

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Nafeesa H. Naylor — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Lee Harrell, et al — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court OF Appeals - Fifth Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Nafeesa H. Naylor
(Your Name)

P.O. Box 98
(Address)

Bayville, La. 71269
(City, State, Zip Code)

318-349-0138
(Phone Number)




TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Whether an American Citizen^s have a Constitutional right of protection under the "Fourth Amendment"?
2. Whether an American citizen^s have a Constitutional right of protection under the "Fourteenth Amendment"?
3. Whether an American Citizen^s have a Constitutional right of protection under - 18 U.S. Code Chapter 47, ^{Fraud & False Statements...}
U.S. Code § 1005 - Bank entries, reports, and transactions
U.S. Code § 1028A - Aggravated Identity Theft
U.S. Code § 373 - Solicitation to commit a crime of violence
U.S. Code § 371 - Conspiracy to commit offense or to defraud U.S.
U.S. Code § 241 - Conspiracy against rights
U.S. Code § 242 - Deprivation of rights under color of law

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Terry Parrish
Lucille Walker
Dewey R. Allen
Daniel Conisom
William Scott Hunt
James W. Berry
Terry R. Dougherty
Michael R. Wilson
James Redcock
Jeff Landry

Continued: Panties Sewed. (Proof of Service)

James W. Benny
1030 Harrison St.
Rayville, La. 71269

Michael R. Wilson
120 Oak St.
Delhi, La. 71232

Usky, Weeks, & Matthew
Attorney Fred Schroeder
11015 Poydras St.
Suite 1250

New Orleans, La. 70112
(Counsel for St. Terry Parish, Lee Hannell,
~~the~~ Lucille Walker, & Dewey Allen)

Guarantee Bank & Trust
C/O James Adcock
1306 Tulia St.
Rayville, La. 71269

Rayville Police Department
C/O William Scott Hunt
900 Harrison St.
Rayville, La. 71269

United States Supreme Court
1 First St. NE
Washington, DC 20543

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Petitioner/Plaintiff's complaint clearly states basis of, both, state and federal violations of Petitioner/Plaintiff's Constitutional rights on the face of petition, which is facts of unlawful search & seizures, denial of Due Process, and equal protection under the law of the United States Constitution.

All Defendants named herein took part by conspiring together in unlawful acts.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The Conspiracy forming the basis of this lawsuit is factual, intensive, and, Plaintiff, respectfully, suggest that the Court would benefit from hearing Plaintiff explain certain details that may shed further light upon the evidence that appear on the face of petition.

Tone, inflection, and other information gleaned from having not heard the witnesses testify, are lost in the cold record, and, some further elucidation beyond that contained in the written briefs would benefit the Court in these proceedings. Plaintiff seeks a reversal of the trial court based upon the application of the facts in this case to the law, in addition, to legal errors committed by the Trial Court, and, it is an understanding of the nuances in the facts of this matter, that such argument would benefit.

Because it was well established that when there is a violation & denial of someone's constitutional rights of equal protection & their rights under U.S.C. 42; 1983, by all parties, who were conspirators, along with the perpetrator, who all were acting under the color of law, 42 U.S.C. 1983, authorizes lawsuits against state officials, local officials, & sometimes local governments for violating Federal constitutional & statutory rights.

Therefore, Plaintiff's complaint has established a violation of Federal constitutional & statutory rights.

Wherefore, Petitioner, prays that relief sought is granted.

Thanking you in advance,
Rajeev M. Nayor

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Debra H. Taylor

Date: Feb. 16, 2018