

No.17-7101

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ANTHONY SPENCER GREEN, SR.

PETITIONER.

v.

CREIGHTON/CHI HEALTH et al.

RESPONDENT.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES

COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR REHEARING

ANTHONY SPENCER GREEN, SR.

COUNSEL OF RECORD

P.O. BOX 354

OMAHA, NE. 68101

PHN: (402) 902-9574

EMAIL: anthonysgreensr@gmail.com

MARCH 16th, 2018

STATEMENT REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT

Dated November 6, 2017, under pursuant to Rule 12.3 that a petition for a writ of Certiorari was filed and placed on the docket December 14, 2017. Pursuant to Rule 15.3, brief in opposition, due by, January 16, 2018. The petitioner: Anthony Spencer Green, Sr., Filed a 'brief for the petitioner' (His self). Upon the clerk's office calling the Petitioner and needing a Supplemental Brief for the Petitioner that the Plaintiff supplied and that he (The Clerk) would make copies. The Plaintiff would like to Petition oral argument upon this Petition For Rehear, although the Petitioner is Pro Se and lacks the licenses of professionalism; he States that coming to Washington, D.C. for Oral argument would be an honor to seek justice but most of all, a better understanding of how our Judicial system works.

Oral Argument would better detail the copies of briefs submitted and Supplemental Briefs submitted along with the Petition For REHEARING to get a better understanding of rules, code's, and statutes that are in question in this case.

PETITION FOR REHEARING

THE QUESTIONS PRESENTED IN THIS CASE ARE TOO IMPORTANT TO LEAVE UNSETTLED BY THE WRIT OF CERTIORARI BEING DENIED. JUSTICE, LAW AND EQUALITY OF LEGALISM SHOULD BE ABHORED BY OUR "UNITED STATES AMERICAN SOCIETY" . TO NOT REHEAR THIS PETITION WOULD BE A GREAT FAILURE IN THE U.S.A JUDICIAL SYSTEM. BASED ON FACTS AND RECORDS, ALONG WITH EVIDENCE SHOWING THE FAILURE IN MEDICALS FUNCTION WITHIN GUIDELINES...

THE PLAINTIFF PETITIONS THE COURT FOR REHEARING DUE TO THE OPINIONS WHICH RAISED DUE PROCESS CONCERNS ALONG WITH FAIRNESS CONSIDERING THE PLAINTIFF IS PRO SE AND TO ATLEAST GIVE THE PLAINTIFF OPPURTUNITY FOR JUSTICE TO BE HEARD...

REF: IN RE: MANUEL G. (1997) 16 CAL. 4th 805, 812-813. ON THE ISSUE BEING NOT SUFFICIENT TO COMPLY WITH SECTION 68081.
WHICH PROVIDES:

BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT, A COURT OF APPEAL, OR THE APPELLATE DIVISION OF A SUPERIOR COURT RENDERS A DECISION IN A PROCEEDING OTHER THAN A SUMMARY DENIAL OF A PETITION FOR AN EXTRDINARY WRIT, BASED UPON AN ISSUE WHICH WAS NOT PROPOSED OR BRIEFED BY ANY PARTY TO THE PROCEEDING, THE COURT SHALL AFFORD THE PARTIES AN OPPURTUNITY TO PRESENT THEIR VIEWS ON THE MATTER THROUGH SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING. IF THE COURT FAILS TO AFFORD THAT OPPURTUNITY A REHEARING SHALL BE ORDERED UPON TIMELY PETITION OF ANY PARTY...

GROUND S FOR REHEARING

1. PLAINTIFF FILED A SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF THAT WAS ORDERED BY THE SUPREME COURT CLERK WHO PHONED THE PLAINTIFF WITH ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. COURT CLERK SPOKE THAT HE WOULD MAKE THE PROPER COPIES AND OR CORRECTIONS UPON RECEIVING THE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF THE PLAINTIFF SENT. CASE WAS DENIED...

2. SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF WAS NOT REVIEWED BY THE HONORABLE JUSTICES DUE TO LACK OF SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFS HAVING SUFFICIENT COPIES AND THE BRIEF MEANING THE PLAINTIFFS LIBERTY, JUSTICE AND BRIEF WAS NEVER HEARD FAIRLY BEING A PRO SE LITIGANT...

3. **REF:** THE I.R.S IS INVESTIGATING SEVEN PLUS BANK ACCOUNTS IN THE PLAINTIFFS NAME AND SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER WHICH HE HAS NO ACCESS TO ANY SUCH BANK ACCOUNT, PROVING IDENTITY THEFT FOR HIS MEDICAL PROGRAM FUNDS HE NEVER HAS RECEIVED, MEANING THAT THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE CAN PROVIDE THE CORRECT EVIDENCE TO PROVE THE PLAINTIFFS CLAIM AS WELL AS BEING FAIRLY HEARD. **REF:** OBTAINING & ADMITTING ELECTRONIC EVIDENCE-D.O.J...

CONCLUSION

As a Pro See Litigant, ANTHONY SPENCER GREEN, SR. has been wronged by lesser Court's, denied trial, failed to file motions, failed to state claim and am still unlearned to all our Judicial system negotiates. Feeling that your rights as an American citizen violated by Medical, Government, and our Judicial system is enough to request Oral argument and pray our Judicial system was created for the sole purpose; To uphold justice in the U.S.A and Settle Civil Disputes Utilizing Legalism.

The Plaintiff ask this Court to vacate the order denying the Writ of Certiorari, and restore this case for righteousness, liberty, justice, and for the sake that our CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS & CIVIL RIGHTS where not created and constituted in vain. Where as REHEARING is granted and oral argument scheduled for the Plaintiff to present his Petition filed satifying Judicial Customs by our United States Citizens seeking Justice by our Honorable Justice's we pray.

Respectfully Submitted,
ANTHONY S. GREEN, SR.
Counsel of Record
P.O. Box 354
Omaha, Ne. 68101
Phn: (402) 902-9574

Email: anthonysgreensr@gmail.com

March 3rd, 2018

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Anthony S. Green, Sr.', with a long, sweeping horizontal line extending to the right.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE


I certify that on the 19th day of MARCH, 2018, I served true and accurate copies of the foregoing document on the following persons, either by deposit in the U.S. Mail, addressed as follows and with the correct first-class postage affixed thereto, or by deposit in the designated courthouse mailbox, or by hand-delivery, as indicated below:

THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

1 FIRST STREET, NE.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20543

(202) 479-3000


ANTHONY SPENCER GREEN, SR.

PRO SE LITIGANT/PETITIONER

P.O. BOX 354, OMAHA, NE. 68101


(402) 902-9574

anthonysgreensr@gmail.com

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

THIS BRIEF COMPLIES WITH THE TYPE-COLUME LIMITATION OF FED. R. APP. P. 32(a)(7)(B). BECAUSE THIS BRIEF CONTAINS THE CERTIFIED AMOUNT OF WORDS, EXCLUDING THE PARTS OF THE BRIEF EXEMPTED BY FED. R. APP. P. 32(a)(7)(B)(iii).

THIS BRIEF COMES WITH THE TYPE FACE REQUIREMENTS OF FED. R. APP. P. 32(a)(5) AND THE TYPE STYLE REQUIREMENTS OF FED. R. APP. P. 32(a)(6). BECAUSE THIS BRIEF HAS BEEN PREPARED IN A PROPORTIONALLY SPACED TYPE FACE USING MICROSOFT WORD FOR WINDOWS, VERSION 2007, 10 INCH ROMAN FONT 14/12 POINT TYPE FACE, ALONG WITH THE PRO SE REQUIREMENTS OF ONE ORIGINAL AND TEN COPIES OF THE PETITION.

/s/ 
ANTHONY SPENCER GREEN, SR.
Counsel for Plaintiff

MARCH 16th, 2018

**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001**

March 9, 2018

Anthony S. Green
P.O. Box 354
Omaha, NE 68101

RE: Anthony S. Green v. Creighton/CHI Health, et al.
No: 17-7101

Dear Mr. Green:

The petition for rehearing in the above-entitled case was postmarked March 5, 2018 and received March 9, 2018 and is herewith returned for failure to comply with Rule 44 of the Rules of this Court. The petition must briefly and distinctly state its grounds and must be accompanied by a certificate stating that the grounds are limited to intervening circumstances of substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented.

You must also certify that the petition for rehearing is presented in good faith and not for delay.

Please correct and resubmit as soon as possible. Unless the petition is submitted to this Office in corrected form within 15 days of the date of this letter, the petition will not be filed. Rule 44.6.

Sincerely,
Scott S. Harris, Clerk
By:

Clayton R. Higgins, Jr.
(202) 479-3019

Enclosures