

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

No. 17-6852

PETER M. PILAT AND SHEILA PILAT

Petitioners,

v.

MRH SUB I, LLC,
AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO CITIMORTGAGE, INC,

Respondent.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the
Arizona Court of Appeals, Division One

APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
TO RE-FILE PETITION FOR WRIT OF
CERTIORARI TO THE ARIZONA COURT OF
APPEALS, DIVISION ONE

PETER M. PILAT
SHEILA PILAT
PRO SE
3561 N. Paseo Del Sol
Mesa, AZ 85207
Phone: (480) 699-1482
PILAT@COX.NET
SHEILA @COX.NET

To the Honorable JUSTICE KENNEDY:

I hereby request an extension of time to re-file my Petition for Writ of Certiorari, and to pay the required filing fee. On November 15, 2017, we filed our Petition, along with a *Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis*, and an *Affidavit In Support*. By a letter dated January 22, 2018, and received on January 26, 2018, the Court notified us that it has denied our *Motion*, and that we have until February 12, 2018, to file a booklet petition and pay the filing fee.

I have attached a copy of that letter.


I respectfully request to extend my time to re-file and pay the fee for 30 days because both Peter Pilat and I, Sheila Pilat, have been really sick with the flu. I became affected a few days after

Christmas and Peter got it mid-January. I have been mostly bedridden up until just now, and while Peter has been able to keep working with the help of medications, he could not arrange for the printing of the booklet petition because of his work schedule since we received the letter last Friday.

We have paid all filing fees needed in the lower court and state appellate courts and have not previously requested or been granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in any other court in relation to this action because in Arizona, electronic filing is available at the Superior Court, Court of Appeals and Supreme Court level, thereby curtailing dramatically the costs associated with appellate review. We are legally separated but living on one income due to recent health issues I have had. Peter is also responsible for other court-ordered domestic support obligations.

Because our Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis has been denied, and we need to arrange for the booklet Petition to be re-filed, I respectfully request an additional 30 days to arrange for the printing of the booklet petition and budget for this cost, and to pay the full filing fee by that time.

Respectfully Submitted, on February 1, 2018.



Sheila Pilat, Pro Se

3561 N. Paseo Del Sol
Mesa, Arizona 85207
pilat@cox.net or
[Sheila @cox.net](mailto:Sheila@cox.net)
480 699-1482

Supreme Court of the United States
Office of the Clerk
Washington, DC 20543-0001

Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
(202) 479-3011

January 22, 2018

Mr. Peter M. Pilat
3561 N. Paseo Del Sol
Mesa, AZ 85207


Re: Peter M. Pilat, et ux.
v. MRH Sub 1, LLC
No. 17-6852

Dear Mr. Pilat:

The Court today entered the following order in the above-entitled case:

The motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* is denied. Petitioner is allowed until February 12, 2018, within which to pay the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) and to submit a petition in compliance with Rule 33.1 of the Rules of this Court.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Scott S. Harris", written in a cursive style.

Scott S. Harris, Clerk