

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Marvin Steve Martinez-Hernandez — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA — RESPONDENT(S)

PROOF OF SERVICE


I, Marvin Steve Martinez-Hernandez, do swear or declare that on this date, January 2, 2018, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have served the enclosed MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS* and PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI on each party to the above proceeding or that party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing an envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.

The names and addresses of those served are as follows:

Solicitor General of the United States, Department of Justice,
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Room 5614, Washington, D.C.
20530-0001

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on January 2, 2018


(Signature)

Marvin Steve Martinez-Hernandez
60698-379
P.O. Box 26040
Beaumont, Texas 77720

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
William K. Suter, Clerk
1 First Street NE
Washington, D.C. 20534

RE:Writ of Certiorari

Dear Mr. Suter;

I am writing this to ask you to file this Writ on my behalf. I have sent one copy due to the fact that I am incarcerated and that we just went through Hurricane Harvey. The hurricane has slowed a good deal of this facilities operations and everyone has been a little behind

I sent a Motion to request an Enlargement of Time a couple of weeks before the deadline and this would (if granted) put the deadline January 11 2017. I am mailing this off on January 2, 2017. I would think that Nine days is more than sufficient.

I have tried to keep it brief and to stick to the facts but it is difficult to not get emotional and allow some of that to spill over into the Brief. So what I have done is to limit myself in the space that I have to use.

The main idea is that the United States Sentencing Guidelines changed and if I had been sentenced just a few months latter I would have had less then a third of my sentence. And that the Court did have the ability to give me this new guideline because the Court could have construed this motion to be what ever would have been needed.

Thank you for your time and your consideration in this matter

Respectfully Submitted

Marvin Martinez
Martin Steve Martinez Hernandez

Signed this day January 2, 2018

CC file

CC:Solicitor General

