

original

No. _____

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Charles M. Wirth — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

State of Nevada — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Supreme Court of Nevada (No. 72407)
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Charles M. Wirth #1085646
(Your Name)

1200 Prison Rd.
(Address)

Lovelock, NV 89419
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Whether the Nevada Supreme Court violated Petitioners 14th Amendment due process by not enforcing N.R.A.P. Requiring the trial court to give written facts and conclusions of law.
2. Whether the State of Nevada and the Supreme Court is constantly violating the Supremacy Clause.
3. Whether the Nevada Supreme Courts continuous violation of there discretion violates petitioners 14th Amendment due process and equal protection.

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[x] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Adam Paul Laxalt
Nevada Attorney General
100 N. Carson St.
Carson City, NV 89701
(775) 684-1765
Attorney for respondent

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<i>Order of Affirmance</i>
APPENDIX B	<i>Order Denying Motion</i>
APPENDIX C	<i>Order Denying Petition for Review</i>
APPENDIX D	<i>Appellant's Informal Brief</i>
APPENDIX E	<i>Order Denying Motion</i>
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the 5th District court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was Nov 29th 2017.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix C.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: Nov 29th 2017, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1. Supremacy Clause of the U.S. Constitution
2. The 14th Amendment to the Constitution
3. Nevada rules of Appellate procedures
4. District Court rules for the 5th District Court of the State of Nevada.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The Nevada Supreme Court refused to recognise my right to raise jurisdictional claims at any time and in any court. This refusal violates my due process rights. To further this violation the Nevada Supreme Court simply states that they don't have jurisdiction to rule on jurisdiction.

Then the Nevada Supreme court violates clearly established precedence when it comes to an illegal sentence. They never addressed the fact that the lower court gave me a felony when it should have been nothing more than a misdemeanor.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The Nevada Supreme Court and the state of Nevada has been operating without any regard for the U.S. Constitution and/or the Supremacy Clause.

The U.S. Supreme Court needs to assert its original jurisdiction. So the U.S. Supreme Court can correct the rogue behaviors of the state of Nevada and the Nevada Supreme court in the interest of justice.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles Wirth 1085646
Charles Wirth

Date: 2/14/2018