

Proof of Service

Original and ~~Two~~ (2) copy to: Clerk of the Court
One Copy to: Daniel P. Schaack, Asst. A.G.
file

By: Shaka

Date: 2/28/19

Certificate of Compliance

Shaka has complied with the United States Supreme Court Rules as to form, word count.

Certification

In accordance with Rule 44(2), the Petitioner complies with this Certification that the Petition for Rehearing is presented in good faith, not for delay, or to prolong this Certiorari.

Shaka swears under the penalty of perjury that the contents are true based on personal knowledge and as to information relied upon, does believe it to be true.

Without the BAR, without contravention
without prejudice, without recourse

Shaka Lamb Mahumphy

Shaka, living Principal, E.I.N. 174325147

Timothy Lamb Mahumphy

All unalienable -4- Rights Reserved

Rec.
2/26/18

Supreme Court of the United States
Office of the Clerk
Washington, DC 20543-0001

Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
(202) 479-3011

February 20, 2018

Mr. Shaka aka Timothy Malumphy
Prisoner ID 027156
Arizona State Prison Complex - Yuma
P.O. Box 8909
San Luis, AZ 85349


Re: Shaka, aka Timothy L. Malumphy
v. Charles L. Ryan, Director, Arizona Department of Corrections,
et al.
No. 17-7160

Dear Mr. aka Timothy Malumphy:

The Court today entered the following order in the above-entitled case:

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

Sincerely,



Scott S. Harris, Clerk

Certification

Shaka received the Court's letter of March 9, 2018 on 3/26/18. Since the Certification on page 4 of the Petition For Rehearing was not on its own sheet and attached as the last page by itself, Shaka has set forth the Certification separate from the body of the Certiorario (For the Record)

I, Shaka, in accordance with Rule 44, Rules of the United States Supreme Court, that this Petition For Rehearing is presented in good faith, and not for delay, or to prolong this Certiorario.

The only issue presented in Cant One is: Does this Honorable Court's holding in McMillan v Pennsylvania, 477 U. S. 79 (1986), that a "Life Sentence" an indeterminate sentence, still good law, and Arizona may not treat a "Life Sentence", as a determinate sentence. I swear under the penalty of perjury that on my personal knowledge is true

Respectfully Submitted this day of 27th, March 2018.

without the BAR, without controversy

without prejudice, without recourse

Shaka Timothy Malumphy

Shaka, Living Principal, E.I. No 174-32-5147

Timothy L. Malumphy - Sovereign

All nonalienable Rights Reserved

Certificate Of Service

Original & one copy to: Clerk of the Court
One Copy to: Asst. A.G. Daniel P. Schack
file

By: S. Adam

Date: 3/27/18