

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Elouise Bradley
----- — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

Wisconsin Department vs.
of Children and Families et al
----- RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
From the United State Court of Appeal
For the Seventh Circuit for the Eastern Of Wisconsin
Case ~~17~~-cv-00509-JPS
The Honorable Judge J.P. Stadtmueller
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Elouise Bradley
(Your Name)

2430 W. Finn Place
(Address)

Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53206
(City, State, Zip Code)

(414) 243-2828
(Phone Number)



QUESTION PRESENT

Whenever a party to any proceeding in a district court makes and files a timely and sufficient affidavit that the judge before whom the matter is pending has a personal bias or prejudice either against him or in favor of any adverse party, such judge shall proceed no further therein, but another judge shall be assigned to hear such proceeding.

(1) Did the trial judge's failure to recuse himself from Bradley's {pro se} cases violate the Due Process Clause ?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGES
QUESTION PRESENT.....	i
OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
LIST OF PARTIES.....	3
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	4
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....	.5
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	6
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	.8
(A) The panel decision conflicts with a decision of the United States Supreme Court or of the court to which the petition is addressed (with citation to the conflicting case or cases) and consideration by the full court is therefore necessary to secure and maintain uniformity of the court's decisions.. 3rd, 7th ,8th, and the 9 th	
CONCLUSION.....	8

INDEX TO APPENDICES

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at 03-19-2018; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at 09-14-2017; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 03-19-2018.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 4-11-2018, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix E.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgement is the subject of this petition is as follows:

1. Wisconsin Department of Justice (defendant)
2. Bureau of Milwaukee Child Welfare (defendant)
3. Milwaukee County (defendant)
4. Jennifer Sabree (defendant)
5. Jane Abshire (defendant)
6. Rebecca McFadden (defendant)
7. Megan McDermott (defendant)

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

	Page
CASES	
Humphries v. County of Los Angeles, <i>547 F. 3d 1117 -9th Circuit 2008</i>	6
Humphries v. Milwaukee County 12/2012 <i>No. 10 CV 99 J.P. Stadtmueller, 12/2012</i>	6
Humphries v. Milwaukee County, <i>702 F. 3d 1003 – 7th Circuit 2012</i>	6
Pfizer Inc. v. Lord <i>456 F.2d 532 (8th Cir. 1972)</i>	7
Picking v. Pennsylvania Railway , <i>(151 F2d. 240) 3rd Court of Appeals</i>	7
Sherar v. Cullen, <i>481 F. 2d 946 (1973) 9th Court of Appeals (1973)</i>	7

STATUTES AND RULES

Rule 8(f) FRCP	7
Fourteenth Amendment	5
Section 455(a).....	5
28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).....	2
42 U.S.C § 1983.....	6

OTHERS

WISCONSIN ADMINISTRATIVE CODE D.C.F 250.....	6
2009 Wisconsin Act 76.....	7
HOW TO FIX WISCONSIN'S EX POST FACTO CAREGIVER LAW	

CONSTITUTIONAL and STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

The Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution provides, in pertinent part: No state shall . . . deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.

Section 455(a) of Title 28 of the United States Code provides: "Any justice, judge, or magistrate of the United States shall disqualify himself in any proceeding in which his impartiality might reasonably be questioned."

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Elouise Bradley requests that this Court grant her petition for writ of certiorari to correct this fundamental miscarriage of justice. On May 15, 2017 the plaintiff-appellants Elouise Bradley filed MOTION to Change Judge and Appreciation letter to Judge Stadtmuller. On May 15, 2017 the plaintiff-appellants Elouise Bradley filed MOTION to Reassign Case back to Judge Pamela Pepper etc...

A. Did the district judge's fail to recuse himself from Bradley's {pro se} cases violated the Due Process Clause ?

Humphries v. Milwaukee County No. 10 CV 99—J.P. Stadtmueller, *Judge*.

DECEMBER 26, 2012 ¹

2. Humphries v. Milwaukee County, 702 F. 3d 1003 – Court of Appeals, 7th Circuit 2012. Also (see) read Humphries v. County of Los Angeles, 547 F. 3d 1117 – Court of Appeals, 9th Circuit 2008

3. Case 2:15 –cv-1384-PP Filed 03/18/16 page 13 of 23 Document 21 quoted *“Judge Stadtmueller”s dismissal order leave no-doubt that he was finished with the case.* ²

¹ Around 2013 or 2014 in my brief I included that my case was similarly to Humphries v. Milwaukee County No. 10 CV 99—J.P. Stadtmueller,.

² July 29, 2013. No. 13-1609 Rtr the Honorable Judge Rudolph T. Randa Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District Court Case No: 2:12-Cv-01244- Reply Brief of Plaintiff-Appellant Elouise Bradley. Elouise Bradley (pro-se) civil rights was violated and as long as the state entity receives federal funding, 42 U.S.C § 1983 sovereign immunity for discrimination cases is not abrogated..... WISCONSIN ADMINISTRATIVE CODE D.C.F 250 Licensing Rules for Family Child Care Centers effective since 2009 have played a part in the violation of federal law..

This court want to the sanctioned Elouise Bradley pro se (plaintiff's) \$1000.00 as of March 19, 2018. Sherar v. Cullen, 481 F. 2d 946 (1973) 9th Court of Appeals³ (1973).. "Holding that an individual cannot be sanctioned or penalized for exercising his or her constitutional rights . On 09/18 2017 the filing fee \$505. Was paid.

Picking v. Pennsylvania Railway , (151 F2d. 240) 3rd Circuit Court of Appeals
The plaintiff's civil rights pleading was 150 pages and described by a federal judge as "inept". Nevertheless, it was held "Where a plaintiff pleads pro se in a suit for protection of civil rights, the Court should endeavor to construe Plaintiff's Pleadings without regard to technicalities."

The Court also cited *Rule 8(f) FRCP*, which holds that all pleadings shall be construed to do substantial justice. It could also be argued that to dismiss a civil rights action or other lawsuit in which a serious factual pattern or allegation of a cause of action has been made would itself be violative of procedural due process as it would deprive a pro-se litigant of equal protection of the law visa vis a party who is represented by counsel. In *Pfizer Inc. v. Lord, 456 F.2d 532 (8th Cir. 1972)*, the Court stated that "It is important that the litigant not only actually receive justice, but that his or her believes that his or her has received justice." On Aug. 2016 the Department of Justice² has no record of DCF revocation my child care license was discover by Elouise Bradley during a background check under the New Caregiver Law or Act 76. No other state permanently bars those convicted of non-violent financial crimes from holding a child-care license.

^{2, 3, 4} As of March 19, 2018 Elouise Bradley has already being sanction...no more paper will be file in these courts until 03/19/2020.


^{2, 5} The Wisconsin State Legislature reacted swiftly, enacting 2009 Wisconsin Act 76 (Act 76) on November 13, 2009. Act 76 permanently bars all individuals convicted of "fraudulent activity as a participant in the Wisconsin Works program" from obtaining a child-care license or certification, or from simply working as a caregiver. No other state permanently bars those convicted of non-violent financial crimes from holding a child-care license.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

(B) The panel decision conflicts with a decision of the United States Supreme Court or of the court to which the petition is addressed (with citation to the conflicting case or cases) and consideration by the full court is therefore necessary to secure and maintain uniformity of the court's decisions 3rd , 4th , 8th , and 9th Court of Appeal.

CONCLUSION

Please grant the PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI.



Date April 14, 2018

ELOUISE BRADLEY (pro-se)

PLAINTIFF/APPELLANTS

WITHOUT COUNSELOR

2430 W. Finn Place

Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53206

(414) 243-2828

elouise52@aol.com