

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No.
17-7885

ANTHONY GRIFFIN, on behalf of Morel Griffin; DOROTHY JOACHAIN, on
behalf of Morel Griffin

Petitioners

v.

HESS CORPORATION, also known as Amerada Petroleum Corporation:
EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION, trading as Humble Oil, trading as Esso
Standard Oil

Respondents

Motion for Reconsideration

Petitioners Anthony Griffin and Dorothy Griffin, on behalf of Morel Griffin, respectfully moves this court to reconsider its decision to deny the above captioned Petition for Writ of Certiorari To The United States Court of Appeals For The Fifth Circuit.

As grounds for this Motion Petitioners avers as follows:

1. The facts and issues of this Petition center at the purpose and heart of our federal and state judicial process and focus on the core of our legal system:

*"When laws continue to exist that allow
justice to be denied, poverty to be enforced,
and ignorance to prevail, where any one
class is privileged to feel that their society gives
them a fundamental right to oppress, rob and
degrade those they feel are below them, then*


no matter how long those laws have been in practice, or for whose benefit they were enacted, those laws must be challenged, and ultimately changed”¹

2. Currently there is a perception of an erosion of the public's confidence in the ability or the willingness of the courts to render the “right” decision or “fundamentally fair” decisions in favor of the average American Citizen but instead favors decisions in favor of Corporate America or those of substantial economic resources.
3. The respondents have elected to waive the right to file a response to the Petitioner's brief. Important Article III Constitutional and Equity issues remain unresolved resulting in irreparable harm to these Petitioners and others similarly situated.
4. Petitioner's are of the opinion that for some apparent reason the individual Justices may not be aware of this Petition and the importance of the issues herein which only they can address and resolve.
5. The Court's election not to hear oral arguments and reach a Constitutionally fair decision of these issues would serve only to deepen the existing wide spread public perception that the federal and state courts favor corporate America and others of superior economic resources rather than the average person or American citizen, thereby rendering the judicial process unavailable to this class of individuals.

¹ The Late Frederick Douglas

WHEREFORE, Petitioners respectfully moves the court to reconsider and vacate its decision and order to set the above captioned matter for oral argument and decision in view of the significant national interest.

Respectfully submitted

By: 
Anthony Griffin
106 Santa Marie Drive
Opelousas, La 70570

By: 
Dorothy Joachain
1310 Jennings Road
Opelousas, LA 70570

CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH

Petitioners Anthony Griffin et.al hereby certifies that the grounds for filing the Petition for Rehearing are limited to intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect and or on grounds not previously presented

Petitioners further certify that the Petitioner is restricted to the grounds specified and that it is presented in good faith and not for delay.



Anthony Griffin et al