

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

SIMEON BOZIC,
Petitioner

v.

JOHN WETZEL,
Respondent

PETITION FOR REHEARING AND/OR RECONSIDERATION

**WHEN ALL LOWER COURTS
REFUSE TO UPHOLD A
SUPREME COURT DECISION,
WHERE DOES ONE GO FOR IT'S
ENFORCEMENT?**

Simeon Bozic
Petitioner, Pro se
HL 9179
c/o: SCI Greene
175 Progress Drive
Waynesburg, PA 15370

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR REHEARING AND/OR RECONSIDERATION

I, Simeon Bozic, pro se petitioner, respectfully petitions this Honorable Supreme Court - pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 44 - for reargument and/or reconsideration of its order entered in this matter on June 11, 2018. I am a pro se prisoner seeking a liberal construction of all filings in this matter to be held to a less stringent standard than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers in conformity with **Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519, 520, 30 L.Ed.2d 652, 92 S.Ct. 594 (1972)**. In support of this petition I aver the following:

This Honorable Supreme Court set precedent in **Hill v. United States ex rel. Wampler, 298 U.S. 460, 56 S.Ct. 760, 80 L.Ed. 1283 (1936)** which affirmed that in order to make a person serve any portion of a sentence it must be a part of the judgment and that this judgment must be reduced to writing. This precedent also stated that a prisoner cannot be confined by virtue of a commitment form if not backed by a valid judgment.

In the instant case the defendant has conceded to the fact that a written judgment order has never been created against me. He said a court order is not needed to confine prisoners. The lower courts have ignored your precedent and created their own precedent which directly conflicts with *Wampler*.

As of today a sentence has never been imposed against me

because a judgment order has not been created to impose a sentence. The lower courts have flaunted, ignored and disobeyed your precedent set in *Wampler*. *Who do I turn to for redress of grievance? Who will enforce your precedent?*

Since I started to litigate this claim the receiving room of every Pennsylvania state correctional institution has posted a sign that reads in effect: 'If a county delivers an inmate without a copy of his written judgment of sentencing order he will be returned to the county'. It is evident that a judgment order is required to confine an inmate. As argued on pages 10 to 13 of my petition for writ of certiorari Common Pleas Judge Farley Toothman stated that the Pennsylvania Sentencing Commission instructs judges on what needs to be placed in their sentencing orders and he found it "facinating" that the defendant claimed that judges did not have to create written sentencing orders. See relevant portions of the certified transcript hereto attached as *Exhibit A*.

Both the district and third circuit courts have misrepresented the truth by creating precedents that call a transcript and DC-300B court commitment form; "sentencing orders". Attached as *Exhibit B* is a copy of a real sentencing order from a case unrelated to mine. Attached as *Exhibit C* is a copy of the DC-300B court commitment form in my case. One is an order, the other is a form. *They are not the same* and it is

erroneous to rely on a transcript or a commitment form as though these were orders. See *Wampler*.


Again, *Who can I turn to for enforcement of your precedent?* The protection of all citizens at risk requires this Honorable Court's attention to this matter.

Question: *Is an offender confined to a state institution without the existence or creation of an order from the court subject to involuntary servitude?* This question has never been considered by this Honorable Supreme Court. Because the lower courts have truncated the scope of these judicial issues, and have turned their backs on your precedent in *Wampler*, this Honorable Court should reconsider and grant certiorari.

WHEREFORE, I, Simeon Bozic, respectfully request that this Honorable Court enter an order granting reconsideration of it's order dated June 11, 2018 and grant relief requested in my petition for writ of certiorari. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 26, 2018.

Respectfully submitted:

By: 

Simeon Bozic
Petitioner, Pro se
HL 9179
c/o: SCI Greene
175 Progress Drive
Waynesburg, PA 15370

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**