

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Joseph Migliori — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Microsoft et al — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals For The Sixth Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Joseph Migliori
(Your Name)

12763 Lagoon Dr.
(Address)

Curtice Ohio 43412
(City, State, Zip Code)

419-708-1598
(Phone Number)

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[x] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Microsoft Corporation-Gregory Harrison-Dinsmore & Shohl 255 E. Fifth Street Suite 1900 Cincinnati Oh 45202

American Family Insurance Company-Jamey Todd Pregon -Dinkler Pregon 5335 Far Hills Avenue Suite 123 Dayton Ohio 45429.

Allstate Corporation, dba Allstate Insurance company- John A. Rubis- Ritzler, Coughlin, & Paglia 1360 East Ninth Street 1000 IMG Center Cleveland Ohio 44114

Unified Investigations & Sciences, INC- John Adrian Fiocca Jr.- Smith, Rolfes, & Skavadahl 41 South High Street Suite 2300 Columbus, Ohio 43215

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Did the defendants default by not sharing the evidence mainly the cord and by not allowing my expert to conduct a destructive examination of the x-box to see if there was any arching?

Why were statements made by the plaintiff deemed moot or hearsay but were well taken when the same statement was made by Microsoft?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	6
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	7
CONCLUSION.....	8

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Order from the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit

APPENDIX B Order from the United States District Court For The Northern District of
Ohio Western Division

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Many cases involving Microsoft X-Box as a fire hazard and causing fires.

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was October 12, 2017.

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

5th Amendment

Rule of Discovery

Right to due process

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This is a products liability action lawsuit.

Microsoft makes this case cost prohibitive for the plaintiff.

Microsoft's Political influence prohibits fair judgment for the plaintiff.

The courts would not allow the plaintiff to present evidence and deemed everything the plaintiff or his attorney said as moot or hearsay. When Microsoft said the same thing it was well taken.

The plaintiff was not fully compensated for damages.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The lower courts would not allow the plaintiff to present evidence to a jury for their consideration.

The defendants, mainly Microsoft has too much political influence for the plaintiff to receive a fair judgment from the courts.

The defendants failed to produce the evidence and failed to take reasonable care of the evidence and would not allow a destructive examination to see if there was any arching in the X-Box.