

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Theresa A. ODESIMI — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

"GREGG STORY"
"ROBERT BRINKS" vs.

"TOWN OF WINDSOR" — RESPONDENT(S)
"THE NEW YORK MUNICIPAL INSURANCE RECIPROCAL"
ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

STATE OF NEW YORK COURT OF APPEALS
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

THERESA A. ODESIMI (NKA) THERESA A. LOGAN
(Your Name)

50 Williams Road
(Address)

WINDSOR NEW YORK 13865
(City, State, Zip Code)

607-655-1853
(Phone Number)

Extra

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. WHY HAS ATTORNEY JAMES OBRIEN BEEN ALLOWED TO CARRY THIS CASE PAST THE STATUE, AND NOW HE'S COMPLAINING ABOUT IT?
2. WHY WAS MR. OBRIEN ALLOWED TO FILE A MOTION FOR TRIAL BY JURY BUT NOTHING EVER CAME OF IT?
3. WHY IS MR. OBRIEN ALLOWED TO MAKE A MEDICAL DIAGNOSIS, STATING PETITIONER "HAS BONE DEGENERATION AND SUFFERING FROM ARTHRITIS"?
4. WHY IS MR. OBRIEN ALLOWED TO DEFAME PETITIONER CHARACTER, STATING PETITIONER ONLY LIVED AT HER RESIDENCE 6 MONTHS WHEN SHE HAD BEEN LIVING AT HER HOME FOR 3 YEARS, AND SHE'S SUBMITTING DIFFERENT DOCUMENTS?
5. WHY DID MR. STORY AND MR. BRINKS STATE "THE SPEED LIMIT IS 55 MPH" WHEN THE SIGNS SAY 30 MPH, DURING THE VIDEO DEPOSITION?
6. WHY DID BOTH RESPONDENTS STATE THERE WAS ONLY "3 INCHES OF SNOW", WHEN THERE WAS A SNOW STORM THE DAY BEFORE?
7. WHY DID RESPONDENTS ATTORNEY REFUSED TO SUBMIT DOCUMENTS TO SHOW IF MR. STORY OR MR. BRINKS HAVE ANY OUTSTANDING TRAFFIC VIOLATIONS?
8. WHY HAS MR. OBRIEN ALLOWED TO TAMPER, ALTER AND ERASE THREE FOURTHS OF CITY, STATE AND GOVERNMENT DOCUMENT SUBMITTED TO THE COURTS BY THE PETITIONER?
9. WHY HAVE RESPONDENTS CONTINUE TO CHANGE THEIR TESTIMONY AND LIE MULTIPLE TIMES DURING DEPOSITIONS ABOUT THE TIME, WHERE PETITIONER WAS PARKED, WHICH DIRECTION THEY WERE DRIVING, AND HOW FAST THEY WERE DRIVING?
10. ON THE DAY IN QUESTION, WHY WERE THEY NEGLIGENTLY DRIVING, WHY DID MR. STORY AND MR. BRINKS LEAVE THE SCENE OF AN ACCIDENT?
11. WHY DID MR. STORY AND MR. BRINK RETURN TO THE SCENE ONLY TO POINT, LAUGH AND WAVE TO MAKE FUN OF THERESA ODEJIMI, KNOWN AS THERESA LOGAN?
12. AT THE "FIRST VIDEO DEPOSITION" WHERE THERESA LOGAN WAS BEING REPRESENTED BY ATTORNEY ERIC GRUBER OF RONALD BENJAMIN'S LAW FIRM MR. STORY AND MR. BRINKS LIED UNDER OATH AFTER BEING SWORN IN AND THEIR TESTIMONY HAS CHANGED AT LEAST 3 TIMES SO WHY ARE THEY ALLOWED TO OBSTRUCT JUSTICE?
13. WHY ARE SNOW PLOW TRUCKS EXEMPT FROM THE RULES OF VEHICLE + TRAFFIC LAWS?
14. WHY DO RESIDENTS HAVE LITTLE TO NO RECOURSE IF SNOW PLOW TRUCKS STRIKE A VEHICLE OR MAME OR KILL A PEDESTRIAN?
15. WHY DOES THE LAW FAVOR THESE TRUCKS IN CRASHES?
16. TOWN OF WINDSOR, NEW YORK PAID FOR THERESA LOGAN'S 2 MAJOR SURGERIES SO WHY WON'T THE TOWN OF WINDSOR PAY FOR PHYSICAL THERAPY, 10 THOUSAND DOLLAR BALANCE ON UNPAID BILLS, LOST WAGES, PAIN AND SUFFERING.

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES AMENDMENT

I - FREEDOM OF SPEECH pg. 23

VI RIGHT TO A FAIR TRIAL pg. 25

VII RIGHTS IN CIVIL CASES pg. 25

XI LAWSUITS AGAINST STATES pg. 26

XIV Civil Rights pg. 28

OTHER ^① PETITIONER WAS UNABLE TO GET REPRESENTATION AS SHE WAS TURNED DOWN BY 45 ATTORNEYS.

② AFTER PETITIONER'S ATTORNEY WAS DISMISSED BY JUDGE LEBOWS IN THE LOWER COURTS, MR. BENJAMIN WOULD NOT RELEASE HER DOCUMENTS.

③ PETITIONER WAS NOT ALLOWED TO RETRIEVE "VIDEO DEPOSITION" WHICH SHOWS AND STATES THE LIES TOLD BY MR. STORY AND MR. BRINKS.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at UNKNOWN; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at UNKNOWN; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 9-12-2017.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

- ① PETITIONER LEFT her home AT 6:00 AM Monday morning MARCH 7, 2011. headed for her CAR PARKED on her PROPERTY NEXT to Williams road, on her way to work.
- ② THERE HAD BEEN A SNOW STORM MARCH 6, 2011. WE LIVE NEAR the top of a hill and got close to 12 inches of SNOW.
- ③ PETITIONER had just ABOUT FINISHED CLEARING THE SNOW OFF HER CAR WHEN SHE HEARD AND SAW THE SNOW PLOW TRUCK DRIVING AT A FAST RATE OF SPEED ON THE OPPOSITE SIDE OF THE ROAD WHILE PLOWING THE SNOW.
- ④ THE TRUCK SPEED^{DOWN} THE ROAD ABOUT 1 1/2 BLOCKS TURNED AROUND AND AT A HIGH RATE OF SPEED MR. STORY AND MR. BRINKS WITH 3 FOOT BLADE DOWN CAME SPEEDING TOWARDS THERESA (ONCE she realized they weren't going to stop she turned he back) to keep from getting hit in the face.
- ⑤ SNOW, ROCKSALT AND GRAVEL HIT THERESA FROM HER NECK DOWN TO HER THIGHS, PLUMMETING HER BODY.
- ⑥ NOT KNOWING HOW SEVERE HER INJURIES WERE SHE RECEIVED CORTISONE INJECTIONS UNTIL FINALLY AN MRI WAS DONE AND IN 2014 2 MAJOR SURGERIES PERFORMED. PETITIONER, TO DATE STILL "HAS NOT" RECEIVED PHYSICAL THERAPY.
- ⑦ AFTER OVER 40 YEARS IN THE MEDICAL FIELD THERESA HAD TO RESIGN FROM HER JOB IN THE OPERATING ROOM.
- ⑧ MR. BRINKS AND MR. STORY DROVE BACK 15 MINUTES LATER AND

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. I'VE FOLLOWED PROTOCOL IN SUBMITTING MY BRIEFS AND OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE TO THE LOWER COURTS, HOWEVER. MY CASE HAS BEEN DENIED MULTIPLE TIMES.
 2. I HAVE NOT BEEN ALLOWED TO PLEA MY CASE IN ANY OF THE COURTS IN NEW YORK STATE.
 3. PETITIONER WOULD LIKE COMPENSATION FOR HER INJURIES.
 4. IT APPEARS AS THOUGH MR. STORY, MR. BRINKS THE TOWN OF WINDSOR, NEW YORK RECIPICAL INSURANCE AS WELL AS THEIR ATTORNEY ARE ALL BEING PROTECTED, FROM THE LAW WHEN THE PETITIONER, "THERESA LOGAN IS THE VICTIM".
 5. PETITIONER, IN THE 3.5 YEARS (INSTEAD OF SAVING) HAS JUST ABOUT DEPLETED HER 401-K DUE TO LOSS OF HER JOB.
 6. THE FACTS THAT HAVE COME OUT IN THIS CASE HAVE ONLY BEEN SPOKEN BY THE DRIVER AND THE WINGMAN OF A 20 TON TRUCK, MR. BRINKS AND MR. STORY, AND THEIR ATTORNEY MR. O'BRIEN ALONG WITH NEW YORK MUNICIPAL INSURANCE.
 7. WHEN DOES THE PETITIONER THERESA A. LOGAN GET A CHANCE TO SPEAK IN COURT?
 8. PETITIONER WOULD LIKE TO BE MADE WHOLE AND BE REINBURSED FOR ^{LOST} WAGES, LOSS OF JOB, PAIN AND SUFFERING, INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS, PHYSICAL THERAPY, UNPAID MEDICAL BILLS, CASH OUT OF POCKET 401-K
- 5

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

THERESA A. LOGAN (FKA ODEJIMI)

Date: DECEMBER 11, 2017