

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

DON MASHAK - Petitioner

VS.

FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION
THE PROVIDENT BANK, ET. AL


Respondents

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
MINNESOTA SUPREME COURT

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

DON MASHAK
PO Box 231
Albertville MN 55301
612-417-0930

REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT



QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- 1) Did the Minnesota District Court error in denying Petitioner Accommodation under the Americans with Disabilities Act(ADA).
- 2) Did the Minnesota District Court conspire to deny Petitioner Accommodation under the ADA? Did this constitute retaliation under ADA Title V?
- 3) Did the Minnesota District Courts Abuse its Discretion and Abuse its Power in failing to grant Petitioner Accommodation under the ADA, refusing to schedule Motion hearings, and refusing to communicate in a timely and professional manner?
- 4) Did the Minnesota Courts err in not removing Judge McPherson for bias/prejudice?
- 5) Did the Minnesota Courts error in declaring Petitioner's timely filed Petition untimely because it contained minor errors caused by Petitioners disability and unavailability of treatment because the district Court interfered with Petitioners Medical Providers?
- 6) Should the Department of Justice take action against the person(s) who conspired to interfere with Petitioners Medical Providers?

REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Clerk,
Supreme Court of the United States,
Washington, D. C. 20543

PETITIONER

Don Mashak, Pro Se

POB 231 Albertville MN 55301
612-417-0930
DonMashak@Yahoo.com

ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENTS

Federal National Mortgage Association
Shapiro & Zielke, LLP – John P. Dockry
12550 West Frontage Road #200,
Burnsville MN 55337
(952) 831-4060

The Provident Bank (has never responded to any of Petitioner's Communications in this matter)

The Provident Bank
201 East 5th Street,
Cincinnati OH 45202
No phone known

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A – December 20, 2017 Minnesota Supreme Court Order
APPENDIX B – October 17, 2017 Appellate Court Order
APPENDIX C – May 1, 2017 Order of Chief Judge Tenney
APPENDIX D - District Court Order denying Accommodation under the ADA
APPENDIX E – DO NOT MAIL
APPENDIX F - Sedition, Treason and Revolution – The New Progressive View
APPENDIX G – FBI brings back COINTELPRO under Patriot Act
APPENDIX H – FBI still views Political Dissent as the Enemy
APPENDIX I – Proposed Political Party Resolutions with Judicial Reform 2008 Judiciary Committees
APPENDIX J – Annual Letter Demanding Hearing before MN Legislature
APPENDIX K – Judicial TAR Proposed Political Party Resolutions 2012-2016
APPENDIX L – Proof of MN TEA Party Nominal Group Process Results
APPENDIX M – BCA – Cease and Desist Letter
APPENDIX N – Letter to Nystrom 11/04/2015
APPENDIX O – Lower Court Denial of Accommodation under ADA

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Sjorberg v. Nordin that, "A pecuniary interest in the event of the action is the cause of disqualification intended to be reached by the [statutory] section, and not a mere bias resulting from partiality or prejudice in favor or against either of the parties."

State v. Dorsey, explained that the term "pertains to knowledge that arises out of a judge's private, individual connection to particular facts.

State v. Cleary (above), like *Williams*, also cited appearances as a basis for finding a due process violation.

STATUTES AND RULES

42 U.S. Code § 12101 Americans with Disabilities Act.

42 U.S. Code § 12101-12213 Title V miscellaneous provisions
Additionally, Title 5 includes an anti-retaliation or coercion provision. The Technical assistance manual for the ADA explains it: "III-3.6000 Retaliation or coercion. Individuals who exercise their rights under the ADA, or assist others in exercising their rights, are protected from retaliation.

18 U.S. Code Chapter 96 - RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS

First Amendment – Right to Petition the Government for Redress of Grievances without fear of punishment or reprisals

18 U.S. Code § 241 – Conspiracy Against Rights

MN Rule of Civil Procedure 105 Abuse of Discretion

MN Rule of Civil Procedure 63.02 Remove a Judge for Interest or bias

4th -7th Amendments to the Constitution

OTHER

Natural Law

Rule of Law

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at
Appendix A to the petition and is reported at Minnesota Supreme Court

☒ reported at _____ + _____ ; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court
appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____ ; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was
December 20, 2017

A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A _____ .

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date:
_____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing
appears at Appendix _____ .

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted
to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in
Application No. _____ A _____ .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Petitioner was the victim of RICO crimes from the early 1990s involving death threats if he testified against a group of bank conspirators now led by Wells Fargo Bank's Richard Kovacevich. Then Attorney General, now Minnesota Supreme Court judge David Lillehaug accepts bribes, campaign contributions and other consideration in a quid pro quo arrangement not to prosecute crimes nor witness tampering. David Lillehaug and others have worked to make good on destroying Petitioners life if he testified. (both in civil suits and in a report to the FBI)

Petitioner long engaged in Political activities to redress these matters rather than resort to violence. Petitioners intent was to make sure no other citizens suffered the nightmare he endured, as well as getting justice for the hundreds of innocent Minnesota families who had their vehicles repossessed.

Pursuant to Natural Law , and as reduced to writing in the First Amendment, Petitioner exercised his right to petition the government for redress of grievances with the unalienable expectation there would not be punishment or retaliation. Instead a systemically corrupt Minnesota Legislature and Judiciary retaliated against Petitioner and others in the Judicial TAR Movement (Transparency Accountability and Reform) We have demanded a hearing to before the Minnesota Legislature Judicial Committees every year for more than 10 years and been denied. The purpose of these hearing to provide an opportunity for Minnesota Citizens to put evidence and testimony of systemic corruption in the Minnesota Judiciary on the record.

Clearly the Minnesota Legislature and Judiciary want to be corrupt, denying said hearing for 10+ years and retaliating against key leaders of said political dissent (RICO)

In Petitioners case, this has led to foreclose on his home, the underlying litigation of this matter. The Minnesota District Court has abused its discretion. The Court has failed to set motion hearings, refused to communicate in a timely and professional manner, and most importantly conspired to deny Petitioner Accommodation under the ADA. (The 10th Judicial District has granted Petitioner Accommodation under the ADA in the past) This and other acts constitute a Conspiracy Against Petitioners Rights.

The Court interfered with Petitioners Medical Provider to prevent Petitioner from providing additional documentation the court required to grant Accommodation and to deny Petitioner treatment for his disability.

As a result, Petitioner submit a Petition to the Minnesota Supreme Court with simple clerical errors resulting from his inability to get treatment or accommodation for his PTSD. In this instance, the manifestations of PTSD are inability to concentrate, problems reading and retaining written material and comprehending said material. It is unconscionable for the Court to sabotage Petitioners relationship with his healthcare providers and then reject his petition without giving him and opportunity to correct the errors. (Too many words, not doubled spaced)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

As summarized in prior section, Petitioners home is in foreclosure as a result of a banking conspiracy making good on its witness tampering threats (than escalated to death threats) . And a further Conspiracy Against Rights by government officials as Petitioner exercised his First Amendment Rights to Petition the Government for Redress of Grievances. Richard Kovacevich and David Lillehaug have made good on the original banking conspirators promise to destroy Petitioners life if he testified.

In the present matter, The Court abused its power and discretion in its dealings with Petitioner with the effect of denying Petitioner Due Process pursuant to the Rule of Law. The Court refused to schedule motion hearings for Petitioner, refused to communicate in a timely and professional manner and conspired to deny Petitioner Treatment under the Americans with Disabilities Act.

The Court indicated it required additional documentation from Petitioners healthcare providers. When Petitioner went back to his healthcare providers with which he had previously had a friendly and professional relationship, they refused to speak to him any further. Only the Court knew who my medical provider was so the change in relationship was based on the Courts acts. This conspiracy to interfere with Petitioners health care providers to deny him treatment and the documents required for Accommodation under the ADA is over the top.

All this stress exacerbates Petitioners PTSD. The PTSD symptoms included difficulty concentrating and difficulty reading, retaining and comprehending written material. And reading Court Rules is not light reading to being with. For the Minnesota Supreme Court to reject Petitioners corrected Petition as untimely for minor clerical errors of too many words and not double spaced in the face of the Courts conspiracy to deny Petitioner treatment and accommodation for his PTSD is unjust.

Petitioner has the right to fully engage in his defense, which is made more possible by Accommodation under the ADA. This was one of the very purposes of ADA. The relentless denial of Accommodation and interference with Petitioners Health Care Providers, combined with the Districts Courts refusing to schedule motion hearings and refusal to communicate in a timely and professional manner evince the fact that the lower Court has and is abusing its power and is biased against Petitioner.(in furtherance of a criminal and seditious conspiracy) (Appendices A-D are the Orders in question)

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

OVERVIEW

This is matter regarding the removal of a biased Judge McPherson in a foreclosure proceeding against Petitioner Mashak. This matter begins in early 1990s with then US Attorney David Lillehaug (Appointed by President Bill Clinton) to authorized a yet unrescinded witness tampering death threat by a

group of Bankers which came to be led by Wells Fargo Bank, NA Richard Kovacevich in the 1990s. AG Lillehaug agreed to accept money to not prosecute the group of bankers despite Petitioner bringing, irrefutable smoking gun evidence to the FBI. In addition, Petitioner was forced to give evidence in the related civil matters under order of Subpoenas authorized by these courts. As a result of the Courts failing to prosecute these bankers, Petitioner Mashak's life has been destroyed. Petitioner's business, finances, reputation and health have been destroyed due to the original threats, ongoing retaliation aided & abetted by the Courts and Law Enforcement, a Conspiracy against rights and ongoing sedition & fraud by various courts and law enforcement agencies acting in furtherance of the original conspiracy and to protect the subsequently exposed Progressive conspiracy to overthrow the Rule of Law, the Constitution & our Government. These various acts against Petitioner resulted in near death from the stress in late 1998 from a heart attack and resulting brain damage. Additionally, these acts of a seditious Progressive Government led to Petitioner developing Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD).... The lower court then acted in various ways to deny Petitioner's Right to Due Process. Among these was interference with Petitioner's Medical Providers. The December 20, 2018 Minnesota Supreme Court Order fails to address and/or give appropriate weight to the fact the criminal acts of the Courts and their agents, inflicted PTSD up Petitioner, and further fails to address the lower court interfering with the Petitioner's Medical providers, especially those providing care for his PTSD. In essence, the Courts thus far have conspired to deny Petitioner his Right to Accommodation under the American's with Disabilities Act so he can fully participate in his defense and this litigation. Petitioner is entitled to fair & equitable treatment under the Rule of Law. These Courts interfering with Petitioner's Medical Providers prevented him from receiving help with his PTSD. A primary effect of PTSD is a difficulty concentrating, reading, retained and understanding written material. This is why Petitioner asked for Accommodation under the ADA. It is not equitable nor fair for these courts to conspire to cause Petitioner's treatment for PTSD to be denied, and then reject his timely filed appealed for being too long and allegedly not double spaced. The corrupt lower courts and this criminal conspiracy

cannot be allowed to prevail by their wrongdoing. The added agitated state of mind caused by the lower courts "screwing" with Petitioner by denying him Due Process only exacerbated Petitioners PTSD condition. If this Court allows this vile denial of due process to prevail, it will confirm the true nature of our courts to the American masses. And petitioner reminds this court, the underlying* Foreclosure Process is entirely the result of the impact of the ongoing witness tampering death threat conspiracy and related conspiracy of sedition, to destroy his life.

FACTS

Minnesota District US Attorney David Lillehaug was appointed by the President Bill Clinton in the 1990s as a result of President Clinton removing all or nearly all of the existing US Attorneys and replacing them. Since the December 20, 2017 order, (Exhibit 1) it has been widely admitted/accepted that the Russian Dossier on now President Donald Trump is/was a deliberate Fraud. FBI Comey, DOJ Lynch & Special Prosecutor Mueller have been caught lying to Congress in the furtherance of a criminal and seditious conspiracy. The Clintons and the Democratic National Committee paid for the fraudulent Russian Dossier on Trump. This has brought to the brink of a Constitutional Crisis between what duly elected President Trump and his voters want and the wrongful acts of the Progressive Deep State to defeat the will of WE THE PEOPLE.

This has become a favorite method of the Progressive Incremental strategy to overthrow Natural Law, the Rule of Law, the Constitution and the US Government. Progressives identify voices of political dissent and then work to demonize, discredit and marginalize them. These COINTELPRO style operations were outlawed by the actions of the Church Committee long ago, but Progressives have brought them back with the Patriot Act, etc.

Now, we have seen the problems this fraudulent Russian Dossier has caused Billionaire President Trump; just imagine how impossible it is for the average American to survive such tactics. AG Lillehaug brought the Progressive Clinton's evil ways to Minnesota. As a result of the fraudulent Russian Trump

Dossier, it is Petitioner's sense that the true nature of our Courts and Law Enforcement over the next few years is about to be revealed to the masses. In my humble opinion, it will begin with Hillary Clinton, Obama, Comey, Lynch and Mueller and finally make its way to the state courts.... I only hope I live long enough to see the trials and executions.

Before I elaborate further Progressive COINTELPO, let's talk more about original bankster conspiracy and the SMOKING GUN EVIDENCE presented by Petitioner. We can first talk about "DO NOT MAIL" from (Appendices E). The Bank conspirators wrongfully repossessed vehicles from hundreds of Minnesota Citizens. They did this on the basis that a car dealer had repossessed the vehicles and resold them without the bank's knowledge. However, the banksters did know. To prevent debtor's whose vehicles had already been repossessed from "accidentally" getting computer generated mail, they changed the addresses on the effected accounts to DO NOT MAIL. However, the original Bankster Conspirators denied it had knowledge the Dealer had repossessed and resold them. The documents Petitioner provided the FBI had numerous DO NOT MAIL entries. THIS IS WHY THE BANKSTERS HAD TO ENGAGE IN WITNESS TAMPERING ESCALATING TO DEATH THREATS AGAINST PETITIONER. THE BANKSTERS HAD LIED UNDER OATH AND FACED JAIL TIME AND HUGE FINANCIAL PENALTIES IF THE TRUTH WAS EXPOSED. Then AG Lillehaug was Campaign Finance Manager for a now dead Senator. Amongst the consideration AG Lillehaug accepted to not prosecute the Norwest Bank (Now Wells Fargo) and its President Richard Kovacevich was a \$50,000 contribution to the dead Senator's Campaign.

And prosecution of these banksters didn't just rely upon Petitioner, once Petitioner was deposed by other victim banks in civil suits, these other banks prevailed in their Litigation. AG Lillehaug knew other banks were suing the banksters. (Bank North vs 1st National Bank of Anoka, NA is 27CV88-22383, Citizens State Bank of St. Louis Park vs. 1st National Bank of Anoka, NA 91-002759, Don Mashak vs Norwest Bank 93-01642 – The Banks would prevail largely using Petitioner's evidence & testimony. AG Lillehaug opted not to prosecute for reasons outside of the Rule of Law. Petitioner Mashak settled for \$15,000, under

duress of the unrescinded death threat sanctioned by the Government via then AG Lillehaug.

Norwest Banks, NA, now Wells Fargo Bank, NA, Richard Kovacevich chose to stick his nose in this mess. Go ask Richard Kovacevich to show you the correspondence I sent him way back when... I was made to grovel for some semblance of a normal life. That's the Progressive way though, "We are superior to the masses, screw them all". From what I understand, Kovacevich and other banksters regularly boast with each other how much control they have over the government, law enforcement and the judiciary. On information and belief, they bet amongst each other about how much they can get away with and how badly they can screw other businesses and citizens. This is the way the Progressive Tyrant Class thinks.

Lets' return now to the line of thought regarding fraudulent Russian Trump Dossiers and Progressive COINTELPRO. On information and belief, Clinton Buddy David Lillehaug brought those same COINTELPRO/Russian Dossier tactics back to Minnesota. While rank & file voters rejected his nomination for Minnesota Supreme Court, Progressive Governor Dayton rejected the will of the people and appointed Lillehaug to the MN Supreme Court via the precursor of the intended Merit Selection Retention Elections

When State Representatives and Senators provided disinterested, Petitioner gave up trying to seek justice. There was no internet in the 1990s, and no cost/resource effective ways to contact others of a similar mind. As has been delineated in previous pleadings and exhibits, Petitioner tried to accept defeat and move on with his life, but the bank conspiracy haunted his mind and continued to retaliate.

In the early 2000s, the internet came to life. Every year since circa 2005, hundreds of Minnesotans have asked for a hearing before the Minnesota House and Senate Judiciary Committees. Every year we have been denied our NATURAL RIGHT TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES WITHOUT FEAR OF PUNISHMENT OR RETALIATION AS REDUCED TO WRITING IN THE FIRST AMENDMENT. Leaders of the group complained they were being retaliated against in various ways, especially in unrelated civil court 3nystrommatters.

Petitioner restarted his Judicial Reform efforts as Judicial TAR (Transparency, Accountability and reform) in about 2004. Various proofs of his efforts to reform the Minnesota Government have previously been presented. The most demonstrative of Petitioner resolve and tenacity in seeking Judicial reform are perhaps best evinced by these documents/exhibits.

Appendices I - Proposed Political Party Resolutions with Judicial Reform 2008
Appendices J - Annual Letters Demanding hearings to give evidence to Minnesota Legislature
Judiciary Committees to give evidence and testimony of corruption in Minnesota Courts
Appendices K - Political Party Resolution 2012-2016
Appendices L - TEA Party 2011 Nominal Group Process Priority Results
2009 Video of Ad Hoc Legislative Judicial TAR hearing
<https://www.pinterest.com/pin/28851253841391615/>

As with other leaders and participants in the Judicial TAR Movement, Petitioner himself noticed his business declining. Clients would provide no satisfactory explanation for ending their relationship with Petitioner. Years, Petitioner became aware that someone was offering Law Enforcement money to place fraudulent reports about Petitioner in databases. I confronted County Sheriffs, the State Patrol and BCA only to be told this was untrue and that no such reports existed with them. (Then answering only after I persisted and overcame their attempts to avoid answering)

In or about 2013, Petitioner learned that the BCA has 2 sets of "books". Though the BCA had given me a clean background check, when I learned about the second, secret "Russian Dossier" style, I pursued finding out what might be there. As my funds by that time were extremely limited, in my initial contact I asked how much was there. The first person sold just a few pages. That person then disappeared for 3 months and no one would give me answers, even with FOIA requests. Then suddenly I was told there were 100s of pages. Unable to afford them, I was told I could make an appointment to examine them. A week or 2 later, when I tried to set up the appointment, I was told I couldn't see them. (Appendices M)

Since the order, Petitioner became aware of the Russian Dossier on Trump] paid for by Hillary Clinton (Lillehaug buddy) and the DNC] being admitted and generally acknowledged as false and

deliberately fraudulent. This led Petitioner to realize what has happened to him follows the Progressive template the Clintons & the DNC used in the fraudulent Russian Dossier. Identify your political opposition, create fictional evidence of wrongdoing against the target, get it to law enforcement, have law enforcement leak it to demonize, discredit and marginalize your political opponent. (Appendices F-H)

The original bankster conspiracy initially moved to demonize, discredit and marginalize Petitioner in furtherance of their criminal conspiracy. Government, Judicial and Law Enforcement then joined in furthering the original criminal conspiracy with a Progressive COINTELPRO operations against Petitioner. However, the acts of Government, Judicial and Law Enforcement officers turned into a conspiracy of sedition when these folks realized Petitioner's role as a leader of political dissent threatening to expose and reform their corrupt ways. The Lower Courts wrongful refusal to set motion hearings, refusing to communicate timely and professionally and conspiring to deny Petitioner his right to Accommodation under the ADA were/are all part and parcel of this continuing conspiracy of sedition and treason by the Minnesota Legislature and Judiciary. To expound a little and summarize discussion from previous pleadings, let's talk about the conspiracy to deny Petitioner Accommodation under the ADA. Petitioner had a warm, professional relationship with his Medical Provider at Nystrom and associates. The Court informed Petitioner it needed more exact language from his Medical Provider. From that point forward, Petitioner's Medical Provider (Doctor) at Nystrom Associates refused to speak to him every again, in any way, shape or form. (Appendices D & N) Only the Court knew of Petitioner's Medical Providers. Since this time, the members of this seditious conspiracy have contacted other of Petitioner's Medical Providers resulting in the souring of these professional relationships. (And no, don't contact them or anyone else and command them to engage in pretend friendly, it just makes me angry) Clearly, the Minnesota Courts Have Abused their Power in deny Petitioner Due Process pursuant to the Rule of Law.

As a result of this covert, illegal, unconstitutional and criminal Progressive COINTELPRO operation, my business, life, health, finances have been destroyed without my being able to know my accusers,

confront my accusers nor know the evidence against me. Shouldn't I have been interviewed many times

before my life is destroyed? This all part & parcel of Progressives thinking the rules don't apply to them, Not Natural Rights, Not Rule of Law, Not the Constitution, etc.

In every stage of these Oppressions We have Petitioned for Redress in the most humble terms: Our repeated Petitions have been answered only by repeated injury.

DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE

BETRAYALS BY GOVERNMENT, THE COURTS AND LAW ENFORCMEN TOWARDS THIS WITNESS,
ACTS TO FURTHER THE ORIGINAL BANKING CONSPIRACY, CONS9PIRACY AGAINST RIGHTS,
SEDITION, TREASON

Clearly, 10+ years of the Minnesota Legislature to hold hearings dedicated to receiving evidence of corruption in the Minnesota Courts is indicative that the Minnesota Legislature and Courts are systemically corrupt.

Political Parties using underhanded methods to prevent resolutions to reform the Minnesota Judiciary to be added to the Party Platform evinces mutual intent by the Minnesota Legislature and Judiciary to remain corrupt.

Having the Quie Commission give a report that the Minnesota Courts are almost pristine, while refusing to allow citizens to give testimony of systemic corruption in the courts is duplicitous.

Trying to take away Minnesota Citizens' right to vote for judges by putting the fraudulent Quie commission report into evidence still further evinces intent to overthrow Natural Law, the Rule of Law, the Constitution and our government.

The Progressive COINTELPRO style operations to punish, demonize, discredit and marginalize political dissent evinces Sedition & Treason. Hillary Clinton, the DNC and other Progressives and the Federal Law Enforcement Community engaging in and lying about the Russian Dossier is intended to circumvent the constitution and the Will of the People. Punishing Petitioner for exercising his Natural Right

to Petition the Court for redress of Grievances as reduced to writing in the First Amendment evinces intent to void the Rule of Law, the Constitution & the Natural Rights of All Americans.

Failing to remove or suggest David Lillehaug resign from the Minnesota Supreme Court when it is obvious he violated his oath of office as Minnesota Federal AG, evinces intent to remain corrupt.

Continuing to allow Law Enforcement to spread rumors, whether it's the FBI at the Federal Level, in the Case of President Trump or local Law Enforcement in Petitioner's case for Petitioning the Government for redress of grievances, evinces deliberate, malicious intent to engage in a criminal conspiracy to overturn the Rule of Law and Government.

Poisoning the potential jury pool against Petitioner by encouraging and not restraining Government, Court and Law Enforcement official "leaking" fraudulent Progressive COINTELPRO-style information about Petitioner to the local jury pool.

A Lawyer boasting that he, the Defendants Attorney and the Judge conspired to fix a case against me evinces an intent to further the original bankster conspiracy.

Allowing lower courts to fix cases against Petitioner evinces criminal and seditious intent. All civil except for minor traffic tickets and a contrived "Disorderly Conduct" dismissed for lack of probable cause.

The Minnesota Legislature transferring its Constitutional Duty to discipline and oversee Minnesota Judges violates the very Foundation of Law.

The Minnesota Supreme Court setting up a Board of Judicial Standards and Lawyer's Professional Responsibility Board with the expressed purpose of machinating desired outcomes without regard to the facts in evidence as reported by Petitioner in real time years ago.

Setting up the Minnesota Board of Judicial Standards and Lawyer's Professional Responsibility Board to cover up rather than expose and discipline Judges and Lawyers evinces intent to overthrow the will of WE THE PEOPLE.

Declaring the Lawyer's Code of Professional Conduct is not an implied covenant of Minnesota Lawyers and their clients (A10-1205), demonstrates bad faith toward the Citizens of Minnesota.

Government, Judicial and Law Enforcement subscribing to a moral standard of "Plausible Deniability" as opposed to the "Absolute Right and Wrong" moral stand of the American Public. In essence, Government, Judicial and Law Enforcement don't believe they have done anything wrong if they can plausibly deny doing it, as opposed to whether or not they actually did it. As an example. This Court cites in the subject order that David Lillehaug was not involved in the decision. However, on information and belief, Petitioner asserts this is false as either the conversations did occur directly or through Legal Clerks. But since you folks know said communication can't be proven, aka plausibly denied, you assert said communication did not occur. That's not the way the moral compass of the rest of American works.

In previous pleading, Petitioner provided a list of crimes committed by Wells Fargo Bank, NA, where no one went to jail. It is clear that our government and judicial are running our legal system like the Mafia, just fines for committing crimes on their turf. A clear violation of the Rule of Law, Natural Property Rights & Equity. Clearly, our Judiciary and Law Enforcement are acting under something other than reason. (Had AG Lillehaug put Kovacevich in jail, these crimes wouldn't have happened)

Wells Fargo Banks Admits to Robbing US Citizens through Risky Mortgages

<http://bit.ly/WellsFargoBankCrime1>

Wells Fargo Bank Rips off Minnesota Charities

<http://bit.ly/WellsFargoBankCrime2>

Wells Fargo Bank's Illegal Student Loans

<http://bit.ly/WellsFargoBankCrime3>

Wells Fargo Bank uses private data of millions of customers to create new accounts

<http://bit.ly/WellsFargoBankCrime4>

Wells Fargo Finances Drug Cartels and Lauanders Money

<http://bit.ly/WellsFargoBankCrime5>

Clearly these are crimes which would draw jail sentences for average Americans. The only logical explanation for no one going to jail in these case is a quid pro quo similar to that of gangsters. Government,

the Judiciary and the Law Enforcement expect a "piece of the action" for crimes under their jurisdiction.

Clearly, bankster who should have went to jail aren't going to jail by paying bribes, campaign contributions or providing other consideration to various government, judicial and law enforcement officials. WE THE PEOPLE ARE JUST LIVESTOCK OF NO CONSEQUENCE TO YOU.

This non-exhaustive list of acts by Government, Judicial and Law Enforcement evinces a conspiracy to overthrow Natural Law, the Rule of Law, the Constitution and the Government. Petitioner asserts this conspiracy explains and evinces various illegal acts by Government, the judiciary and Law Enforcement against him (Conspiracy Against Rights) Petitioner Asserts this sedition and treasons conspiracy is being advance by a group of wealthy persons known as Progressives aided and abetted by Government, judicial and Law Enforcement officials in exchange for bribes, campaign contributions and other considerations.

But when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object evinces a design to reduce them under absolute Despotism, it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such Government, and to provide new Guards for their future security.

DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE

HOW DID PETITIONER DEVELOP PTSD?

It reads something like a Mastercard "Priceless" Commercial:

? Bank conspiracy wrongfully repossesses vehicles from new buyers.

? Bank conspiracy swears it did not know the vehicles were repossessed and resold by subject Auto Dealer though it had entered into a criminal workout scheme with Dealer

PRICELESS Bank conspiracy begins witness tampering, escalating to death threats

PRICELESS After Petitioner goes to FBI, AG Lillehaug gives government sanction to the death threat

PRICELESS Petitioner severs ties to friends and family so they don't become collateral damage

PRICELESS Petitioner doesn't get married, believing justice will triumph in 2-3 years

PRICELESS Petitioner finds out State Legislatures won't do anything about it

? 1994-1996 Petitioner switches political parties based on Lillehaug crimes, etc.

PRICELESS Petitioner tries to move on with this life, but bank conspirators make good on various threats

PRICELESS Petitioner spends 1 or 2 years afraid to leave his house.

PRICELESS Petitioners spends 3-4 years plagued by nightmare relating to the death threats

PRICELESS Late 1998/early 1999 – The ongoing witness tampering death threat stress causes Petitioners body to collapse, gets resistant pneumonia, Congestive heart failure, MRSA virus, brain damage

PRICELESS 2000-2005 Try to move out of country so can get married & have normal life, banksters quash

PRICELESS 2004-present – Petitioner finds like-minded Judicial Reform folks on the internet

PRICELESS 2005 – 2018 – Government & Judiciary join COINTELPRO conspiracy against Petitioner

PRICELESS 2008 COINTELPRO Stress Related Heart Event

PRICELESS 2005 – 2012 – COINTELPRO conspiracy results in decline of Petitioners business

PRICELESS 2010 Petitioner first diagnosed with PTSD

PRICELESS 2011 Kovacevich has his Agents/Coconspirators call Petitioner and say the only j

PRICELESS 2011 COINTELPRO stress related heart event

PRICELESS Loss of Consortia for most of 25years

? On information and belief, from 2015-2016 Republican Deputy Chair Chris Fields Was charged with having persons contact Petitioner on the “down low” and suggest that if Petitioner gave up his political dissent activates he could have his life back.

PRICELESS The various embarrassments and humiliations inflicted upon me by the original criminal Bankster Conspiracy, subsequent Progressive COINTELPRO operation against me for exercising my Natural Right to Petitioner the government for redress of grievances without fear of punishment and reprisal in trying to redress the first injustice and prevent it from happening from anyone else, and the Conspiracy Against Rights in furtherance of each of these criminal and seditious conspiracies in denying me Due Process pursuant to the Rule of Law

Now, recap: I have lived with an unrescinded, government sanction for 25+ years. Apparently, you folks don't know and don't care that events like that sweep the entire foundation of a person's belief system from under them. My heart function dropped to less than 10% & reached A high of 35%. I suffered brain damage from the heart event of 1998, which somehow repaired itself by 2003 or so. The various witness tampering threats caused me to sever ties with my friends. I had hoped that after 2-3 years I could restore my friendship once the banksters were in jail. But now your COINTELPRO operation has deprived me of even that reunion.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

ARGUMENT

STATEMENT OF CRITERIA OF THE RULE RELIED UPON TO SUPPORT THE MOTION

Appellant brings this motion as this court and the lower courts have so far departed from the accepted and usual course of justice, and narrowed the definition of abuse of process, so as to require this court to correct its mistake and not allow the lower courts abuses to go unchecked. This case is of great public interest and appropriate action is required to alleviate the adverse administration of justice.

From the facts, it is clear this court erred pursuant to MN Supreme Court Rule 60.02:

(a) **Mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect**

This court accidentally or deliberately failed to give proper consideration to the Lower Courts denial of Petitioners request for Accommodation under the ADA for his PTSD aka Legal Abuse Syndrome. A failure vastly exacerbated by the lower Courts interference with Petition's Medical Suppliers such that he could not obtain further treatment from them nor the additional documentation the lower court said was required to obtain Accommodation under the ADA. PTSD manifests itself as difficulty concentrating, reading, retaining and comprehending written materials. Petitioner filed his Appeal in a timely manner, but it was rejected for being over length and allegedly not doubled spaced. Omissions easily explained by his PTSD and being cut off from his related medical providers through actions of the lower court. It is black letter law that inflicted of wrongdoing cannot benefit from said wrongdoing. With proper medical care and/or accommodation under the ADA, Petitioner would likely have not missed those specification details. It was this courts mistake for not addressing and accommodating Petitioner's PTSD and the lower Courts wrongful and calculated interference with Petitioners Medical Providers.

(c) **Fraud (whether heretofore denominated intrinsic or extrinsic), misrepresentation, or other misconduct of an adverse party;**

The Courts actions were fraudulently based on the furtherance of both the original criminal bankster conspiracy and the resultant conspiracy of sedition when Petitioner began organizing his fellow citizens to reform the Minnesota Judiciary. Quite simply, Progressives don't believe they should be accountable to the masses. And that includes lucrative corruption such as Petitioner has outlined in this

brief. And then there is the normal human emotion of wanting to protect friends and business associates. David Lillehaug committed these crimes and you both want to protect him and make good on the original bankster's threats to destroy Petitioners life if he testified.

Hence, you are fraudulently and wrongfully invoking a minor procedural deficiency to protect your seditious and treasonous conspiracy and David Lillehaug and to make good on quid pro quo promise made in exchange for bribes, campaign contributions and other consideration from Norwest Bank, NA (now Wells Fargo Bank, NA) and its President and CEO, Richard Kovacevich.

A further fraud is you or your coconspirators continued encouragement and/or failure to punish and discourage various government, judicial and law enforcement officials poisoning the jury pool by continuing to leak fraudulent COINTELPRO-style information to the jury pool. (similar to the leaking of the FBI and DOJ in the Fraudulent Russian Trump Dossier matter.)

(f) Any other reason justifying relief from the operation of the judgment.

You have a duty to protect the crucible of justice. Ergo, you have duty to protect and defend all witnesses who come before your courts from retaliation, especially those subjected witness tampering that includes death threats, and especially death threats that any US Attorney authorizes against any witness.

You have a duty to protect witnesses from retaliation

Petitioners PTSD is a direct result testifying in Minnesota Courts and reporting a crime to the FBI. This PTSD was a direct result of the criminal & seditious conspiracy Minnesota Courts are involved in. The banksters should have been put in jail for their original crimes and witness tampering. The FBI and Minnesota Legal System failed to do so. Using extreme self-control to not violently seek retribution, Petitioner pursued his peaceful remedies by uniting with like-minded Minnesotan and gathering at the Minnesota Legislature to demand hearings.

Additionally, Petitioner proposed Legislation and Political Party Resolution to reform the Minnesota Judiciary. The Seditious and Treasonous Minnesota Courts retaliated against Petitioner and others in the

~~Judicial-TAR (Transparency, Accountability and Reform) Movement in various manners, including~~

Progressive COINTELPRO operations, aided by Law Enforcement "leaking" information in a manner similar to the conspirators in the Fraudulent Russian Trump Dossier conspiracy.

Clearly it is not honorable or just to participate to squelch Petitioner's efforts to seek personal justice and institutional reform on the hugely important Minnesota Judiciary using simple procedural error.

Roscoe Pound's Vision

"Our modern procedural system was built largely on the foundations of Roscoe Pound's vision.

That vision, which was first implemented in the Federal Equity Rules in 1912 and then even more fully embraced in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in 1938...

... it promises to resolve each claim and each issue on its factual and legal merit, without letting procedural technicalities or traps derail the decision."

Petitioner committed minor procedural errors of length and allegedly not double spacing because he missed those specification due to his untreated PTSD.

It is a manifests injustice for a corrupt court and legal system to cause Petitioner's PTSD, then interfere with his medical providers so he cannot receive help with his PTSD and require Petitioner provide a Medical Diagnosis to get accommodation under the ADA.

The Courts have went a order of magnitude beyond avoiding Roscoe Pound's Vision by conspiring to create the circumstances leading to Petitioner's procedural defect by interfering with his relevant PTSD medical providers.

A primary effect of PTSD being the inability to concentrate, read and comprehend; Effects that are exacerbated with the Courts engage in machinations to deny Petitioner Due Process. Having done all of these things, it is reprehensible for the Court to reject Petitioners Pleadings for being too long and allegedly not double spaced.

~~Petitioner (Pro-Se or Not) was entitled to accommodation under the Americans With Disabilities Act~~
so he could fully participate in the litigation. The Courts conspired to deny Petitioner said Accommodation by interfering with Petitioners Medical Providers. It is a gross injustice to conspire to deny Petitioner Accommodation under the ADA, and then reject Petitioners Pleadings because they fail to comply with minor procedural defects of being too long and allegedly not double spaced. As previously stated, among other effects of PTSD, PTSD effects sufferers' ability to concentrate, read, retain and comprehend written materials.

POLICY NOT CONSISTENT WITH MINNESOTA APPELLATE COURT RULES NOR THE US SUPREME COURT RULES REGARDING SIMILAR DEFICIENCIES.

This ruling and Rule of the Minnesota Supreme Court appears arbitrary and capricious, or worse calculated to advance the goals of a criminal and seditious conspiracy. The US Supreme Court provides for the timely filed petition containing similar deficiencies (US Supreme Court Rules 33 & 34) to be returned to the Petitioner and requiring them to be correct and refiled within 60 days per Fed. R. App. P. 14(5). Minnesota own appellate court has policy of notifying Appellants and Petitioners of deficiencies and giving them time to correct the deficiencies. All of this presumably in the philosophy of Roscoe Pound's visions of deciding matters on fact and not procedural deficiencies. By the Progressive philosophy asserts that Government Educated Elites are smarter than the masses and therefore shouldn't be accountable to the masses. Petitioner mentions the Legislatures and Minnesota Courts antics in trying to become less accountable by taking away Minnesota Citizens right to vote for Judges via the Merit Selection Retention Election Scam. Booting litigation for procedural reasons gives the Minnesota Supreme Court to prevent the sedition evidenced by the Minnesota Legislature to grant a hearing to receive evidence and testimony of Minnesota Judiciary, from brought forward and decided on the merits.

~~Incremental Sedition and Treason is the hallmark of the Progressive Movement; Making seditious~~
and treasons advances over incrementally over generations so no one generations sense enough change
to be moved to revolution.

NOTE

Petitioner provided the FBI and others the smoking gun evidence to convict the original bankster conspirators. Instead, AG Lillehaug accepted bribes, campaign contributions and other consideration from the original bankster conspirators in a Quid Pro Quo arrangement not to prosecute. Apparently then, AG Lillehaug directed the FBI and other Law Enforcement to begin a Progressive COINTELPRO-style operation against Petitioner. Petitioners life and every life goal have been destroyed in keeping with original witness tampering threats to destroy Petitioners life if he testified. All with no due process pursuant to the rule of law.

Is it wrong for me to expect the Courts and Law Enforcement to exact punish the original Bankster conspirators? Is the FBI and Law Enforcement officials out their leaking to the public that Richard Kovacevich wrongfully repossesses vehicles from innocent Minnesota Families. PR that he and his coconspirators tamper with witnesses and make death threats to prevent their conviction for their numerous crimes? Or that they bribe Judges, Attorney Generals, Legislators and Law enforcement to look the other way when they commit crimes against the masses?

Is it wrong for Petitioner to think that these Courts should issue an order prohibiting Wells Fargo Bank from doing business in Minnesota for 10 years? Or that Government officials should cancel Wells Fargo Banks Banking Licenses for their horrendous crimes?

Is it wrong for Petitioner to think David Lillehaug should be punished and not rewarded for accepting bribes, etc. in a Quid Pro Quo arrangement to not prosecute the original bankster conspirators? Do none of you other Minnesota Supreme Court Judges not see that David Lillehaug is not honorable nor qualified to be on the Minnesota Supreme Court? It is just ridiculous that Petitioner submitted smoking gun

evidence about a bank fraud crime and the related witness tampering to the FBI and the Courts and its my life that is ruthlessly destroyed.

How many poor bastards commit suicide or go postal when subjected to the degree of injustice Petitioner has suffered? Petitioner has the intelligence and moral fortitude to seek redress by peaceful means, only to be punished even more for doing so.

Is this really justice? Did I mention Petitioner did not choose to be involved in this corrupt, seditious mess? Petitioner has been forced far, far outside his comfort zone.

I resent the offers to let me have my life back if I end my political dissent activities. Too many of you and other Americans are "going along to get along" either for personal reward and creature comforts or to avoid the pain of speaking out. Upon my eventual inevitable death, I would appreciate it if someone could remind the world that I refused to the liberty of my fellow Americans for my personal comfort.

CONCLUSION

Petitioner served his original Petition and Notice of Appeal on the Minnesota Supreme Court in a timely manner, complying with the rules to the best of his ability.

Pound's vision and the Rules of Equity require this matter be resolved on the merits, not procedural errors. Again, our corrupt courts caused this PTSD forcing Petitioner to live with a unrescinded, government sanction death threat for 25+ years in exchange for bribes, campaign contributions and other consideration. The Minnesota Courts then violated Petitioner's various UNALIENABLE RIGHTS to due process in furtherance of criminal and seditious conspiracy.

The lower court did not communicate professionally, refused to set motion hearings, and refused Petitioner accommodation under the ADA. The Lower Court then interfered with Petitioners' Medical Providers so he could not get treatment for his PTST


nor the further documentation the lower court required. This is not honorable or justice. This case is really about witness tampering and corruption and this court cannot, in good faith, prevent these crimes and seditious acts from being exposed on simple procedural grounds.

To summarize, Petitioner timely filed his appeal but longer than the rules required and Allegedly not double spaced. Denying Petitioners appeal on the basis of technical issues Petitioner only didn't comprehend and understand because of PTSD which the courts caused and failed to accommodate.


Clearly, the Minnesota Courts have Abused their Power in deny Petitioner Due Process pursuant to the Rule of Law. Clearly, the Lower Court abused its discretion and evinced its bias in so doing. For all of the foregoing mentioned reasons, in the interest of justice, this court must accept the previously submitted, corrected version of the petition as timely. Ultimately this Court either remove the district court Judge for bias or refer the matter back to the Minnesota Supreme Court with instructions to accept the Petition in that Court as timely.

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'L' followed by a series of loops and a long horizontal stroke.

Date:

The date '19 Jun 2018' written in a cursive, handwritten style in black ink.