

No. _____

In the
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

----- ♦ -----
IN RE W. P.,

Petitioner,

v.

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA,

Respondent.

----- ♦ -----
On Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari

To The United States Supreme Court

----- ♦ -----
APPENDIX TO
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
----- ♦ -----

W.P.
Petitioner Pro se
205 Saratoga Rd
Normal IL 61761

Petitioner Pro se

TABLE OF CONTENTS TO APPENDICES

Page

APPENDIX A

February 2, 2018 Opinion, West Virginia Supreme Court A-1

APPENDIX B

March 2, 2017 Order, Kanawha County Circuit Court, 17-P-49 A-5

APPENDIX C

March 2, 2017 Order, Kanawha County Circuit Court, 17-P-50 A-7

APPENDIX D

August 3, 2011 Order, Kanawha County Magistrate Court 11-D-1081.....A-9

APPENDIX E

August 3, 2011 Order, Kanawha County Magistrate Court 11-D-1094.....A-12

APPENDIX F

November 15, 2011 Pre-Trial Diversion Order, 11-M-6104.....A-14

APPENDIX G

May 22, 2012 Dismissal Order, Kanawha County
Magistrate Court, 11-M-6104.....A-16

APPENDIX H

May 22, 2012 Dismissal Order, Kanawha County
Magistrate Court, 11-M-6041.....A-17

APPENDIX I

United States Constitution, Amendment IV A-18

W. Va. Code § 61-2-9

Malicious or unlawful assault; assault; battery; penalties A-18

W. Va. Code § 61-2-28.

Domestic violence – criminal acts A-18

W. Va. Code § 61-11-22

Pretrial diversion agreements; conditions; drug court
programs A-19

W. Va. Code § 61-11-25

Expungement of criminal records for those found not guilty
of crimes or against whom charges have been dismissed A-19

In re W.P.

Supreme Court of Appeals of West Virginia

February 2, 2018, Filed, Issued

Case No. 17-0318

Reporter

2018 W. Va. LEXIS 81 *

In Re: Petition of W.P. for Expungement of Record

Notice: Memorandum decisions are subject to the rehearing procedures set forth in Revised Rule 25. Unless otherwise provided, the memorandum decision is not final until the Court has issued a mandate under Rule 26.

SEE WEST VIRGINIA RULE 21 OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE FOR CITATION OF MEMORANDUM OPINIONS.

Prior History: [*1] (Kanawha County 17-P-49 and 50).

Disposition: Affirmed.

Core Terms

expungement, circuit court, pretrial diversion, orders, petitions, domestic battery

Judges: CONCURRED IN BY: Chief Justice Allen H. Loughry II, Justice Robin Jean Davis, Justice Margaret L. Workman, Justice Menis E. Ketchum, Justice Elizabeth D. Walker.

Opinion

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Petitioner W.P.,¹ pro se, appeals two orders of the Circuit Court of Kanawha County, entered on March 2, 2017, denying his petitions for expungement of the record in related misdemeanor cases. Respondent State of West Virginia, by counsel Benjamin F. Yancey, III, filed a summary response in support of the circuit court's order. Petitioner filed a reply.

¹ By scheduling order entered on April 21, 2017, this Court deemed this case confidential pursuant to Rule 40(e) of the West Virginia Rules of Appellate Procedure.

The Court has considered the parties' briefs and the record on appeal. The facts and legal arguments are adequately presented, and the decisional process would not be significantly aided by oral argument. Upon consideration of the standard of review, the briefs, and the record presented, the Court finds no substantial question of law and no prejudicial error. For these reasons, a memorandum decision affirming the circuit court's order is appropriate under Rule 21 of the Rules of Appellate Procedure.

On June 29, 2011, petitioner was involved in an altercation with his sister and another woman. According to the resulting criminal complaints, petitioner was charged [*2] with misdemeanor domestic battery pursuant to West Virginia Code § 61-2-28(a) for grabbing his sister "by the throat and push[ing] her down" onto a lounge chair and misdemeanor battery pursuant to West Virginia Code § 61-2-9(c) for shoving the other woman "with an open hand in the chest while she was holding a 9[-]month[-]old baby in a baby carrier."

Petitioner and the State entered into a pretrial diversion agreement pursuant to West Virginia Code § 61-11-22. The parties agreed that each misdemeanor charge would be dismissed if petitioner successfully completed the pretrial diversion program, which was to last six months. The Magistrate Court of Kanawha County accepted the parties' agreement and placed petitioner in the pretrial diversion program by order entered on November 15, 2011. The magistrate court's order was entered in Case No. 11-M-6104 regarding the battery charge, but reflected that the State also agreed "to dismiss [Case No.] 11-M-6041," the case regarding the domestic battery charge, at the end of the pretrial diversion program.

Following petitioner's successful completion of the pretrial diversion program, the magistrate court dismissed the charges in separate orders entered on May 22, 2012. In Case No. 11-M-6041, the magistrate court dismissed the domestic [*3] battery charge, noting that it was "per plea" in the related Case No. 11-M-6104. In No.11-M-6104, the magistrate court dismissed the battery charge, noting that the pretrial diversion program was successfully completed.

On February 17, 2017, petitioner filed a petition for expungement of the record in the Circuit Court of Kanawha County with regard to the domestic battery charge. On February 21, 2017, petitioner filed a similar petition with regard to the battery charge. By separate orders entered on March 2, 2017, the circuit court denied the petitions. In each order, the circuit court noted that it "review[ed] the official court file" and found that "good cause" existed for denying petitioner's request for expungement.

Petitioner now appeals the circuit court's March 2, 2017, orders denying his petitions for expungement of the record.² "This Court reviews a circuit court's order granting or denying expungement of criminal records for an abuse of discretion." Syl. Pt. 1, *In Re: A.N.T.*, 238 W.Va. 701, 798 S.E.2d 623 (2017).

On appeal, petitioner states that he seeks expungement of the record pursuant to West Virginia Code § 61-11-25, under which "a court may expunge records relating to certain criminal charges 'when [the] criminal charges are dismissed [*4] (not as part of a plea agreement).'" *A.N.T.*, 238 W.Va. at 704, 798 S.E.2d at 626 (quoting *Mullen v. State, Div. of Motor Vehicles*, 216 W.Va. 731, 733, 613 S.E.2d 98, 100 (2005)). The State counters that the circuit court properly denied the petitions. We agree with the State.

Petitioner does not dispute that he was charged with domestic battery pursuant to West Virginia Code § 61-2-28, which prohibits acts of domestic violence. West Virginia Code 61-11-22(e) provides, in pertinent part, that West Virginia Code § 61-11-25 is "inapplicable to defendants participating in pretrial diversion programs who are charged with a violation of [West Virginia

² Although not recognized by the modern Rules of Civil Procedure, petitioner also filed motions asking the circuit court "to reconsider" its March 2, 2017, orders. On appeal, petitioner describes those motions seeking to alter or amend the judgment pursuant to Rule 59(e). We note that a timely filed Rule 59(e) motion "suspends the finality of the judgment and makes the judgment unripe for appeal." Syl. Pt. 7, in part, *James M.B. v. Carolyn M.*, 193 W.Va. 289, 456 S.E.2d 16 (1995). However, upon our review of the record, petitioner did not file his "motions to reconsider" the March 2, 2017, orders until March 20, 2017. Therefore, we find that the motions were not timely filed under Rule 59(e), but are instead motions for relief from judgment pursuant to Rule 60(b). Rule 60(b) provides that "[a] motion under this subdivision (b) does not affect the finality of a judgment or suspend its operation." See also Syl. Pt. 1, *Toler v. Shelton*, 157 W.Va. 778, 204 S.E.2d 85 (1974). Accordingly, we consider petitioner's appeal in this posture.

In re W.P.

Code § 61-2-28]." Therefore, we find that petitioner is not eligible to seek expungement of the record under West Virginia Code § 61-11-25.

In syllabus point 2 of A.N.T., we reiterated that the circuit court has the inherent power to expunge the record in "extraordinary circumstances." 238 W.Va. at 702, 798 S.E.2d at 624 (quoting Syl. Pt. 1, State ex rel. Barrick v. Stone, 201 W.Va. 569, 499 S.E.2d 298 (1997)). We find that incidents of domestic violence are not extraordinary, but all too common. We agree with the State that the circumstances of this case provided the circuit court with sufficient cause to deny petitioner's requests for the expungement of the record. See A.N.T., 238 W.Va. at 702-3, 798 S.E.2d at 624-5 (reversing an order of expungement where the petitioner was charged with domestic assault arising out of an altercation with her husband). Therefore, we conclude that the circuit court properly denied petitioner's petitions for expungement.

For the [*5] foregoing reasons, we affirm the circuit court's March 2, 2017, orders denying petitioner's petitions for expungement of the record.

Affirmed.

ISSUED: February 2, 2018

CONCURRED IN BY:

Chief Justice Allen H. Loughry II

Justice Robin Jean Davis

Justice Margaret L. Workman

Justice Menis E. Ketchum

Justice Elizabeth D. Walker

End of Document

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF KANAWHA COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

FILED
2017 MAR -2 AM 11: 53

IN RE: Petition of **WAYNE PATTERSON**
For Expungement of Record

CARLY S. GIBSON, CLERK
KANAWHA COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT

Civil Action No. 2017-P-49
Judge Charles E. King, Jr.

Date of Birth: 7/27/1953
Social Security No.: 371-60-1600
Criminal Action: 11-M-6041
Charges: Assault & Battery
Date of Offense: July 2, 2011
Arresting Agency: So. Charleston Police Dept.

ORDER

On the 17th day of February, 2017, came the Petitioner, **WAYNE PATTERSON**, Pro Se, and filed with the Court his written "**PETITION FOR EXPUNGEMENT OF RECORDS**".


Whereupon, the Court, after giving due and mature consideration to said written Petition and after reviewing the official court file in said action, is of the opinion that a hearing is not necessary in order for the Court to make a decision in this matter. The Court further finds under West Virginia Code §61-11-25, and pursuant to the **MEMORANDUM DECISION** issued by the West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals dated February 11, 2011, and styled In Re: Petition of John R. Zsigray for Expungement of Criminal Record, No. 35645, the expungement of a criminal record is entirely at the discretion of the circuit court. Therefore, the Court finds

APPENDIX B

that good cause or other justification does exist to not grant said request and believes that the expungement is not appropriate. Therefore, the Court is of the opinion to and does hereby **ORDER** that Petitioner's request for Expungement of the above list of criminal charges be and the same is hereby **DENIED**.

The Court hereby notes the objection and exception of the Petitioner to this ruling and **ORDERS** that the Circuit Clerk of this Court forward a certified copy of this Order to all parties, counsel of record, if any, and Maryclaire Akers, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney.

Enter this 2nd day of March, 2017.


CHARLES E. KING, JR., Circuit Judge
Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA
COUNTY OF KANAWHA, SS
I, CATHY S. GATSON, CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF SAID COUNTY
AND BY SAID STATE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING
IS A TRUE COPY FROM THE RECORDS OF SAID COURT
GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF SAID COURT THIS
DAY OF March 2017
CATHY S. GATSON CLERK
CIRCUIT COURT OF KANAWHA COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA 

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF KANAWHA COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

FILED *JP*

2017 MAR -2 AM 11: 53

IN RE: Petition of **WAYNE PATTERSON**
For Expungement of Record

CATHY J. SATSON, CLERK
KANAWHA COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT

Civil Action No. 2017-P-50
Judge Charles E. King, Jr.

Date of Birth: 7/27/1953
Social Security No.: 371-60-1600
Criminal Action: 11-M-6104
Charges: Assault & Battery
Date of Offense: September 7, 2010
Arresting Agency: So. Charleston Police Dept.

ORDER

On the 21st day of February, 2017, came the Petitioner, **WAYNE PATTERSON**, Pro Se, and filed with the Court his written "**PETITION FOR EXPUNGEMENT OF RECORDS**".

Whereupon, the Court, after giving due and mature consideration to said written Petition and after reviewing the official court file in said action, is of the opinion that a hearing is not necessary in order for the Court to make a decision in this matter. The Court further finds under West Virginia Code §61-11-25, and pursuant to the **MEMORANDUM DECISION** issued by the West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals dated February 11, 2011, and styled In Re: Petition of John R. Zsigray for Expungement of Criminal Record, No. 35645, the expungement of a criminal record is entirely at the discretion of the circuit court. Therefore, the Court finds

that good cause or other justification does exist to not grant said request and believes that the expungement is not appropriate. Therefore, the Court is of the opinion to and does hereby **ORDER** that Petitioner's request for Expungement of the above list of criminal charges be and the same is hereby **DENIED**.

The Court hereby notes the objection and exception of the Petitioner to this ruling and **ORDERS** that the Circuit Clerk of this Court forward a certified copy of this Order to all parties, counsel of record, if any, and Maryclaire Akers, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney.

Enter this 2nd day of

March, 2017.
Charles E. King
CHARLES E. KING, JR., Circuit Judge
Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA
COUNTY OF KANAWHA, SS.
I, CATHY S. GATSON, CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF SAID COUNTY,
AND BY SAID STATE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING
IS A TRUE COPY FROM THE RECORDS OF SAID COURT.
GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF SAID COURT THIS
DAY OF March, 2017.
Cathy S. Gatson CLERK
CIRCUIT COURT OF KANAWHA COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**