

No. : 17-8609

In The
Supreme Court Of The United States

JOSE MANUEL LOPEZ, Plaintiff-Appellant,

PETITIONER

vs.

CITY OF SANTA ANA A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION,

CITY OF SANTA ANA POLICE DEPARTMENT,

CITY OF SANTA ANA POLICE DEPARTMENT

POLICE OFFICER A. GARCIA #3233, Defendants

Respondents, *RESPONDENTS*.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Plaintiff / Appellant / Petitioner
Jose Manuel Lopez
Attorney of Record In Pro Se
Jose Manuel Lopez
1238 S. Van Ness St.
Santa Ana, California 92707
714.591.6264

Respondents Appellees Defense Attorneys
Sonia R. Carvalho City Attorney (SBN. 162700)
Laura A. Rossini (SBN. 223471)
Steven J. Rothans (SBN. 106579)
Jill Williams (SBN. 221793)
Justin Reade Sarno (ESQ.) 229803
Johnny Rundell (SBN. 289480)
500 South Grand Ave.
19th Floor, Suite 1960
Los Angeles, California

90617

ORIGINAL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TOPICAL INDEX

GROUND(S)	PAGE(S)
QUESTIONS PRESENTED.....	iv
INTRODUCTION.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
A. REHEARING ON PETITIONER'S CAUSES OF ACTIONS TO DEMONSTRATE OTHER SUBSTANTIAL NATIONAL SECURITY IMPORTANCE LEGAL GROUNDS.....	3
B. ANTI AMERICA HATE GROUP CONSPIRACY VERSUS PETITIONER'S CIVIL RIGHTS TO IDENTITY UNDER U. S. CONST. 14TH AMENDMENT.....	4
C. I. DEFENDANTS ACTIONS TO CONDUCTED INACTIONS OMISSIONS ON POLICIES OF SOME OFFICIAL NATURE CAUSING LIABILITIES FOR CONSTITUTIONAL CIVIL RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT <i>MONELL V. DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES</i> , 436 U. S. 167 (1961).....	5
II. FULL FAITH AND CREDIT IS A NATIONAL IMPORTANT INTEREST IN U. S. CONST. ARTICLE 1 SECTION 1 ARTICLE IV SECTION 1.....	8
III. IMPROPER TRAINING IMPROPER SUPERVISION ON PATTERNS OR PRACTICES.....	8
A. LAW AND ARGUMENT.....	15
CONCLUSION.....	15
INDEX TO APPENDICES:	
APPENDIX A CONTENTS	

TABLE OF CONSTITUTIONAL GROUNDS, LAWS AND AUTHORITIES CITED

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CONSTITUTION

United States of America Constitution 14 th Amendment.....	4
United States of America Constitution 13 th Amendment.....	4
United States of America Constitution Article 1 Section 1.; Article IV.....	8
United States of America Constitution 1 st Amendment.....	9

ACTS OF UNITED STATES CONGRESS

Controlled Substances Act (CSA) (21 U. S. C. § 811).....	8
Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970, Pub. L. 91-513, 84 Stat. 1236 (Oct. 27, 1970).....	8

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT RULES

United States Supreme Court Rule 44. Rehearing.....	3
---	---

FEDERAL CASES

<i>CTS Corp. v. Waldburger</i> , 573 U. S. (2014).....	3; 15
<i>Coleman v. Miller</i> , 307 U. S. 433 (1939).....	4
<i>Monell v. Department of Social Services</i> , 436 U. S. 167 (1961).....	15

THE CODE OF LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES

28 U. S. C. § 1254 (1).....	3
22 U. S. Code § 213.....	5
22 U. S. C. §7102 1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6.....	5
42 U. S. C. § 1983.....	8
42 U. S. C. § 1985 [1]; [2]; [3].....	8
42 U.S.C. § 9658.....	15

STATE OF CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE

State of California Penal Code Section 290 (a) Sex Offender Registration Act.....	13
State of California Penal Code Section 470.....	13
State of California Penal Code Section 290.....	13
State of California False Arrest and False Imprisonment	
Section(s) 236, Section 237.....	14

STATE OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE

State of California Civil Code Section 1430-1432.....	5
---	---

CITY OF SANTA ANA A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION CODE OF ORDINANCES

City of Santa Ana A Municipal Corporation Code of Ordinances Chapter 18 Health and Sanitation Article XIII - Medical Marijuana To Regulate The Establishment, Operation And [Taxation Of Medical Marijuana Collectives And Cooperative].....	7
--	---

CITY OF SANTA ANA POLICE DEPARTMENT

City of Santa Ana Police Department Community Oriented Policing (C. O. P.).....	9
Peace Officer Standards and Training (P. O. S. T.).....	9

OTHER

Orange County Fire Authority (OCFA).....	5
Orange County Mosquitoe And Vector Control District (OCVCD).....	5
U. S. Census Bureau Population estimates July 1 st 2017; 39, 000, 000.00 – California....	11
U. S. Census Bureau reports. A Population estimates on July 1 st 2017; 334, 136 - City of Santa Ana.....	11

DEFENDANTS FISCAL YEARS

Fiscal Year(s) 2012-2013; 2013-2014; 2014-2015; 2015-2016; 2016-2017; 2017-2018.....	3
--	---

QUESTION PRESENTED

Are defendants actions to conducted inactions, omissions of defendants affirmatively creating circumstances approximately causing created circumstances, to approximately causing political influence from a meeting of the minds sharing a common purpose to amend city charter to mitigate adverse effects of medical marijuana collectives and cooperatives by vote to extreme ends of feelings from medical marijuana user(s) manipulating surrounding circumstances marijuana influence into civil disobedience and influence upon anti America hate group(s), hate crimes, committed into crimes of violence in interest of unrest from disgruntled feelings emerging to oppose the hope, faith and peace of the Constitution for the United States of America with hate attacks and hate crimes to subvert thwart to legislative powers vested in Congress of the United States consisting of a Senate and House of Representatives to specifically form into fact patterns or practices within a conspiracy to specifically attack petitioner with anti America occasional hate crimes to interfere, deny, deprive petitioner's civil rights on 42 U. S. C. §1983, 42 U. S. C. §1985 [1]; [2]; [3]) [delay, accrue, repose, freeze] petitioner's commencement date under the constitutional civil rights violations causing personal injuries of tort actions within the time frame when the interests of justice would make unfair the strict application of an inadequate applicable limitations period of State of California Civil Code of Procedure Chapter 3, 335.1 Within two years, An action for assault, battery, or injury to, or for the death of, an individual caused by the wrongful act or neglect of another, to enforce petitioner's civil rights?

INTRODUCTION

1. Petition For Rehearing, provides the structure of other substantial national security importance legal grounds. Under the grace of the United States Supreme Court's judicial powers extending to all cases in Law and Equity, arising under the Constitution, the laws of the United States (U. S.) for the United States of America (the citizens thereof; Citizens, or subjects.). To hear Petition For Rehearing. To settle a legal principle of law that will delay, accrue, repose, freeze. Any state statute of limitations. Within the factual based circumstances consistent with domestic conflict(s). Created by anti America crimes and hate crimes. Found in self evidentiary factors.

2. To develop new U. S. Supreme law. To govern any state statute of limitations. By evidence from any circumstances. Impacting the civil rights to any lawsuit(s). By city policies of some nature, influencing, or vicariously influencing medical marijuana politics, into marijuana crime patterns, increasing the amount of crimes in the material communities or vicariously, causing serious medical injuries serious medical harms. Specifically upon petitioner and or by reckless disregard of civil rights by vector control.

3. Thereby, causing a vicarious third effect. From city policies of dangerous circumstances. From official city council meeting(s). To meet a body politic, on a common purpose. From a city's political body view. On medical marijuana advocates, meeting with superficial judgments. To achieve a mitigated common view and purpose. From defendant's body politic view. On medical marijuana objectives. Within a common purpose. Between two, or more persons. Sharing the same superficial judgment and views. On medical marijuana and objectives and meetings` objectives on vector control.

4. Within the view from the body politics material fiscal years. To benefit from fiscal year(s) responsibilities. Defendant body politic agendas invented a tax system. To levy

tax on cooperatives, collectives, providing petitioner insufficient responses pertaining to vector control and medical marijuana crimes and hate crimes against him.

5. Petitioner was attacked by medical marijuana user group(s), anti America group(s) and hate groups. By hate crimes and anti America crimes and users of vectors.

6. Defendants committed to actions, to conduct inactions, omissions. To specific causes upon petitioner. Causing him serious medical injuries and serious medical harms. From government patterns, practices, agendas and government body politic.

7. In the view of liabilities caused upon violating petitioner's civil rights. To be an object within a common body politic purpose that was inconsistent to body politic fiscal year(s) agendas. In defendants material fiscal year(s) to liabilities. Defenses against law suits. Specifically not mitigating the human, civil right violations against petitioner.

8. Petitioner believes all of defendants committed to actions, to conduct inactions, omissions. Was a shared purpose from body politic views on objects within fiscal years agendas, on going discrimination. Causing petitioner occasional serious medical injury and occasional serious medical harms. From city policies of some nature causing constitutional tort. By body politic view of the public within material fiscal years.

9. Specifically preventing petitioner's good health, preventing petitioner from writing his lawsuit, on legal grounds of false arrest, false imprisonment. At petitioner's former legal residential address and his whereabouts. To prevent petitioner. From commencing his lawsuit versus defendants sooner than when petitioner's good health was revived and commenced into his lawsuit.

10. At the United States District Court Central District of California, Santa Ana.

JURISDICTION

11. Under, the jurisdiction of the United States Supreme court. Pursuant to rules

and guidance of the United States Supreme Court Rule 44. Rehearing. Invoked by 28 U. S. C. § 1254 (1).

**A. REHEARING ON PETITIONER'S CAUSES OF ACTIONS TO DEMONSTRATE
OTHER SUBSTANTIAL NATIONAL SECURITY
IMPORTANCE LEGAL GROUNDS**

12. Petitioner's case, represents more than one cause of nationwide, "United States of America security importance". On other substantial grounds, "not previously presented". From action(s) that should appeal. To attract the interests. From the people of the United States of America. To the nature of his lawsuit.

13. On the foundation. From legal Reasons. To question. Factors from an, "anti America nature and a nature of hate".

14. To an actual or perceived threat. Petitioner has discovered. From presenting hidden or unpredictable dangers. In circumstances surrounding petitioner. Within Defendants' jurisdiction; fiscal year(s) 2012-2013; 2013-2014; 2014-2015; 2015-2016; 2016-2017; 2017-2018 and petitioner's whereabouts.

15. Stated in petitioner's Petition for Rehearing. Petitioner sets out the facts material. To the consideration of the question(s) presented. Whether serious medical injury directly, or approximately. Caused by city policy of some nature. Count into time of delay, accrual, repose the statute of limitations, freeze the statute of limitations. To the statute of limitations, or not.

16. Based on petitioner finding a new case-in-chief. In the U. S. Supreme Court case law development(s). "*CTS Corp. v. Waldburger*, 573 U. S. (2014)".

17. Here in lands of the United States of America. Ideologies of communities of communism or anarchy. Have conducted operations. To undermine, to disrupt, to trick, to

put into danger. The Constitution for the United States of America and to target America with hate, crimes of violence motivated by hate. Based on America's natural appeal.

18. By attacking America with hate crimes. To threaten the freedoms of liberty's blessings.

19. Upon, America and the people of the United States of America. In order to succeed, "by the majority". In the material demographic population(s), creating danger(s).

20. An example of extremist group(s). Are, "Anti Fascist (ANTIFA); facets in proximity to National Organization for the Reformation of Marijuana Laws (NORML); Organized Crime Group(s) (RICO); Corruption Group(s); [Snitching Cultures] of Knowingly False Criminal Allegations; anti America Spies; Actor(s) from Color of Law in violation of the Constitution for the United States of America".

21. Seemingly and in particular. Organized anti America extreme group(s) and hate groups. At the material times. Operated secretly, privately or in public. Under the defendants' weight of authorities and in petitioner's whereabouts.

**B. ANTI AMERICA HATE GROUPS CONSPIRACY VERSUS PETITIONER'S
CIVIL RIGHTS TO IDENTITY UNDER U. S. CONST. 14TH AMENDMENT**

22. At material times. By unrelenting efforts. To effectively enforce [violating] the ratification (on the approximate date of December 6th 1865) adopted, "Constitution for the United States of America 13th Amendment Section 1". Following the American Civil War (*Coleman v. Miller*, 307 U. S. 433 (1939)). Upon petitioner.

23. Anti America groups, use anti America hate. In grand refined patterns, or practices. From trickery, entrapment, knowingly making false criminal allegations. Against petitioner.

24. To make efforts, to achieve abducting petitioner's factual innocence. By

manipulating, influencing color of law. Into violating petitioner's civil rights and to make petitioner. A slave of the State of California penal institution system(s). Material to petitioner's location, due process civil suit action(s). Within, "defendants material fiscal years, jurisdiction(s) and petitioner's whereabouts".

25. Petitioner has survived being the target of anti America group hatred attacks. From self evident objective(s). From charismatic [communist], [anarchist] ideologies, manipulations and influences. From more than two persons. Operating in secrecy, in private, in public and without suspect information. From any official authority, or identifiable anti America group identity, [anti America ideologies and influences].

26. By actions to interfere, efforts to deprive, efforts to deny petitioner. His inherited by birth, Certificate of Live Birth identity. Born into civil right(s), attested to, by the Constitution for the United States of America 14th Amendment Section 1 and/or petitioner's civil right(s). To travel abroad. (22 U. S. Code § 213 – Application for passport verification by oath of initial passport; 22 U. S. C. §7102 1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6)

**C. I. DEFENDANTS ACTIONS TO CONDUCTED INACTIONS OMISSIONS ON
POLICIES OF SOME OFFICIAL NATURE CAUSING LIABILITIES FOR
CONSTITUTIONAL CIVIL RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT *MONELL V.***

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES, 436 U. S. 167 (1961)

27. By actions, inactions, omissions. From defendants joint, or several obligations. In State of California Civil Code Section 1430-1432. Connected to Orange County Mosquitoe And Vector Control District (O. C. V. D.) and/or Orange County Fire Authority (O. C. F. A.). To not provide petitioner on the material times.

28. Reasonable warnings of information, educational materials and responses. To petitioner's material 911 calls, "without responding with sufficient skill". To all the

causes of serious toxic contaminants polluting petitioner's circumstantial environments, atmospheres. The toxins approximately caused petitioner's good health to be impaired. Within the material period of occasioned medical injuries, medical harms, in time(s). Material to defendants' counterpart(s) O. C. V. C. D. [city policy, by state statute] and O. C. F. A".

29. Pertaining to the elements of harmful substances, toxin(s), gas, chemical(s), color of law actions in reckless disregard, deliberate indifference use of toxins. The proximate cause(s), direct cause or causes of petitioner's serious medical injuries and serious medical harms.

30. Used intentionally, to apparently prevent petitioner. From commencing his federal lawsuit, "versus defendants". Sooner, than when petitioner did.

31. By willful, wanton conduct in deliberate indifference. To not appropriately or properly educate or warn petitioner. About the serious toxic contaminants polluting petitioner's circumstantial environments, atmospheres. At the defendants pertinent material Ward and petitioner's whereabouts. Covering approximately 27.39 sq. mi. (70.95 km) of area. Within Defendants' fiscal year(s) 2012-2013; 2013-2014; 2014-2015; 2015-2016; 2016-2017; 2017-2018.

32. Actions, conducted inactions, omissions. To prevent petitioner from commencing his federal lawsuit, "versus defendants". Sooner, than when petitioner did.

33. Petitioner did not, "at any point in time". Neglect or fail. Reasonable care. To all his serious medical injuries and medical harms.

34. Furthermore, the proximate cause, direct cause or causes. Created by defendants' actions, to conduct inactions, omissions. To [not provide analogous sufficient benefits of constitutional equal treatment]. (U. S. Const. 14th Amendment Section 1 [due process,

[equal protection])

35. To all persons not consenting. To using second hand smoke of medicinal marijuana or by Yes vote. To consent, "to approve of defendants medical marijuana policy". (City of Santa Ana A Municipal Corporation Code of Ordinances Chapter 18 Health and Sanitation Article XIII - Medical Marijuana To Regulate The Establishment, Operation And [Taxation Of Medical Marijuana Collectives And Cooperative])

36. Including the leadership politic(s) and ideals of former State of California Attorney General Kamala D. Harris. Support to medical marijuana. Issuing one of her (State of California Attorney General Kamala D. Harris) stronger statements of feelings. To believe in the official former Attorney General's support provided. To medical cannabis. Around the approximate date of May 19th 2015. Promoting the legalization of medical cannabis, within the State of California Democrat Convention of 2015.

37. Causing adverse circumstances, "third effects of medicinal marijuana collectives and cooperatives". That set into motion. A series of criminal actions involving medical marijuana politic(s), influencing crime(s), motivated by politics upon petitioner.

38. Defendants impact, at the material times. Was to receive and to give. Body politic on mitigating (or) body politic influencing approval. Within medical marijuana politic yay votes. To adopt marijuana tax levy and to legalize medical marijuana operations. By body politic leadership's use of public trust. Within a greater plan. From communist/anarchist ideal(s) communities' [trend(s)] and [fraud(s)]. On marijuana of anti America principles. Intended on causing thwart, to subvert or subordinate. The consistency of the legislative powers vested in a Congress of the United States, which consist of a Senate and House of Representatives. The impact precipitated an increased violent crime level of anti America attacks. Specifically targeting petitioner with crime, violent crime and hate crime and anti America hate crime efforts.

39. Without repeal, “to the Controlled Substances Act (CSA) (21 U. S. C. § 811); Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970, Pub. L. 91-513, 84 Stat. 1236 (Oct. 27, 1970)”. (SEE APPENDIX A PETITIONER’S SERIOUS MEDICAL INJURIES)

II. FULL FAITH AND CREDIT IS A NATIONAL IMPORTANT INTEREST IN U. S. CONST. ARTICLE 1 SECTION 1 ARTICLE IV SECTION 1

40. Based on reasons having to do with national importance on full faith and credit. In each State to the public Acts, Records, and judicial proceedings. In Constitution for the United States Of America Article 1 Section 1.; Article IV Section 1. To the people similarly situated nationwide within an America minority class of people. Attacked by hate groups, or not. Who need an established Constitution for the United States of America.

41. By every survivor in posterity. To denounce Nationwide, “law enforcement conspiracy of a code of silence that provides loyalty”. To a pattern or practice of action(s). Conducting inaction(s), omission(s). That, “undermine law enforcement and in the America systemic United States Department of Justice”. To keep liabilities silent.

42. By every survivor. Harmed, or injured. By, “color of law”. Civil right, “violations”. In free exercise of legislative statutes that provide legal authority. To private persons. To commence to have file of lawsuit(s). To redeem civil right violations. By acts of U. A. Congress, “42 U. S. C. § 1983, 42 U. S. C. § 1985 [1]; [2]; [3]”. Upon, full faith and credit. (U. S. Const. Article IV Section 2; Article VI the supreme Law of the Land.)

III. IMPROPER TRAINING IMPROPER SUPERVISION ON PATTERNS OR PRACTICES

43. A nexus within defendants use of a fact pattern, or fact practice. To target petitioner. Commenced on traceable dates. Within the month(s) of June 2011 or July

2011. Until some later unspecified time. From Defendant City of Santa Ana Police Department Community Oriented Policing (C. O. P.), Peace Officer Standards and Training (P. O. S. T.).

44. Upon all surrounding circumstances. Material to Paige Stackhouse (she/her). Knowingly making false criminal allegations against petitioner to defendants. Reflecting 1.] hitting her on the shoulder (assault), 2.] stealing (theft) from her purse in the amount of \$ 250.00 and 3.] child pornography in petitioner's computers (child pornography).

45. The belief of petitioner. Is the false criminal allegations of Paige Stackhouse.

46. When made against petitioner. Were made against petitioner, based on petitioner's, "belief and values". In his identity, identifiable white skin pigmentation (Caucasian) DNA; traceable to Europe continent archaeological findings, a descendant of Europeans. To common ancestor origin(s). To the Neanderthal Man (a German[ic] [value])".

47. After one conversation between Paige Stackhouse and Petitioner. About his human evolution beliefs and values.

48. Based on Paige Stackhouse', "opinion". Provided to the conversation. On petitioner's, "values and beliefs". (U. S. Const. Liberty: Amendment 1 [freedom of speech, free expression or of the press]).

49. Her opinion, traceable to her forming the opinion. Petitioner's anthropology origins, "are traceable to the "Africa continent ". To persist, "to oppose petitioner's (he/his) values and beliefs". As if to offend his values and beliefs. About his satisfaction in, "his identity".

50. Petitioner informed Paige Stackhouse. He did not value her opinion, whatsoever.

51. Paige Stackhouse informed petitioner, this belittled, "her black friends".

52. Based on her opinion of reasons. About, his values and beliefs.

53. She started to make apparent efforts, "to entrap petitioner". By repeating harassment, stalking, making one false crime allegation(s). At the inside of the petitioner's residence. Against petitioner. He believed at the material times. Paige Stackhouse was making effort(s). To entrap petitioner by violence. (State of California Entrapment). To criminalize petitioner's identity and factual innocence.

54. Petitioner made 911 phone calls". To defendants` 911 system(s) regarding her repeated trespassing, harassment, stalking, making false crime allegation(s) against him.

55. Defendants' (employee) City of Santa Ana Police Department Det. A. Nguyen #2879" responded. Here, is when Paige Stackhouse made knowingly false criminal allegations. About: 1.] hitting her on the shoulder (assault), 2.] stealing (theft) from her purse in the amount of \$ 250.00 and 3.] child pornography in petitioner's computers (child pornography), Against petitioner, "to City of Santa Ana Police Department Det. A. Nguyen #2879".

56. Petitioner informed City of Santa Ana Police Department Det. A. Nguyen #2879. She was knowingly making false criminal allegations. Because of petitioner's white DNA, his European descent, her opinion, in conflict with his identity. In this moment. Paige Stackhouse informed petitioner. By stating to petitioner, "[just] because of that, [i`m going to have sex with a black man] (she repeated the information)". (State of California Penal Code Section 422.55.)

57. Causing City of Santa Ana Police Department (SAPD) Det. A. Nguyen #2879. To target petitioner with action of a gender bias, an implied bias, an explicit bias, "invidious discrimination animus". By informing petitioner, "the reason he was getting the

treatment he was getting. From the SAPD. Was because petitioner was on parole and Paige Stackhouse. Knows, "how to dress like a lady".

58. Soon after, Det. A. Nguyen #2879, Based on the knowingly false crime(s) reported by Paige Stackhouse. (About child pornography in petitioner's computers). Targeted all petitioner's computer properties. By SAPD sex crime investigation and [seized]. All petitioner's computer properties, "without a [warrant]".

59. All of petitioner's computer properties were returned to petitioner. Approximately one week after seizure. (SEE:APPENDIX A DETECTIVE A NGUYEN SEX CRIME REPORT)

60. It should be obvious, defendants did not target Paige Stackhouse with a criminal investigation(s). Based on her female gender and petitioner's former parole conditions. (Constitution for the United States of America 15th Amendment Section 1) Based on (her) [mere say so].

61. Afterwards, defendants targeted petitioner. By using unreasonable weightless of evidence invasion(s). From investigations of unreasonable, intimidating and fearsome nature of defendant Santa Ana Police Department. Upon, petitioner's properties (and person). (Targeting petitioner with unreasonable searches in conflict with petitioner's former parole law.)

62. From, within a background. To where every evidence of population control and crime. From all surrounding circumstances. Within the United States. In a human population count of U. S. Census Bureau Population estimates July 1st 2017; 39, 000, 000.00 - California. Where U. S. Census Bureau reports. A Population estimates on July 1st 2017; 334, 136 - City of Santa Ana. Should be equally traceable and available. To defendants investigations. On [mere say so].

63. Defendant's conducted frequent unreasonable action(s) of searches. At

petitioner's former residential legal address and to use petitioner's former parole law material of special conditions of parole. To repeat the fact pattern of unreasonable searches of all the petitioner's properties. Within petitioner's former residential address. Causing petitioner to perceive defendants. To be committed, "to unreasonable, patterns or practices and efforts to provoke, to entrap him:. To cause petitioner action or refrain from action. Within the months of June 2011 through August 2011. (C. O. P.; P. O. S. T.; improper training, improper supervision, to investigate and adult parole.)

64. Concluding, on an approximate number of 5 separate unreasonable searches of petitioner, petitioner's properties and a total number of searches of petitioner and petitioner's properties of approximately seven (7) separate searches, within a three month course of time, in low number of eight (8) hour time(s). Between searches or hours in days. From one day after another day.

65. Petitioner believes defendants fact pattern of unreasonable searches, or unreasonable fact practice of searches. Was retaliation. On the behalf of Paige Stackhouse. From defendants against petitioner. Motivated by invidious discrimination animus. Within a peace officer nationwide code of silence. To peace officer bizarre misconduct, misconduct, serious misconduct, outrageous misconduct. (SEE APPENDIX A CASE LAW LEGAL PRCEDENCE PURVIEW)

66. The searches and seizure of petitioner's computer properties. Unreasonably suggested petitioner to be a sex offender. By defendants actions. Similar to bias, prejudice, discrimination. Provided to any registered sex offender, within the enforcement of sex offender registry law. Based on inappropriate or improper reasons. To invidious discriminatory animus, to petitioner's minority class.

67. From improper training, improper supervisions. With no basis of fact to discriminate against petitioner. By using tactics similar. To enforcement of law. To,

“State of California Penal Code Section 290 (a) Sex Offender Registration Act on parole and seizing petitioner's computers without warrant”. To investigate petitioner's computers on a knowingly made false sex crime allegation of child pornography.

68. In this point of time. In the months and year of July 2011 through October 2011. Defendants commenced some magnitude to a nexus. From the proximity of unreasonable color of law actions. At the defendants counterpart parole law department, Santa Ana Adult Parole Department Region 4, Division 3 Santa Ana, Ca.

69. Seen in Special conditions of parole. Providing parole law enforcement special conditions of parole notice. An apparent mischaracterization of petitioner's true parole conditional release from imprisonment. By what seems to be evidence of a fabrication or actions or inactions or omissions or dishonest or [color of law] to [violate the law]. To falsify special conditions of parole notice by violating state and federal laws. (SEE: APPENDIX A STATE OF CALIFORNIA REDACTED ADULT PAROLE PROCEEDINGS; ADULT PAROLE LAW; State of California Penal Code Section 470)

70. To falsely report and notice petitioner. On admission or pattern of illegal or illicit drug use., 11. Based on your (his) lawful requirement to register as a sex offender pursuant to PC 290 and your designation as a High Risk Sex Offender. (SEE APPENDIX A STATE OF CALIFORNIA REDACTED ADULT PAROLE PROCEEDINGS)

71. On February 5th 2012, Alishia Marie Hernandez (she) knowingly made allegations petitioner was motivated to commit the rape of her person. Because of the rest of the rent money of \$250.00 U. S. Currency. (It Should be noted. Any allegations of a rental agreement of any type or rent money of any amount exchanged or communicated. Between Petitioner and Alishia Marie Hernandez. IS NOT TRUE) (SEE: APPENDIX A REDACTED DOCTRINE OF LAW LOPEZ VS. HERNANDEZ; CASE LAW LEGAL PRCEDENCE PURVIEW; STATE OF CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE ON JUDICIAL PROCESS)

72. On February 5th 2012. Defendant's arrested petitioner. Without a felony warrant at his home. By actions of false arrest and false imprisonment. At the material dates, times and place(s). That should be perfectly clear, were in violation of state law and federal law. By violating State of California False Arrest and False Imprisonment Section(s) 236, Section 237 (laws). On the instant time. To when petitioner opened his back door connected to the entrance of the kitchen. To his residence. From his former legal residential address. Without a felony warrant. (SEE: APPENDIX A SANTA ANA POLICE DEPARTMENT CRIME REPORT) Defendants actions were below a human or peace officer standard in law enforcement. To unreasonably not collaborate to investigate material evidences of crimes targeting petitioner, motivated by hate or anti America hate.

73. The petitioner was then seized by defendants. By five dispensable Defendant Santa Ana Police Department police officers. In use of high powered deadly force hand guns. To threaten petitioner with mechanical deadly firearms into arrest and false imprisonment of 67 days. In jail. Petitioner did not consent to defendants' actions, could not reasonably form an objection or consent to defendants' actions, without the fear of losing his life into death, by color of law in violation of his civil rights. (COUNTY OF ORANGE SHERIFF'S JAIL; SEE: APPENDIX A; STATE OF CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE ON JUDICIAL PROCESS)

74. Using the threat of death, upon petitioner. By defendant's use of deadly firearms without any warning pointed at petitioner's face and upper torso chest body (parts(s)).

75. Based on Alishia Marie Hernandez` moral of evil, "anti America attack". Upon petitioner. She knowingly made false rape allegation(s). Based on hate, "against petitioner". In violation of state criminal laws and petitioner's civil rights. Under federal law(s). (SEE: APPENDIX A STATE OF CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE ON JUDICIAL PROCESS PETITIONER'S HORIZONTAL CAUSES OF CIVIL ACTIONS; REDACTED DOCTRINE OF LAW LOPEZ

VS. HERNANDEZ)

LAW AND ARGUMENT

76. In *CTS Corp. v. Waldburger*, 573 U. S. (2014) No. 13-339 (U. S. June 9, 2014).

The U. S. Supreme Court held that Congress preempted "statutes of *limitations*" — but not "statutes of repose" — for toxic tort cases. (Construing 42 U.S.C. § 9658)

77. Here, petitioner sustained serious medical injuries and needless time consumption. Approximately caused by two separate city policies of some nature. City policies, on vectors and medical marijuana. Within some kind of conspiracy passive or aggressive covering defendants jurisdiction. To conceal the actual toxins used. In all the circumstances threatening and causing petitioner. Serious medical injury, serious medical harms. Interfering with, depriving and denying petitioner's rights to lawsuit.

78. Affirmatively placing petitioner in the way of dangers. Preventing petitioner. From commencing his lawsuit any time sooner, than on dates. From United States of America District Court Central District of California 8:13-CV-00238-JGB-RZ; SA CV 14-00738 Commenced on May 12th 2014 leading to SACV 14-01369 SVW (RZ) commenced on August 26th 2014, leading to 1st Amended Complaint Operative, on October 1st 2014. When, "he did". (*Monell v. Department of Social Services*, 436 U. S. 167 (1961))

79. Nothing petitioner did or did not do. Caused defendants color of law to violate his civil rights. (42 U. S. C. §1983, 42 U. S. C. §1985 [1]; [2]; [3])

CONCLUSION

80. Petition For Rehearing should be granted.

Date: 13th of July 2018

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

ATTORNEY IN PRO SE

original
signed
and dated.
J.M.L.

Appendix A

APPENDIX A CONTENTS Pages 1 – 29

No. : 17-8609

In The
Supreme Court Of The United States

JOSE MANUEL LOPEZ, Plaintiff-Appellant,

PETITIONER

vs.

CITY OF SANTA ANA A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION,

CITY OF SANTA ANA POLICE DEPARTMENT,

CITY OF SANTA ANA POLICE DEPARTMENT

POLICE OFFICER A. GARCIA #3233, Defendants

Respondents, *RESPONDENTS*.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR REHEARING

10/11/17
10/11/17
10/11/17
10/11/17