
In The Supreme Court of the United States

GIUSEPPE VIOLA, AKA **JOSEPH J. VIOLA**,
Alleged Debtor-Petitioner,

v.

MORTON D. KIRSCH, *et al.*,
Creditors-Respondents,

v.

UNITED STATES Trustee,
Trustee- Respondent.

GIUSEPPE VIOLA,
Petitioner,

v.

CARLA HACKER-AGNEW, Warden,
ARIZONA STATE PRISON COMPLEX – YUMA,
AND
MARK BRNOVICH, Attorney-General
of the State Of **ARIZONA**,
Respondents.

JOSEPH J. VIOLA,
Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Respondent.

**On Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari
To The United States Court Of Appeals
For The Ninth Circuit
For the Foregoing Interrelated Appeals**

PETITION FOR REHEARING

GIUSEPPE VIOLA, 050936
ASPC YUMA-CIBOLA, 6A47
P. O. BOX 8909
SAN LUIS, AZ
Petitioner *pro se*

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Giuseppe Viola hereby respectfully petitions for rehearing in No. 17-8493.

On 18 May 2018, he had submitted a Supplemental Brief for filing herein, precisely upon the class of grounds contemplated as necessary for rehearing, as it addresses intervening matters which had not been available at the time of the last filing; and are of a substantial or controlling effect, as they involve the federal question of the proper application of the *ex post facto* clause, Article I, § 10, cl.10 of the Constitution of the United States as related to an order of the Supreme Court of Arizona in amendment of statute based rules of state courts for Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32 as the basis of collateral review. Such issue impacts the ability of one so situated to request review of their criminal conviction in that state.

A Petition for Review of the question was filed concurrently with the Supreme Court of Arizona as the Supplemental Brief, a complete copy of which, now docketed there as No. CR 18-0268 PR, was appended to the Supplemental Brief, with notice therein to both courts of their respective involvement, and for an expeditious disposition, that its opinion might be included herein, which should be available shortly after the commencement of the October Term, 2018. It is respectfully requested that this Petition remain open to receive that essential input.

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

The undersigned petitioner *pro se* hereby certifies that this Petition for Rehearing is restricted to the grounds above stated and as contained in the appended Supplemental Brief, and is in compliance with Rule 44.2, and is limited to intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect, or to other substantial grounds not previously presented, and is presented pursuant to all other applicable rules, in good faith and not for delay.

Respectfully submitted under 28 USC § 1746 this 5th day of June, 2018.

/s/ Giuseppe Viola
Petitioner *pro se*

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**