

No. 17-7474

In the Supreme Court of the United States

~~Formally Jester, Formally Jester~~
~~Heborah Elizabeth Duck-Christie~~
Petitioner,

v.
State of California
Respondents

Petition for Rehearing
Supreme Court of the United States

~~Formally Jester, Formally Jester~~
~~Heborah Elizabeth Duck-Christie~~
PO Box 88704
Los Angeles, California
90009

831-383-3437

RECEIVED

MAY 22 2018

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

No. 17-7474

In the Supreme Court of the United States

Formally Foster, Formally Fickett
Deborah Elizabeth Fack-Chasins
Petitioner,

v.

State of California
Respondents

Petition for Rehearing
Supreme Court of the United States

Formally Foster, Formally Fickett
Deborah Elizabeth Fack-Chasins
PO Box 88704
Los Angeles, California
90009

831-383-3434

RECEIVED

APR 27 2018

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Question Presented for Review

Justice errs on the following

1. All Justice committed fraud Upon the Court.
2. Miscarriage of Justice by all lower Courts.
3. Intrinsic Fraud
4. Extrinsic Fraud
5. Biases injured Petitioner
6. Intentional Fraud to dismiss Case
7. Fee Waiver rejected, Case never heard at same level because of funds for filing not giving.

Statement of Case

Petitioner was denied a day in court because of no money for filing fees in lower courts. Case was and is Bona fide Truth of the Situation.

1 defendant ignored the lawsuit, notice of
2 Waiver, Stipulation, Waiver of Service and was
3 out of Court when the ^{Entry of} Default & Default hearing
4 for Default Judgment, should have been granted.
5
6
7

8 State of California was served as a foreign entity
9 petitioner seek Justice from the Court
10 Justices Refuse to hear case even though
11 it Verbatim and not frivolous.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Cited Cases

Fraud Upon the Court - People v. Mallory (1927)

People v. Zayic, 88 Ill App. 3d 477, 410
N.E. 2d 626. (1980)

Bullock v. United States, 763 F. 2d 1115, 1121
(10th Cir. 1985)

*People of the State of Illinois v. Fred E. Stelling
357 Ill. 354; 192 N.E. 229 (1934)

^{Makes}
Void of Order or Judgment

Kenner v. C.I.R. 387 F.3d 689 (1968)

ECP 473

585

Continental National Bank v. Holland Banking
Co. 66 F. 2d 823, 830 (8th Cir. 1933)

Federal Rules of Procedure

4

5

9

12

60 (A)(B)

28-43

Table of Contents

	Page
Question Presented for Rehearing	2-
Table of Contents	5
List of Parties	6
Statement of the Case	2-3
Constitutional Provisions & Table of Authorities	
^{Cited Cases}	4
Ground for Rehearing	7-8
Reason for Granting Rehearing	7
Certificate of Counsel	11
Verification, Certificate of Good Standing	10
Conclusion	7
Certificate of Compliance	8
Certificate of Service / Proof of Service	11
Conclusion	12

1
2 List of Parties
3

4 Formally Jasta, Formally dockett
5 Deborah Elizabeth Houch-Chassis
6
7 P.O Box 88704
8 Los Angeles, California 90009
9
10 831-383-3437 cell

11 Petitioner,
12
13
14

15
16 California Attorney General
17 Suite 1702, 360 S. Spring St
18 Attn: Raymond Fitzgerald.
19 Los Angeles, California 90013
20
21

22 Respondent,
23
24
25
26
27
28

Constitutional Provision / Grounds for Rehearing

A.

Petitioner has with due diligence to file this lawsuit within the law and was subjected to fraud upon the Court, obstruction of proceedings before departments, agencies and committees, Obstruction of a Court order, intentional inflictions of emotional distress, defamation of Character, ^{Aggravated} libel & slander, invasion of property and space, Retaliation for filing a lawsuit, and bodily harm as the system has not acknowledged of lawsuit and the validity. ^{Cases} Called ~~trivial~~ and vexatious by government employees when some might not have been citizens of the United States, when I expense my case going through the court system. Plaintiff should have filed Extraordinary Writ or prerogative writ, and last but not least

Due Process Clause - that Constitutional provision that prohibits the government from unfairly or arbitrarily deprive a person of life, liberty or property.

1 Due process Rights states - The Rights as of life,
2 liberty and property so fundamental, important
3 as fully compliance with due process standards
4 of fairness and justice.
5
6

7
8 Due Pross - Sufficient and properly submitted
9 evidence to produce a result or support
10 a conclusion such as a judgment for Petitioner,
11
12

13 The judgments, orders or decrees involves
14 many questions of law as to which there's no
15 controlling decision of the Courts Appeals for
16 this Supreme Court of the United States.
17
18

19 ~~Conclusion~~

20
21 ~~Alternatively, the Court should grant Petition~~
22 ~~and Remand to United States District Court,~~
23 ~~and grant Judgment for Petitioner/Plaintiff~~
24 ~~by United States District Court, Ninth Circuit Court.~~
25
26

27 Executed 11 May 2018

28 Respectfully,
Deborah Elizabeth Davis
Deborah Davis, Deborah Davis

Certificate of Compliance

No. 16-56778

Jamally Foster, Jamally Lockett
Deborah Elizabeth Bond-Crossin
Petitioners,

v.

State of California
Respondent,

As Required by US Supreme Court Rule
33.1(h), I certify that Petition for Rehearing
Contains _____, excluding the parts of
Rehearing that are exempted by US Supreme

Court Rule 33.1(d)

I, declare under the penalty of Perjury that all
the foregoing is true and correct.
Executed: 19 April 2018
11 May 2018

Deborah Elizabeth Bond-Crossin
Jamally Foster, Jamally Lockett

Certification of Good Standing/Truth

Petition for Rehearing to the Supreme Court of the United States, has constitutional grounds given to be heard by the Justices. Petitioner has the penalty of Perjury in the State of California County of Los Angeles has honestly pleaded all documents from the Ninth Circuit Court to Ninth Circuit, Court of Appeals to the Supreme Court with due diligence and honesty. Petitioner has used all legal Resources To expedite Case without delay to the Courts System.

Verification

This is to verify that I am the Petitioner in this case, and all documents and a statement are correct.

Dated: 11 May 2018

Deborah Elizabeth Houch (Thesis)
Formally Betty, Formally Leckell

Certificate of Counsel

The Undersigned certifies that this matter should be heard in Supreme Court of the United States, jurisdiction is from Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

I declare under the penalty of Perjury that the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: 11 May 2018

Respectfully,

Deborah Elizabeth Harkness
Formally Foster, Formally Lockett

Certificate of Service

I, the undersigned, declare that I am the Petitioner in this case, and over the age of 18 years of age, I am a United State Citizen, in the County of Los Angeles, California and I served: Raymond Fitzgerald California Attorney General, Suite 702, 3003 Spring St. Los Angeles, California 90013

Dated: 11 May 2018

Deborah Elizabeth Harkness
Formally Foster, Formally Lockett

Reason For Granting Petition for Rehearing
The Petition for Rehearing should be heard
for Constitutional and Judicial Grounds

Conclusion

Petitioner's motions the Court to Grant
of Rehearing and motion court
to Remand Case to the United States District
Court, Ninth Circuit and to Grant Petitioner
Judgment

11 May 2018

Respectfully,

Nehemiah Elizabeth Davis
Sincerely, Nehemiah Davis

**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001**

April 27, 2018

Deborah Elizabeth Gouch-Onassis
PO Box 88704
Los Angeles, CA 90009

RE: Gouch-Onassis v. California
No: 17-7474

Dear Ms. Gouch-Onassis:

The petition for rehearing in the above-entitled case was postmarked April 20, 2018 and received April 27, 2018 and is herewith returned for failure to comply with Rule 44 of the Rules of this Court. The petition must briefly and distinctly state its grounds and must be accompanied by a certificate stating that the grounds are limited to intervening circumstances of substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented.

You must also certify that the petition for rehearing is presented in good faith and not for delay.

Please correct and resubmit as soon as possible. Unless the petition is submitted to this Office in corrected form within 15 days of the date of this letter, the petition will not be filed. Rule 44.6.

Sincerely,
Scott S. Harris, Clerk
By:

Clayton R. Higgins, Jr.
(202) 479-3019

Enclosures