

No. _____

USCA 5 17-50172

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON DC 20543

SANCHEZ

(Your Name)

0 PETITIONER

vs.

Jones et al. - Allen, et al RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS 5TH CIRCUIT COURT N.D.
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Ricardo ENRIQUER SANCHEZ

(Your Name)

TERREL UNIT A1-8

(Address)

1300 FM 655 Rd - Rosham TX 77583-8609

(City, State, Zip Code)

281-595-3481

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- 1). under 5 USC § 702 Administrative Procedure Act, May be Sued When non monetary Relief Sought and The Plaintiff's Claim is that an Agency or and Officers or the employee of the agency acted or failed to act, in an official Capacity or under Color or Legal Authority The intent of the Statute is to broaden the avenues for judicial review of agency action by eliminating the defence of Sovereign Immunity in cases Covered by the Amendment.
2. TEXAS RULES OF EVIDENCES

If Scientific Technical or other specialised Knowledge will help the trier of fact to understand the evidences or to determine a fact in issue a witness who is qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill experience Training or education may testify in the form of an opinion or otherwise; There is a great deal of solid scientific research that can be used as the basis Testimony in The guilty innocent trial of a Child Sexual abuse trial, may Prongs to Rule 702 opinions and expert can offer and qualifies (according of The nature of the case indelible observation that expert may be qualified by experience not mean that experience still offer unreliable testimony because This Lack of knowl Affect Ruling from The bench.

3. Enriquez Plea Guilty under duress involuntaries because Prosecutor was discriminate Defendant Trial by Jury 2nd Case Court of 3 yrs for all and Enriquez is guilty for Perjury guilty but not for indecency with child. Court deny Appeal To Lack The True double Jeopardy.

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Additional:

prosecutor Rachel Vorin.

Court Appointment Walter Rivas.

Interpreter Caro del Tr.

Phil Martinez Attorney at Law

Rosangela Esqueda (Enrique's) wife

Jessica Esqueda (Enrique's step daughter)

Julisa Mari Esqueda (Enrique's step daughter)

Prosecutors

Ms Beth Tokes

Mr Parker

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Prystash v. Davis, No 16-70014 (2017 US App)
Antler et v. Cockrell 537 US 322 338 (2003)
Buck v David 137 S Ct 759 773 (2017)
Gonzalez v Thaler 565 US 134, 140, 141 (2012)
Nunes v. Ramirez Palmer 485 F3d 432 (9th cir 2007)
Martin v Rios 472 F 3d 1206 1207 (10th cir 2007)
Thibodeau v. v. Puertoondo 486 F3d 61 641 2d cir (2007)
Dressler v. McCaughy 238 F3d 908 912 (7th cir 2001)
Brady v. Maryland 373 US 83, 83 Ct 1194 1963

STATUTES AND RULES

Rule 22(b) of The Fed Rul of App Pro. Amend by by the 901.

§ 2241

§ 2243

§ 1983

§ 2106 Determination.

§ 21.11

§ 21.07

OTHER

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Thomas v. State No 00-0295-16 2017 TX Crim App
LSXIS 373 (Tex Crim. App April 5, 2017)

STATUTES AND RULES

Rule E, EVI 702

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at 7-19-2017; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at 7-19-2017; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 7-19-2017.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- 1) IN INTERPRETEN plea agreements that
TCCA Applies general Contract Law
Principles Courts look to the Writing
agreement and the formal records
to determine the terms of the plea
agreement, and terms are implied
only when necessary to effectuate the
intention of the parties
- 2) When a portion of plea bargain
either a sentence bargain or charge
bargain is Unforeseeable to one party's
detriment, The proper remedy
is to set aside the plea agreement
and restore the parties to their
original bargaining positions
Tomas v State No PD 0295-16 2017
Crim App Lexis 373 Tex Crim App
April 5 2017 designated for
publication
- 3) 14 Amendment of the Constitution
protect Equal protection and
Due procedure
prehen Discrimination for
(LPE) language problem Spanish
civil Rights

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Court 19th District Holding for 3 years
The Case 2008-1926-C2 and Denie Court App.
Atty at Law follow up The case 3 years
approximate and denie Speed Trial, Trial
by Jury and Offer a plea bargain what
never did, Court force to Mr Enriquez
made perjury testimony for Guilty but
not for indecency w/ch. prosecutor
against Mr Enriquez with out evidences
and prove a intent cause CCP § 21.17
Not Cumpbe with The Requirements of
same CCP. Error to Court denie Trial
by Jury and accept perjury Guilty but
Court put in Jopardy the life of Mr
Enriquez if not Take a plea maybe
cause irreparable damage because prosecutor
intent to enhance The first false conviction
motivate from Recommendation and drama
of the prosecutors was They frame false
evidences- To present in Trial
In fact The Two Conviction are
false. Violation Law and Antiquos


REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. Enriquez Was never been Convicted.
- 2- Enriquez have more of the 3 years Plea bargain
- 4- Enriquez have close 10 years in prison
- 3- Enriquez are innocent
- 6- Enriquez seek for Release of Prison
- 7- Enriquez claim for the fair opportunity
- 8- Enriquez have Good Record in Prison
- 9- Enriquez need Reavilitation in The Physical/Body
- 10- Enriquez as Redy prove he is innocent.
- 11- Enriquez he Redy prove prosecutor frame case
- 12- Enriquez has Redy prove The Statemen of the Case Ambigous
- 13- Enriquez has Redy prove Error in the investigation
- 14- Enriquez has Redy prove Error in The Arrest
- 15- Enriquez has Redy prove to many miss fakes.
- 16- Enriquez has ben Request for New Trial
- 17- Enriquez has partial handy Cap-
- 18- Enriquez Request for Resolution in all
- 19- The Constitution, The Human Rights and Civil Right protect prisoner innocents with many Law in US. apply in The Sanchez V State cases
- 20 - Exist Complain with her wife for income Tax Before Chm

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,


Ricardo Enriquez Sanchez

Date: Dec 9th 2017