Mr.

Q

Rhines and that verdict would be read, that verdict imposing death upon him. Can you visualize yourself being part of such a jury returning that type of verdict?

- A Yeah.
 - One other question along those lines and that is, that jury could be called upon individually, one by one, to announce whether or not they agree with that verdict, whether that is their unanimous verdict. If you were called upon, do you think you could visualize yourself facing the Judge or Mr. Rhines and saying, yes, I have considered all the evidence and that's my verdict, to put you to death? Do you think you could do that?
- A Yeah, after reviewing the evidence if that's the decision I come to I would stand behind it.
 - And no matter what your decision was, would you consider the views of the other jurors as you tried to come to the right decision? I think the Court would instruct you that you are to make up your own individual decision as you vote, but in so doing you are to at least consider the views of the other jurors as you reach the decision, and would you try to do that?
- A I'd consider their opinions, but once I came to my

. 1	đecis	sion I'd stan	d behind	it.			
2	Q Pass	for cause.	•	? . :			
3	MR. BUTLE	ER: I have o	ne more q	uestion.			
4	THE COURT	T: I'll perm	it you th	at.			
5	Q (By M	Mr. Butler:)	I want y	ou to think	about	this.	
6	Which	h type of sen	tence đo	you consider	to be	more	
7	sever	re, life impr	isonment	without paro	le or	the de	ath
8	penal	Lty?					
9	A The d	ieath penalty	•).* 			
10	THE COURT	r: Mr. Groff	, any fur	ther questio	ns in	view c	£
11	that?	?				¥	
12	MR. GROFF	7: None.	•	V.			
13	THE COURT	Sir, you	remain a	prospective	juror :	in thi	.s
14	case.	. If you have	e not hea	rd from us b	y next	Tuesd	lay
15	at no	oon, call nex	t Tuesday	at noon to	check :	in. Y	ou.
16	are n	not to discus	s this ca	se with anyo	ne nor	allow	7
17	anyon	ne to discuss	it with	you or let a	nyone	discus	s
18	it in	n your presen	ce, and a	s always, yo	u are :	not to	,
19	read	or watch or	listen to	any media a	ccount	s	,
20	conce	erning this c	ase. Can	you promise	we No.	u'11 ć	lo
21	that?	. I kiom Aon	have don	e it in the	past?		
22	ROBERT ÇO	RRIN: Yes,	I will.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	
23	THE COURT	: Thank you	. Defens	e may exerci	se.		
24	The r	record will s	how that	the defense	has ex	ercise	ĕ₫

its peremptory number eight and the Clerk will summon

in another prospective juror. Miss Tree Top, you have previously been sworn in and you remain under oath now. Defense may inquire.

(Prospective Juror VERA TREE TOP, having previously been sworn, testified as follows:)

EXAMINATION BY MR. STONEFIELD:

- Q Good afternoon, ma'am. Your name is Vera Tree Top?
- A Yes, it is.
- My name is Mike Stonefield and I'm one of the attorneys that's representing Charles Rhines who is the man seated in the middle of the table here and this is Mr. Gilbert and Mr. Butler, the other attorneys involved in this case. I assume that from the orientation the other day that you understand why you're here now?
- A Yes, I do.
- You understand what the procedure is about and what the charge is in this case?
- A Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Let me ask you, first of all, do you have any knowledge of Mr. Rhines, other than what you might have read or heard in the media?
- A No, I don't.
- Q Did you know Donnivan Schaeffer or do you have any knowledge of his family?

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)

IN CIRCUIT COURT

COUNTY OF PENNINGTON)

SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA,

Plaintiff,

٧.

JURY TRIAL

CHARLES RUSSELL RHINES;

93-81

Defendant.

VOLUME III

PROCEEDINGS: The following matters were had before the HONORABLE JOHN K. KONENKAMP, Circuit Judge at Rapid City, South Dakota, on the 6th day of January, 1993.

APPEARANCES: MR. DENNIS GROFF, MR. JAY MILLER, and. MR. MARK VARGO State's Attorney's Office Pennington County Rapid City, South Dakota

FOR THE STATE

MR. JOSEPH BUTLER Attorney at Law PO Box 2670 Rapid City, South Dakota

and

MR. WAYNE GILBERT Attorney at Law 3202 West Main Street Rapid City, South Dakota

and

MR. MICHAEL STONEFIELD Public Defender Pennington County Rapid City, South Dakota

FOR THE DEFENDANT

J 74 /

1	objected to earlier, with respect to Karl Bachman.
2	This peremptory challenge just exercised is as to
3	Kelli Hagemann who was an individual that falls into
4	that same class of conscionable persons as Karl
5	Bachman, the persons that have personal or moral
6	reservations about the death penalty and also as well
7	as all the grounds urged at the time that the
8	objection was made with respect to Karl Bachman.
9	THE COURT: I will consider the arguments you made at
10	that time in connection with your objection at this
L1	time and your objection is overruled, and the State's
12	exercise of Kelli Hagemann will stand.
.3	The Clerk will call another prospective juror. Mr.
.4	Walton, thank you for your patience. We previously
.5	had you sworn in and you still remain under oath at
.6	this time.
.7	BOBBY WALTON: Okay.
. В	THE COURT: Defense may inquire.
.9	(Prospective Juror BOBBY WALTON, having previously been
0	sworn, testified as follows:)
1	EXAMINATION BY MR. BUTLER:
2	Q You are Bobby Charles Walton?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q I'm Joe Butler and I'm one of the attorneys for the

Defendant, Mr Rhines and associated with me is Mr.

. 3

Wayne Gilbert, another attorney representing Mr. Rhines and Mike Stonefield, also an attorney associated with representing Mr. Rhines.

- Q Mr. Walton, I have had a chance to read your questionnaire that you returned to the Court; do you remember completing that?
- Q So there are some things I know about you from the questionnaire and it isn't because I had a private eye out checking on you. Now, let me find out a little about you. You live here in Rapid City and you have lived here for about seven years?
- A Yes. I was stationed here in the Air Force and just got out recently.
- Q Tell me where you were born and just generally what happened up to the present time?
- I was born in Chicago, Illinois, and I'm 41 years old. I moved around a lot with my parents in my early years and so I went to various schools around the City of Chicago. I graduated in 1970 from Farigut High School and attended college for a year and a half in a small farming area 70 or 80 miles northwest of Chicago. After a year and a half attending school, funds -- I didn't have the money to

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

continue school, so my father talked me into joining the Air Force and I stayed in for a few years, basic, minimum of four years and got sent overseas and had a good time and came back to the States and put in a couple more years and it just hit me, well, it hasn't been too bad a tour and I stayed on for another tour and 20 years added up and I was stationed here in September of 1985, and at that time I was still married and just served my country here and did what I had to do and as I was winding down near 20 years I talked it over with the wife; where did she want to retire at; did she want to go back to a place where we had been and she liked the area here and we decided to stay here. So, I started looking at buying a home here and everything and once that got settled and everything she decided she wanted to leave, so I'm still here and she's gone.

- Q Did you buy the home?
- A Yeah, I was already in the process of getting it and everything when she decided to leave.
 - Q Now you are going back to school?
 - A Yeah. I'm using my GI Bill right now and trying to get my degree in business. Throughout my career I have been taking college courses, but being in the military you have one focus to do a job there, so

it's hard to take a lot of classes at that time. during the course of the 20 years I did accumulate a lot of hours and that one year and a half I did attend school in Illinois and that helped a lot too. So I'm down to needing 24 more semester hours to get my degree in business. Q What did you do in the service, Mr. Walton? Did various jobs. Actually, my main job was munitions specialist. We took care of building up bombs and missles and so forth and I had a tour of duty as an instructor for the military as my career field teaching new recruits. Q What does your dad do, any particular occupation? Well, when I think back 40 years what I did originally when he first moved to Chicago where he

- Q Do you have brothers and sisters?
- 20 A No, sir.
 - Q You are the only child?
- 22 A Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

25

Q Mr. Walton, I want you to take a look at that list of names on the desk ahead of you. Those people may be witnesses in this trial. Would you read that and

was born he worked as a cook and as a car wash guy

and the biggest thing he did for most of my

upbringing is he was a construction worker.

1	tell me if there are any on the list who you know?
2	A There is nobody on this list that I recognize.
3	Q Let me ask you this general question. Is there I
4	don't want to go into any more specifics if your
5	answer is yes, but have you or any member of your
6	immediate family ever been involved with the law?
7	A No, sir.
8 .	Q Mt. Walton, the evidence in this case is going to
9	show that the Defendant, Mr. Rhines, is a homosexual;
10	ne's gay. Would that fact in and of itself influence
11	your perception of the case or of Mr. Rhines
12	A No. Sir it wouldn't.
13	Q Again, I don't went to get any more specific than
14	just this deneral question. Do you have any
15	acquaintenceship with any people who are homosexual?
16	A
17	Q Fo your knowledge do you know anybody?
18	A A STATE OF THE S
19	Q Do you have any feelings towards someone with respect
20	to this right, has a right to pursue their own
1	lifestyle?
22	A Everybody has their own thing and as long as they let
3	me to my thing. I don't the
4	You are not a member of any organized church?
5	A No, sir.

- 1 Q Do you believe in God?
- 2 A Yes, I do.

, 5

- Q But you don't belong to any organized religion as such?
 - A No. During my upbringing I went to church regularly as a kid.
 - Q Your mother insisted on that?
 - A My father insisted on it, because his father was actually a pastor, you know, but once I guess I joined the military, I got away from it a bit.
 - Q You are going to school and what are the courses or subjects that you are interested in?
 - A Well, I like science a lot, but because I'm pursuing a business degree, I have been taking like accounting classes and business management classes, so that's my focus right now.
 - Q Do you intend on going further on to school after you get your Bachelor's Degree?
 - A I haven't made that decision as off yet. People talk to me say because I have my GI Bill you might as well continue to use it because you are getting paid, however, you know, I'm not getting as much money as I used to when I was active duty. Once I get that degree I might as well get that job and press on with my life.

- Q That's an alternative you haven't dealt with yet?
- A Exactly. One step at a time. Get that first degree and pursue maybe a Masters. I don't know yet.
- Now, Mr. Walton, this trial is a little bit different than most in that usually in most criminal proceedings the Judge fixes the sentence. The jury determines guilt or innocence and the Judge fixes the sentence. In this situation, because the State has elected to ask for the death penalty, we have a little different situation here. This trial will be in two phases. The first phase, called phase one will be the determination of whether or not Mr. Rhines is guilty of murder in the first degree; do you understand?
- A Yes.

- Then if the jury determines that he is guilty of murder in the first degree, then you get to the second phase and that is the determination of punishment. And with respect to murder in the first degree and in South Dakota there are only two alternatives for sentencing; one, life imprisonment without parole, and that means just what it says, life imprisonment for the rest of your life; can't get out; do you understand that?
- A I understand.

- It's a little different in South Dakota than some other states. The second alternative is death and that means what that says, so if you are selected as a juror and you have to go, I want you to think about this in the aspect of having to go through both phases, at least at this point while I'm visiting with you. I'd like to ask you about your views on the death penalty?
 - Well, ever since the first day we were here, the Judge told us to think about that and I have been trying to give that some thought. I take a lot into consideration in how we will see other crimes throughout the nation dealing with the death penalty, you know. I think back to the Bundy trial and the one recently in Washington they just had, and I look at the nature of the crime that the person has committed, you know; was he insane at the time he committed the act; was he of sound mind; did he know what he was doing; what provoked him to take another person's life. I look at so many variables and like I say, I don't know this person, and I don't know what drove him to do that. If I was selected I'd have to hear everything involved. I look at it this way, if a person takes another person's life and he knew what he was doing, okay, then I would say, yes,

б

. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Let me just pursue that a little bit. If you were to find that he was guilty of premeditated murder, would you think he should receive the death penalty?
- A Yeah, I would say so.
- Q That one would follow the other?
- A Yeah, if he was of sound mind and he knew what he was doing, I would say yeah, because again, there is a lot of variables and I can't judge the person right now.
- Q Let me explore this a little bit. I know we will be somewhat general here, but would you say that everyone who is guilty of premeditated murder should be sent to the electric chair or death?
- A No. We can take a lot into consideration again such as say there was a ten year old boy and he happened to stumble upon his father's gun and he may watch a lot of westerns and gangster movies and he goes out and plays with his friends and he's got this gun and it's loaded, and we will say he actually pulls a trigger and kills a kid, should we go ahead and kill him, put him to death. No. There are so many variables that we have to take into consideration. He did not know what he was doing or what the nature of a loaded gun is or to play with one.

The situation that you just illustrated there would 1 2 not be premeditated murder. Right. I am going to deal with a situation where we accept 5 as a fact that the murder was premeditated, do you б think then that the death penalty should automatically follow? 7 8 I don't know -- no -- yeah. Like I say, you take a 9 person like Dahl or Bundy that committed many 10 murders, they knew and it was senseless on what they 11 did to the number of victims, so if you do it once, I don't know, it's hard to say if you just kill one 12 13 person, you don't deserve to be killed in return. 14 Q You don't believe an eye for an eye or a tooth for a 15 tooth? 16 A No, I do not. 17 If the death sentence could bring back the person who 18 was killed, of course, we would all vote for the 19 death sentence? Of course, but you know that's not going to happen. 20 I'm sure you have done a lot of thinking about it and 21 22 if you are selected as a juror in this case you'll do 23 a lot more? Oh sure. 24 A

And you'll give it your best shot?

1 A Definitely.

- Q Mr. Stonefield called my attention to one other thing. Do you have any knowledge about the case?
- A Very little. Just recently it's been on TV that they caught the person I believe in Seattle, and that's about it. At the time that it happened I was going through my trauma of divorce, so I wasn't reading the paper or watching TV, I wasn't keeping up with nothing. I don't know too much about this at all.
- Q Anything you heard you could certainly cast aside and rely solely on what you hear in this courtroom to make your decision?
- A Definitely. During the nature of my job in the military, we always have what we call two sides to a story and I have dealt with a lot of people during my 20 years and I don't always take that first opinion. I always get more input about a decision I would have to make in my job I had.
- Q Were you an athlete?
- A I played basketball; weight lifting. I love weight lifting. I just went there last night.
- 22 MR. BUTLER: I could tell that.
- 23 THE COURT: State may inquire.
- 24 EXAMINATION BY MR. GROFF:
 - Q Good afternoon, sir. I'm Dennis Groff and I'm the

State's Attorney in this case, sir and I just need to ask you a few questions about the death penalty issue if I may. And I want you to realize that if I am being redundant and repeat some things that Mr. Butler did, I'm sorry. This is the last and only chance I'll have a chance to talk to you about the case. In other words, once you are selected we won't have any time either to discuss these issues anymore. It is my understanding that from talking with Mr. Butler now that you are aware that this trial could have two stages?

- A Right.
 - Q First stage, guilt or innocence, deciding whether or not he's guilty of first degree murder or not; do you understand that?
 - A Yes.
 - Assuming that you would do that, then you'd come back for a second stage of the proceedings where you might hear more evidence and more argument concerning what the penalties should be. Now, as State's Attorney what I would be attempting to prove to you in that second stage is an aggravating circumstance. In other words, under our law, not every first degree murder is one that justifies the death penalty; do you understand?

1 A Sure do.

б

- Q Our legislature has set forth approximately ten aggravating circumstances which, if you find at least one you could impose the death penalty, if after consideration of that aggravating circumstance you found, and all the other evidence was in in the case you thought it was appropriate. You wouldn't have to but you could; do you understand?
- A Right.
- Q Now, if you were to be on this jury before you could return any such verdict, you would have to be unanimous in your verdict, all 12 would have to agree; do you understand?
- A Yes.
- Q Since all 12 would have to agree, of course your individual vote would be very important; do you understand that?
- A Yes.
- Q That's the reason I'm talking to you about this now.

 During the course of your life and work in the

 military, have you discussed the death penalty on

 very many occasions with people?
- A No.
 - Q Frior to Monday, when you said you gave it a lot of thought up to today, had you given it much thought at

g)

- A Yeah, I have. The reason why is because of the recent execution of Dahl or Dower in Washington. I thought about that and in a way it was to me it was sort of sickening to watch the demonstrations outside of the prison that night. Some people cheering for his execution, which is childish, in my opinion.
- And just so I'm clear on this, you would do your best if you got to that second stage of the trial to follow the Court's instructions on what the law is in deciding the case?
- A Right.
- Likewise, if the Court instructed you concerning these aggravating circumstances that you might consider the ones that would have to be proven beyond a reasonable doubt before you could impose the death penalty, would you follow the law as to what they were, even if you disagreed with them?
- A Well, being military we had a group of checklists we had to follow to get to the next stage of any job and of course we are to uphold those standards and if that's the law of South Dakota to say, okay, this meets this and this meets that, then the next thing is whatever.
- Q And I am going to go into this a little more

1 specifically. You were talking about the Bundy case 2 in Florida and Dahlmer, and you know in this case the Defendant on trial is Mr. Rhines and I think you learned that Monday and I don't recall but, you have been informed that there was a person allegedly killed and his name was Donnivan Schaeffer? Right. And so we are dealing literally with one person and not a series of victims; do you understand? Sure do. And all I'm asking is, do you think you could consider imposing the death penalty where we are dealing with just a single victim, depending on the circumstances? Yeah, if the evidence all showed clearly that the guy was, you know... Q I understand. Now, I want to talk to you a few minutes about the realty, the possibility of the situation. Specifically, when you got your questionnaire that you filled out for us that we have been working from, did you think in your mind, I'll bet I'll be going to Court and I possibly will be a

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A

juror on this case? Did you think about that?

Yes, I thought about that, you know. I usually have

bad luck, you know, sometimes. I said, well, here's

- Q This might be bad luck?
 - A Yeah.

б

2.0

- Q Well, I'm not sure what you'd think about the luck as being picked as a juror, but that could happen in this case; do you understand that?
- A Yes.
- Q If you were picked as a juror, thinking about this courtroom and the Judge and the Defendant where he's seated right now and specifically those empty chairs right over there, do you see them?
- A Yes, I do.
- That's where the jury will probably sit if we try
 this case in this courtroom, and I just want you to
 assume for a minute that you have gone through the
 proceeding and you got through that first stage and
 you have gone through the second stage and now you
 are a member of that jury and you have decided as a
 group, as a jury, that the appropriate verdict in
 this case is to put Mr. Rhines to death. Are you
 with me so far?
- A Yes, I am.
- Q As you think about it today, can you visualize yourself coming back with such a jury and facing Mr.
 Rhines and presenting that verdict to the Judge, a

- I have to carry it one step further, sir, because as I said, this will be in effect, even though it's a jury coming back, it will be your individual verdict; do you understand, your decision -- and you may be asked after that verdict is read, whether or not that is your individual decision it's what we call polling the jury. Can you visualize yourself, if you are polled and they call out your name, can you visualize yourself saying, yes, that's my verdict, putting this Defendant to death, depending on the facts and circumstances of the case; can you do that?
- A Sure can.
- Q Is there anyone, in terms of friends or family, acquaintances who might give you a hard time if you were to return a death verdict in a murder case?
- A No one.
 - Q That's not something that would be on your mind?
- 21 A No.

Q The last thing I want to ask you about, Judge
Konenkamp in Court the other day was reading some
orientation instructions to you, do you remember that
took about 15 or 20 minutes?

1 A Yes.

б

- Q During that discussion he told you about the concept of reasonable doubt, do you remember that and you have heard of that concept, reasonable doubt?
- A Oh, yes.
 - Q And that is the standard for proof that the State has to prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt; do you understand?
- A Uhm-uhm. Sure do.
 - Q Last thing I want to go into with you is this, and that is, just because this is a serious case, do you think you'd make the State prove its case, not to what is required, which is proof beyond a reasonable doubt, but to a much higher standard, which is not required, which is an absolute certainty; would you force the State to do something the law didn't require?
- A That the law required?
- 19 Q The law requires proof beyond a reasonable doubt.
- 20 A Right.
 - Which is not proof to an absolute certainty or perfection. If you heard Judge Konenkamp, that's what he told you the other day, which would require the case to be proven to a reasonable doubt or would you require a higher standard of proof a standard to

an absolute certainty?

In other words, beyond a reasonable doubt, is what you are saying?

Q Yeah.

A Yeah, that would clear it up a hundred percent for me, yeah I could do that.

2 You could follow the Court's instructions instead of

trying to put a higher burden of proof because this is a serious case, is that what you are telling us?

A Yeah.

MR. GROFF: That's all the questions I have. Thank you.

Pass for cause.

_

THE COURT: Mr. Walton, you remain a prospective juror on this case and we will be in touch with you to let you know when it's time for you to come back. If you do not hear from us, for example, if we try to reach you and have been unsuccessful, we would ask that you call us, and if you haven't heard from us next Tuesday to check in with us. Also, it's extremely important that you not discuss this case with anyone or allow anyone else to discuss the case with you nor in your presence, and also it is very, very important that you not listen to or read or watch any media accounts about this case. Can you promise me that you'll not do these things?

1	BOBBY WALTON: Yes, sir. Right now I'm a single person
2	and all I do right now is read for school, study and
3	stuff so I watch very little TV. Now or then I rent
4	a movie for my VCR.
5	THE COURT: Very good. We will be in touch with you.
6	Thank you. Let's take a ten minute recess.
7	(A recess was had from 2:55 to 3:15.)
8	THE COURT: Defense may exercise. Defense has exercised
9	its third peremptory and we will call another
10	prospective juror. Sir, thank you for your patience
11	You have previously been sworn and you remain under
12	oath right now. Defense may inquire.
13	(Prospective Juror DALE LANDIS, having previously been
14	sworn, testified as follows:)
15	EXAMINATION BY MR. STONEFIELD:
16	Q Good afternoon, sir. Your name is Dale Landis?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Mr. Landis, I'm Mike Stonefield and I'm one of the
19	attorneys representing Mr. Rhines, the Defendant.
20	This is Mr. Butler and Mr. Gilbert and Mr. Rhines is
21	the gentleman seated here, and we are representing.
32	You were here a couple days ago during the
23	orientation process?
24	A Yes.

You understand what we are doing here today then?

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)	IN CIRCUIT COURT
2	COUNTY OF PENNINGTON)	SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
3		
4	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA,	
_	i ·	
5	Plaintiff,	
6	♥.	JURY TRIAL
7	CHARLES RUSSELL RHINES,	93-81
8	Defendant.	VOLUME VI
9		
10	PROCEEDINGS: The following matt HONORABLE JOHN K. KONENKAM	ers were had before the
	Rapid City, South Dakota,	
11	of January, 1993.	
12		
13	APPEARANCES: MR. DENNIS GROFF, MR. MARK VARGO	MR. JAY MILLER, and.
	State's Attorney's	Office
14	Pennington County Rapid City, South	Dakota
1,5		
16	FOR THE STATE	•
i7	MR. JOSEPH BUTLER	
18	Attorney at Law	
7.8.	PO Box 2670 Rapid City, South	Dakota and
19		
20	MR. WAYNE GILBERT Attorney at Law	
	3202 West Main Str	
21	Rapid City, South	nakota and
22	MR. MICHAEL STONEF	
23	Public Defender Pennington County	
~~	Rapid City, South	Dakota
24	FOR THE DEFENDANT	
25	FOR THE DEFENDANT	

THE COURT: 1 I think we better excuse you on this case, 2 Laura. LAURA LAUGHLIN: Thank you, sir. Nice seeing you again. 3 4 THE DEFENDANT: Could we have a short break? 5 THE COURT: Let's take a ten minute recess. 6 (At which time a recess was taken from 10:40 to 10:55.) THE COURT: The Clerk will call in the next prospective 8 juror. The next one on your list is Mr. Dial and we 9 agreed to excuse him. He was the fellow that had the job in Colorado and we agreed to excuse so we are 10 skipping over him and going to Miss Anderson. We 11 12 previously swore you in last week, so you remain under oath now for these questions. Defense may 13 14 inquire. (Prospective Juror MARTHA ANDERSON, having previously 15 been sworn, testified as follows:) 16 EXAMINATION BY MR. BUTLER: 17 Q I'm Joe Bulter and I'm one of the attorneys 18 representing the Defendant Mr. Rhines who I'm 19 pointing to and with me is Mr. Wayne Gilbert and Mr. 20

representing the Defendant Mr. Rhines who I'm pointing to and with me is Mr. Wayne Gilbert and Mr Mike Stonefield and that's Mr. Dennis Groff, the State's Attorney. Mrs. Anderson we've had the opportuinty to review the questionnaire that you completed and sent back to the Court, so I know a little bit about you, however, I would like for you

21

22 23

24

where you went to school up to the present time?

I was born in San Antonio, Texas and raised in

Arlington, Texas, which is in southern Texas and

spent my summers in northwest San Antonio, Texas and

I met my husband in Arlington and we were married in

East Bend and he has been 30 years in the service.

We were never stationed out of the United States and

we came here for the first time in 1972, two months

after the flood and were here seven years and went

back to Fort Worth, Texas and then came back here to

retire and he's been flying for B&L Aviation ever

since. I have been enjoying life by having chickens

and ducks and peacocks and enjoying the Hills.

- What did your husband do in the service? Was he a pilot?
- A No, he was a navigator. He flew KC-97's. He was in maintenance out here then he was an officer.
- Q I notice you have three children; boys or girls?
- A All boys. They all live in this area.
- Q What do they do?
 - A Oldest is a body repairman and the middle boy works for a plumber here in town and the youngest boy works for a souvenir company and lives in Hill City and has a few head of cattle.

T	💆	. Wild Aoff Have Grandentraten:
2	A	Yes, three.
3	Q	Now, Mrs. Anderson, there is a list of names in front
4	1	of you there. Would you take a look at that list and
5		see if there are any names you recognize?
6	A	The only one I know slightly is Mr. Remboldt and I
7		haven't seen him in several years. We gave him a
8		cat, other than that I don't see anybody.
9	Q	Is there anything about your acquaintanceship with
10		him that would tend, if he were called as a witness,
11 .		for you to give more credence to his testimony than
12		somebody else?
13	A	No, sir, I don't believe so.
14	Q	You know why you are here, I'm sure.
15	A	Yes, sir. I got a letter in the mail from the Judge.
16	Q	You are aware that Mr. Rhines is charged here with
17		first degree murder?
18	A.	Yes.
19	.	Now, the evidence is going to show Mrs. Anderson that
20	A STATE OF THE STA	Mr. Rhines is a homosexual. Is there anything about
21	,	at telling you that fact that impacts on your
22	Q.	perception of Mr. Rhines either as to bis outli ex
23	*	improence er as to kin as a person?
24	A A	No. sir. This is his business not wine.
25	经验验	ma wan feel that lifestyle, elthough it's

	n
1.	different than yours or mine, that that lifestyle is
2	inmoral or singul?
3	A that sa hard question to answer. I couldn't really
4	say I don't have an opinion on that, to that
5	perticular duestion.
6	Q Over the years, have you ever had an acquaintanceship
7	with any homosexual to your knowledge?
8	A don't think so. There may have been some being
9	in the military, you make acquaintances with a lot of
10	people from different walks of life, and I have never
11	been that prejudice against anybody as long as it
12	didn't affect me.
1.3	o In sther words, you have your lifestyle to live and
14	bthers can live their ben?
15	A THAK'S KING OF MY WISW.
16	Q Let me tell you about the procedure in this case.
L7	which is somewhat different than it is different
18	than most cases, because of the fact that this is a
19	first degree murder case in which the State has
20	requested the death penalty. When did you first
21	become aware that the State was asking for the death
22	penalty in this case?
23	A When I got the letter from the Judge. I'm not a
24	reader of the newspaper in particular and I'm not one
25	that picks up on things like that. When my husband
	11

16

18

19

20

22

23

24 25

went to Vietnam in '72 I more or less quit reading the paper per se, because all it was was bad things in there about that and the protests and I just decided it wasn't worth it. I got the letters from him and that's all that mattered.

- How long did your husband serve in Vietnam?
- He got his tour curtailed because of all his TDY time. He was there seven or eight months and he wasn't fighting per se, he was flying. He wasn't on the ground, let's put it that way.
- Not a lot of difference. Now, in this procedure I am going to just give you an overview of the procedure so I can ask you some more questions, okay? The first phase of this trial the jury will be asked to determine the Defendant's guilt or innocence of the first degree murder charge, do you understand? Right.
- And if the jury determines that the Defendant is guilty of first degree murder, then there is a second phase at which the jury fixes the punishment and that's what makes this case a little bit different than most. In most cases the Judge imposes the sentence, and here the jury in the second phase if the jury finds the Defendant guilty of first degree murder in the first phase, imposes the sentence and

Q

12 .

 at that stage the State will assert that there are certain circumstances which aggravate the first degree murder conviction which would justify the jury in considering the death penalty, do you understand? Okay.

Now, let me say this, at the second phase where the jury has the two alternatives, one is life imprisonment without parole and in South Dakota that means just what it says, unlike in some other states, that if the jury returns a verdict of life imprisonment without parole that means the Defendant would spend the rest of his natural life in jail.

And, of course, the other option, death means again exactly what it says, that the death sentence would be imposed if that was the judgment of the jury; do you understand?

A Yes, sir.

All right. First of all, let me ask you if you have any views, general views about the death penalty?

Well, I really hadn't thought about it. I have never been called for jury duty and like I say, I'm not a person that can't wait to read the paper and see who is killed, or whatever. I'm just not up on all that. I will honestly say that -- some cases, some TV I watch and I'm sure there must be some people that

1 .		deserve the death penalty. I'm not sure that that's
2		the right thing to do, but I don't know.
3 ,	Ď	Is this kind of a fair statement, that you don't have
4		any really fixed views on it? I want you to think
5		about it, if you would.
6	A	Yeah, I think there are certain cases that I feel
7		that the death penalty would be appropriate. Do you
8		want to state what?
9	Q	Yes, if you would.
10	A	Raping a child for one thing, I think is a very
11		severe crime, especially if it's an habitual criminal
12		in that case. To me that would be cause for the
13		death penalty.
14	Q	Anything else?
15	A	That's really the only thing I really have a view on
16 .		You watch TV and there are cases I'm sure.
17	Q	Let's assume that the Defendant in this case is
18		convicted of first degree murder, premeditated
19		murder, would that in your judgment automatically
20		tell you that the death penalty is in order?
21	A	There again, I hate to make a snap decision. I'm
22		just not one to make a snap decision on that.
23		Possibly, I don't know. I'd have to know all the
24		evidence before I would say right off the top of my

head. Sorry.

25

That's my honest opinion. I cannot

 $s_{i}(\mathbf{c}) \leftrightarrow s_{i}$

1		say right off the top of my head that that would
2		require the death penalty. I don't know.
3	Q	It's a tough question.
4	A	Never being in this situation before it's hard for
5		me I'm not a person to make a snap decision on
6		meeting a person or anything else. I just don't do
7		it.
8	Q	As I gather it, you have had no particular thoughts
9		on the death penalty other than I suppose what I'm
10		asking you now. Which do you consider to be more
11		severe, the death penalty or life imprisonment
12		without parole?
13	A	Ones almost as bad as the other. From what I
1.4		understand prisons can be quite a bad place to be if
15		you are there for life. I'm sure losing your life
16		would be worse. I'm not an opinionated person.
1.7		That's the only reason I can give you for not saying
18		right off on the top of my head whether I can answer
19		that question.
20	Q	I understand. You are not the first one that hasn't
21		been able to answer it. I notice that you are a
22		member of the Community Lutheran Church in Hill City?
23	A	Yes, sir.
24	Q	Are you a regular church goer?
25	A	No, just whenever I can. I support the church and

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Yes, sir.

1289

And just because he might be convicted of first

degree murder wouldn't mean to you that automatically

7.		tite descri benerry contr pe rmboseg.
2	A	No, sir, I don't believe so at this point I do not I
3		don't think. Like I say, the circumstances are such
4		that I have never been in this position, so it's hard
5		for me to say.
6	Q	You understand that at the second phase the law is
7		never going to tell you you have got to return the
8		death penalty, that's going to be on your conscience.
9	A	Yes, sir.
10	Q	Let's assume this, that after this is after the
11		death punishment phase, that you have heard all the
12		evidence and you have listened to the views of the
13		other members of the jury and you conclude that life
14		imprisonment is the appropriate penalty.
15	А	Okay. This is assuming.
16	Q	I'm just assuming. Are you such a person that you
17		would stick by your guns and not give in just to be
18		in the majority?
19	A	No, sir, I don't do that. If I make up my mind and
20		sometimes it takes awhile, I usually stick by it.
21	MR.	BUTLER: Pass for cause.
22	EXA	MINATION BY MR. GROFF:
23	Q	Mrs. Anderson, as Mr. Bulter introduced me I'm Dennis
24	-	Groff and I'll be putting on the case the next couple
25		of weeks. The main thing I'd like to talk to you

And the Control

1	
2	
. 3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	۸.
25	

Q

about today is the death penalty. When you got your
questionnaire you filled it out and we have a copy of
it, did you visualize in your mind that you might be
here answering these kinds of questions?
Well, I didn't know. Like I say, I have never been
called and I had no idea what to expect and I felt
like the orientation we had was very informative and
it did help kind of know what was going on.
I want to work with a couple of things now, because
there is a very good chance you will be a juror on
the case. It's a very real possibility. I need to
work with some assumptions here and I don't want any
commitments from you or anything like that, I just
want you to visualize yourself being on the jury if
that's possible. It's very possible the jury might
be here and if we used this courtroom instead of the
other courtroom, I want you to assume that you went
through that first phase and you considered the
evidence and passed on the evidence and you found the
Defendant guilty of first degree murder and then you
have gone to the second phase and at the second phase
after once considering how you heard how the murder
was committed and you determined beyond a reasonable
doubt that one of the aggravating circumstances was
there to make this a special murder and after

considering all of the evidence, just assuming now, you decided that the death penalty was appropriate.

- A That's just assuming that?
 - Just assuming. Can you visualize yourself coming back with 11 other people that compiles of that jury, all unanimous in their verdict and having that verdict read and your jury's verdict is imposing the death sentence on the Defendant, Mr. Rhines, can you visualize yourself being part of that?
 - Yes, sir, I think so. Like I say, there again it's assuming and I think it's a hard decision to make, but if it came to that I don't see any reason why if everyone agrees, and like I say, I have no idea what happens when the jury doesn't all agree, I'm not up on all that.
 - The other thing I want to ask you is this, because you know, like any criminal verdict, we have to have unanimous decisions and it's not just enough to have ten to two or one to 11, and assuming you had that unanimous decision and the verdict was read, the next thing that could happen is that you could be asked whether that was your individual verdict. In other words, you could be polled and I really mean to strike some reality here. If that were to occur and that was your verdict and then you were polled one by

1		one and they came to you, Mrs. Anderson, and said, is
2		this your verdict, could you visualize yourself
3		facing the Defendant and the Court and saying, yes
4		that's my verdict putting Mr. Rhines to death?
5	A	Well, I wouldn't lie about it.
6	Q	I understand that and I'm not saying you would, but
7		can you visualize yourself in that position sitting
8		in judgment facing another person and saying, yes
9		it's my vote that you be put to death?
10	A	I would say so. Once you have made your decision up,
11		that's it.
12	Q	Does that trouble you somehow as you think about it
13		now?
14	A	Not really. Like I say, this is all assuming and all
15 ·		I can do is try to assume that that is the position
16		I'm in.
17	Q	Would it be fair to say that that kind of important
18		decision would depend on the facts of the case and if
19		the facts justified it, could you come to that
20 -		decision?
21	A	Yes, sir.
22	Q	Now, when we talk about these aggravating
23		circumstances, those are actually circumstances we
24		have set in our law and the way you'll find out is
25		the Judge will give you instructions on those

1		circumstances. Assuming that's done and you get to
2		that second stage and you are considering whether or
3	1	not I have proven to you that there were one or more
4		aggravating circumstances in this case, do you think
, 5		you can look at the circumstances the Court will tell
6		you about and judge those circumstances whether or
7		not you agree with them?
8	A	Yes, sir.
9	Q	So, in other words, if our law is different than you
10		might expect, can you still follow the law?
11	A	Yes, sir. I will definitely try to be a law-abiding
12		citizen.
13	Q	While we have been talking, you mentioned earlier
14		this case of someone who maybe rapes and kills a
15		child that comes to mind.
16	A	Yes, sir.
17	Q	Is that a case where you think using that same
18		situation and being polled, that's a case where you'd
19		be pretty comfortable coming back with?
20	A	There again it would depend on the evidence.
21	Õ	What evidence besides what you already told us about
22		would it depend upon?
23	A	Well, I think it would depend on whether the person
24		had done this many times.

In other words, you gave us that example and do you

the death penalty or life imprisonment, it would

- What I'm trying to get at here before I stop asking you questions is this, fairly and honestly can you reservations about sitting on a jury that's considering the penalty?
- Α No, sir.

14

15

16

17

18

19.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you think you would be -- and I'm not being judgmental about this, but do you think you'd go into that second phase of a trial with your own personal . beliefs and somehow have a preconceived notion that you'd have to come back with a life sentence? No, sir. I don't have any preconceived notion of it either way at this point. Like I say, I've never been in this situation before and I'm trying to be as honest as I can. It's hard for me to visualize what you are saying, but I'm trying to answer it the most

honest way I can. I mean, it doesn't matter to me one way or the other whether I'm a juror. It's not -- I don't have any reason why I should not be, if I'm selected, because I have no ties to hold me back or keep me from coming.

- As you sit there now and you think of those two options in the second stage, do you kind of think about it in your mind and say, boy I could think about those two options, but I wouldn't be comfortable giving one of them?
- No, sir. If you are selected for a jury, I think you just have to do the duty as a juror. Like I say, the orientation I felt was very informative.
- MR. GROFF: I'll pass for cause. Thank you, ma'am.
- THE COURT: All right, Mrs. Anderson, just a reminder, I know you don't read newspapers, but I am going to ask you to continue not to read any articles or listen to any news broadcasts concerning this case. Do not discuss this case with anyone one or allow anyone to discuss it with you. We will call you to let you know if you are on the final jury panel and if there is a possibility we are trying to reach you and we are unable to do so, if you would call the Clerk's Office on Wednesday morning and make sure we haven't been able to, haven't been trying to reach you.

1	MARTHA ANDERSON: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Thank you. All right, the State may
3	exercise. Record will show that the State has
4	exercised its 15th peremptory challenge and the Clerk
5	will summon in the next prospective juror.
6 .	MR. GILBERT: I would just renew the motion we made as to
7	jurors Bachman, Hagemann, Chadwick, Hanesn Stesald,
8	and Heidi Lam b-1349
9	THE COURT: The number 15 strike was Kones McDonald and
10	your objections are overruled for the same reasons as
11	stated previously. Last week we placed you under
12	oath and you remain under oath for questioning.
13	(Prospective Juror VIRGINIA ANDERSON, having previously
1.4	been sworn, testified as follows:)
15	EXAMINATION BY MR. BUTLER:
16	Q Mrs. Anderson, I'm Joe Butler and I'm one of the
17	attorneys for Mr. Charles Rhines, the Defendant, and
18	I'm pointing at him now and with me is Mr. Wayne
19	Gilbert and Mr. Mike Stonefield. That is Dennis
20	Groff who is the State's Attorney. Mrs. Anderson, we
21	have had the benefit of your responses on your
22	questionnaire that was sent out, so we already know a
23	little bit about you, however, I would like to have
24	kind of a brief sketch of your personal background

and where you were born up to the present time.

		łi		•	
1		STATE OF SOUTH	DAKOTA)	IN CIR	CUIT COURT
· 2		COUNTY OF PENN	INGTON)	SEVENTH JU	DICIAL CIRCUIT
3					
4		STATE OF SOUTH	DAKOTA,		
5			Plaintiff,		
_					
6	-	٧,		JURY	TRIAL
7		CHARLES RUSSEL	L RHINES,	93	-81
8			Defendant.	VOLUM	E VII
9				, 1	
10		HONORAB	The following m LE JOHN K. KONEN	KAMP, Circuit	Judge at
11			ity, South Dakot January, 1993.	a, on the 11t	h and 12th
12				•	•
13		APPEARANCES:	MR. DENNIS GROF	F, MR. JAY MI	LLER, and.
	Ì		State's Attorne		
14		•	Fennington Coun Rapid City, Sou	ty th Dakota	
15			Kapia Citi, boa	CH DURGUE	
16			FOR THE STATE		
17			MR. JOSEPH BUTL	ER	
		•	Attorney at Law	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
18	į	SUPREME COURT	PO Box 2670 Rapid City, Sou	th Dalecta	and
19	STAT	OF SOUTH DAKOTA	Kapid City, Sou	CH Daroca	4114
	. ∦	Flich	MR. WAYNE GILBE		,
20	. ↓	UN 0 8 1995	Attorney at Law 3202 West Main		
21	li		Rapid City, Sou		and
22		loud Angel	MR. MICHAEL STO	NEFIELD	
	0.16	· - 0	Public Defender		
23			Pennington Coun Rapid City, Sou		
24			Mapia olej, maa		
7 E		Penr	FOR THE DEFENDATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO	NT	_
25		•	FILED	•	•
		IN TI	ie circuit court		1015141
			маү 05 1993	OR	IGINAL
		By Bo	hie Fit Herseld, Clerk 14 Deputy		

2 under oath at this time. 3 (Prospective Juror WILMA WOODSON, having previously been sworn, testified as follows:) 5 EXAMINATION BY MR. BUTLER: Your name is Wilma Woodson? б Q Yes, it is. A 8 My name is Joe Bulter and I'm one of the attorneys 9 representing the Defendant, Mr. Rhines, who I'm pointing to now and with me is Mr. Wayne Gilbert and 10 Mr. Mike Stonefield also representing Mr. Rhines? 11 12 Okay. 13 And that's Mr. Dennis Groff, the State's Attorney. Q 14 Mrs. Woodson, we have had the chance of reading the 15 responses that you made on the questionnaire, so I know a little bit about you? 16 17 Okay. A I wanted you to know that so you didn't think I had a 18 private eye out snooping. We have had the benefit of 19 20 this questionnaire, however, I would like to know a 21 little bit about your background, just kind of give me a brief biography about where you were born? 22 I was born in Cleveland, Ohio and went to John Adams 23 High School and graduated from there. I have three 24 sisters and one brother. My husband is in the 25

THE COURT: We previously swore you in, so you remain

military so we've traveled a lot. From Cleveland to 1 2 Texas and Montana, Alaska, California and now we reside in South Dakota. 3 4 You have two children? 5 They're both in school I take it? 6 Q Not my daughter, she's five years old. A You work at the hospital up here at Rapid City 8 Regional? 9 10 Α Yes. 11 Q Were you stationed at Fairbanks, Alaska? 12 Yes. 13 How long were you there? 14 A Three years. 15 In the Air Force? A 16 Yes. 17 What is his position? Q 18 E-7 Master Sergeant. 19 Q He's been in... 20 A 20 years. Planning on retiring? 21 Q 22 Α Not yet. I'd like to have you take a look at that list of 23 names that is before you and see if you recognize any 24 25 of those names?

1 No, I don't. 2 You are aware that Mr. Rhines is here charged with 3 first degree murder? 4 Yes. 5 The evidence is going to establish, Mrs. Woodson, that Mr. Rhines is a homosexual. Does that fact in 6. 7 and of itself impact upon how you look at Mr. Rhines? No. 9 Over your life have you ever been acquainted with 10 anyone who is a homosexual? 11 Not to my knowledge. Do you believe that the lifestyle of a homosexual is 12 Q 13 sinful or immoral? 14 It's sinful; my religious beliefs. A 15 Q. What are your religious beliefs? 16 Α I'm a Baptist. 17 Q Do you regularly go to church? 18 À No. Would your view as to the sinful character to 19 20 homosexuality impact on your decision, if you are 21 selected as a juror either as in the guilt phase or 22 the punishment phase? 23. No. 24 Let me tell you a little bit about the procedure in

the case, because it's a little different than what

we go through normally. As I told you, this is a first degree murder case and the State, through the State's Attorney, has requested the death penalty and as a result of that, the trial is divided into two phases, the first phase is the determination of whether or not Mr. Rhines is guilty of first degree murder, and if the jury determines that the State has proven, beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant is guilty of first degree murder, you go to stage two and at stage two the State would be claiming and proving, attempting to prove an aggravating circumstance which would make the first degree murder somewhat worse, at least in the eyes of the legislature, do you follow me?

A Yes.

Q

- And at that point the jury, if the State has proved that beyond a reasonable doubt in the second phase, the jury would have the sentencing obligation. It's unlike most criminal cases, because if the Defendant is found guilty, in most criminal cases the Court imposes the sentence. But here, because of the request for the death penalty it's the jury.
- A I understand.
- And I want to also mention this before I proceed with another question. If the jury determines death, that

is what happens. The Defendant is put to death. 1 the other hand, if the jury determines that its life 2 imprisonment, life without parole, that means just 3 what it says, unlike in some other states where after 5 serving a period of time people are paroled, with a б life sentence that does not happen in South Dakota. 7 Life imprisonment without parole means what it says, 8 do you understand that? 9 Yes. 10 You are willing to accept that? Q Α 11 Yes. 12 I'd like to start out, because of the nature of the 13 problem we are facing, to ask you about your general 14 views concerning the death penalty? 15 It would depend on the facts and how I felt and the 16 circumstances of the crime. It would depend on that, .17 is that what you are asking? Q Yeah. What kind of circumstances -- first of all, 18 19 let me ask you, you are not opposed to the death 20 penalty per se? 21 Α No. Q Why do you believe in the death penalty? 22 23 A In some cases I feel it's just. Why would it be just? 24 Q

经现金金额

Condition of the crimes, if the Defendant had no

remorse or, why did he or she do this, you know. 1 It's hard for me to give you a yes or a no, if that's what you are asking me. I don't mean -- I understand that when you get to discussing the death penalty there is no black and 5 white, at least for many of us, but I guess I'm kind 6 7 of asking you, would you, under certain 8 circumstances, view the death penalty as being 9 punishment? Yes. 10 Would you look at it in the form of society having 11 its revenge? 12 13 A No. 14 You said you are a churchgoer from time to time? Q 15 Α Yes. Have you studied the Bible? 16 17 A Yes, some. Is your view about the appropriateness of the death 18 Q penalty related to the eye for an eye and tooth for a 19 20 tooth? No, I'd say not: 21 Basically, as I understand it and in what you have 22 told me, your view of the death penalty is that in 23 certain circumstances you would view it as 24 25 appropriate punishment?

1 Yes. Which would you consider the more severe sentence, 2 Q life imprisonment without parole or the death sentence? 5 I would say life imprisonment without parole. That's from your perception, right? Q Yes. I have to think about these questions. 7 If you had your choice, do you think the life. Q 9 imprisonment without parole is more severe? 10 Believe me, you can change your mind. Yes. I don't know. 11 12 This is just an example of the difficulty of the question. Let me ask you this, do you think that it 13 is a serious matter to think about imposing the death 14 15 sentence on another human being? 16 Yes. 17 Certainly nothing that should be taken lightly? 18 A No. 19 In your life, if you are selected as a juror, can you think of a more difficult decision that you've ever 20 21 had? 22 No. Do you know of any reason, Mrs. Woodson, why you 23 wouldn't try to be fair and impartial? 24 25 No.

1	Q	Follow the Court's instructions?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	And do what you felt in your mind was right?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Now, you understand that if you are selected as a
6	,	juror in this case you are one of 12?
7	. A	Yes.
8	Õ	After you are deliberating there will be only 12
9 .		deliberating, and with respect to the imposition of
10		the death penalty. It will take a unanimous verdict.
11	A	Yes.
12	Ω	Now, you will, in your deliberations, consider the
13		views and arguments of your fellow jurors, I'm sure?
14	A	Yes.
15	Ω	But after you have done all that and you arrive at
16		your opinion as to what you think the appropriate
17		sentence is, will you stick by that, even though you
18		are not in the majority?
19	A	Yes, I have to go with the way I feel and it's
20		something I have to live with.
21	Ω	Because you understand that it takes a unanimous vote
22		of every juror for that death penalty?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	So, in effect, each vote is one vote?
25	A	Yes.

And you'd give it your best shot, wouldn't you? 2 Yes. 3 MR. BUTLER: Pass for cause. THE COURT: State may inquire. 4 . 5 EXAMINATION BY MR. GROFF: 6 Mrs. Woodson, I'm Dennis Groff and I will be 7 presenting the State's case to you. I'm your State's Attorney. What I need to talk to you about briefly 8 9 today are some of these same death penalty questions, would that be okay? 10 11 Yes. 12 And we want to just make sure where you are at on 13 this. Can you tell me, you were telling Mr. Bulter 14 that it would depend on the facts and circumstances 15 of the case and how you were saying, when the death 16 penalty might be appropriate, is that what you were telling us? 17 18 A Yes. 19 I'm a little curious and you are not bound by any of this by any means, but can you think of any facts or 20 circumstances from your life as you have gone through 21 22 it and read the paper, any facts or circumstances 23 where you thought the death penalty might be 24 appropriate?

1

25

Yes.

- Q What kind of cases come to mind as you think about that?
- A I would say child killings.
 - Q Why do child killings come to mind?
 - A Defenseless, innocent persons.
 - Q I won't pry anymore, because I want to ask you a little bit about the instructions. You served on a jury before so you know you don't make up your mind until you have heard the Court's instructions?
- A True.

- In the instructions that you are going to get, if you get to that second phase, the Judge will instruct you about some of the aggravating circumstances that might be applicable to this case, and my job in the second phase is to convince you beyond a reasonable doubt that at least one of those aggravating circumstances exists. If I can't do that, then you don't have to consider the death penalty. If I can do that and you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt, then you can consider the death penalty and you don't have to impose it, but you can consider it, do you understand?
- A Yes.
- Q What I am trying to get to briefly is when you look at those aggravating circumstances that the Court

1 instructs you about, do you think you can follow that 2 law, even if you don't agree with it; do you think 3 you can do that? 4 Yes. 5 Q Because you know you are bound to follow the 6 instructions? 7 Yes. 8 If I could just take a moment with you and the first 9 thing I need to go into, your recollections of when 10 you got the questionnaire that you filled out. 11 Yes. 12 When you got that and sent it back within the time 13 frame you had to send it back, did you visualize that 14 you might actually be here in the courtroom answering 15 these kind of questions? .0 16 No. A 17 And you are here now. 18 A Yes. 19 And we've gotten through part of it and what I want 20 you to do, without holding anything against me, I 21 want you to, through a visualization process and this is the last time either side will have a chance to 22 look at you and decide from your responses whether or 23 24 not you can be on the jury? 25 Okay.

. 19 ` 20

21

22

23

24

25

I think this may help. I wanted you to assume for a moment, because there is a real possibility you'll be chosen. Assume for a moment that you are actually on this jury and I want you to think about this courtroom and that jury box and I want you to assume now, and I'm not asking for any guarantees or promises, I just want you to assume for our purposes that you have gone through that first phase and I know you haven't heard any evidence, and assume you went through that first phase and you have decided the guilty verdict was appropriate on first degree murder, just an assumption, and assume you got to the second phase and assume you have heard the evidence and the arguments in the second phase and you have gone back and decided that there is an aggravating circumstance.

- A Okay.
 - And let's assume that you, along with your other jurors decided, just decided the death penalty was appropriate. All I'm trying to get to now is, can you visualize yourself coming back in here with those other 11 people and facing the Judge and the Defendant, Mr. Rhines, and actually being part of that jury who would have their verdict read imposing death on this Defendant? Can you visualize yourself

1 doing that if the facts and circumstances indicated 2 that that was the appropriate thing to do? 3 Yes. Secondly, I don't know if this happened up in Alabama 4 Q or not, but what happens here in South Dakota is the 5 6 jury is polled because it has to be their individual 7 verdict and they had to vote for it individually, unanimously, plus they are asked one by one, is this your verdict in this case, putting someone to death. Can you actually visualize yourself, if that were your verdict, saying, yes, that is my verdict putting you to death? Can you visualize yourself going through that, if you were convinced that was the right thing to do? Yes, if I felt that way, yeah. Α Let's back out of that and none of that has happened, but I want to talk to you a little bit about your friends, do you have any friends or relatives who are adamantly opposed to the death penalty? Not that I know of. Can you think of anyone, if you were to render that type of verdict, who, when you left the courthouse and went home would give you a hard time because you

..,

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

did something like that?

No, I can't think of one, no.

1 The last thing I want to ask you about is reasonable 2 doubt. In our law, whether it's a D.W.I. case or a 3 speeding case, right down the line, all the way to a murder case, our standard of proof that we have to 5 prove the case by is always proof by beyond a 6 reasonable doubt. It's always the same standard no 7 matter what it doesn't go up like this. Just because 8 this is a murder case and it might involve the consideration of the death penalty, do you think you 9 would make us prove our case, not to a standard of 10 11 proof beyond a reasonable doubt, but to an absolute 12 certainty? 13 Yes.

- Q You'd want to be pretty certain?
- A Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q But if the Judge told you that absolute certainty wasn't required of the State, that the State had to prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt, could you follow the Court's instructions?
- A Yes.
 - Q Can you think of of any reason at all now that you have been here about 25 minutes, why you couldn't be on this jury?
- A To be honest with you, no.
- 25 MR. GROFF: That's what I was thinking you'd tell me.

THE COURT: Miss Woodson, you remain a prospective juror 2 3 on this case and we will let you know this week whether you have made it onto the final jury panel. It is very important now that you not discuss this 5 6 case with anyone or let anyone discuss it with you and also that you not listen to or watch or read any news media accounts of this case. Can you promise me 8 you'll not do these things? 9 10 WILMA WOODSON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: If you haven't heard from us by tomorrow 11 afternoon, I'd ask that you call the Clerk's Office 12 13 to check in and make sure that we haven't been trying to reach you. Thank you, very much. State may 14 15 exercise. MR. GROFF: State has exercised, your Honor. 16 1.1 THE COURT: State has exercised its 18th peremptory. 17 Clerk will summon in another prospective juror. 18 morining, sir. We previously swore you in last week 19 so you remain under oath for questioning today. 20 GARY CHASTAIN: All right. 21 THE COURT: Defense may inquire. 22 (Prospective Juror GARY CHASTAIN, having previously been 23 sworn, testified as follows:) 24

Pass for cause.

1

25

EXAMINATION BY MR. STONEFIELD:

		8		. •		
1		STATE OF SOUTH	DAKOTA)	IN C	TRCUIT CO	URT
2		COUNTY OF PENN	INGTON)	SEVENTH O	UDICIAL	CIRCUIT
3					,	
4		STATE OF SOUTH	DAKOTA,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
5			Plaintiff,			
6		v.		TITE	TRIAL	* .
7	,	CHARLES RUSSEL	. buthee		3-81	
8		CHARDES ROSSED	·	_	-	
-			Defendant.	AOT	WR ATT	
9		PROCEEDINGS:	The following mat	ters were	had befor	re the
10			LE JOHN K. KONENKA ity, South Dakota,			
11		days of	January, 1993.			
12		APPEARANCES:	MR. DENNIS GROFF,	MP JAV M	ITLLER . a:	nd
13	.		MR. MARK VARGO State's Attorney'		EDDDK, G	ilu.
14			Pennington County	7		
15	.		Rapid City, South	n Dakota		
16			FOR THE STATE			
17			MR. JOSEPH BUTLER	. * *		
18	ļ	•	Attorney at Law PO Box 2670			
19	STAT	UPREME COURT OF SOUTH DAKOTA	Rapid City, South	Dakota	and	i.
		Flich	MR. WAYNE GILBERT	•		
20	1	UN 0 8 1995	Attorney at Law 3202 West Main St	reet		
21		(1) (1)	Rapid City, South		and	
22	Cle	loid Ungel	MR. MICHAEL STONE	FIELD		
23			Public Defender Pennington County	•		
24			Rapid City, South			
	`	Dann	FOR THE DEFENDANT		1	
25	1		FILED			,
			HE CIRCUIT COURT		5101244	
		ļ	MAY 05 1993	O	RIGINAL	•
		Bor	hie (Fitzidereld, Clelki 14			

Your name is Mark Dean.

A Right.

I'm one of the attorneys representing Mr. Rhines and I'm Joe Butler. I'm pointing at Mr. Rhines and next to him is Mr. Wayne Gilbert who is associated with me as well as Mr. Mike Stonefield and Mr. Rhines is right there and that is Dennis Groff, the State's Attorney. Mr. Dean we had a chance to look at the questionnaire you returned to the Court and you were asked to list any other known reason why you do not feel you could serve as a juror in this case; do you remember that?

A Uhm-uhm.

Q And you stated I have been going through a lot of stress because of my divorce and money problems?

A Uhm-uhm.

Q Do you feel that that would serve as a reason why you couldn't sit on this case?

A I guess not actually.

Q I don't like to pry into your personal life, but at least you indicated there might be some impact and I would like to visit with you a little bit about it.

Apparently you are in the process of a divorce or has it been completed?

A No, it's completed.

Q And when was it completed?

25

all my life.

What kind of work do you do?