

No. 17-6693

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ALBERT MIKLOS KUN,
Petitioner,

-v.-

STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA,
Respondent.

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the State Bar Court of California Review
Department.

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Albert M. Kun SB# 55820
San Francisco, CA 94133
(415) 362-4000
In Pro Se

I, ALBERT MIKLOS KUN, respectfully petitions this court for a rehearing under Rule 44 of the Petition for Writ of Certiorari.

The Petition for Rehearing is made on the ground of intervening circumstances of substantial and controlling effects not previously presented.

The Court's official records, both electronic and hard copy, on January 8, 2018 at 6:00 a.m. PST, indicated that the Court had granted the Writ of Certiorari at its January 5, 2018 hearing. Someone, later in that same morning, changed the records. These circumstances are set forth in detail in the Declaration of Albert Miklos Kun.

January 26, 2018

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Albert Miklos Kun". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above a horizontal line.

Albert Miklos Kun
In Pro Se

DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR REHEARING

I, ALBERT MIKLOS KUN, state as follows:

1. I Am the petitioner in the within matter.

2. On January 8, 2018 at 6:00 a.m. PST, I telephoned the Clerk's Office at 202-479-3011 regarding the status of my Certiorari petition. On the automated instruction prompt, I pressed the #1 key to the automated system.

3. The automated system then advised me to enter my "5-digit" number." For a moment I was puzzled, since I believed I had a 6-digit number; nevertheless I entered my case number, "17-6693." After a short silence a voice came on: "*Albert Miklos Kun v. State Bar of California*, Certiorari granted." I thought to myself, well, this is great; at least four justices voted for me!

4. After about 15-20 minutes of waiting, at around 6:20 a.m. PST, I repeated the procedure and the same voice came back to me, "*Albert Miklos Kun v. State Bar of California*, Certiorari granted." Listening to the tape would corroborate my statements.

5. Shortly after this, I went to the Court's official website and looked up the Order filed on January 8, 2018. I reviewed all the cases where Certiorari was denied, and my case was not among them. There were no Certs granted on the order. A review of the original order would corroborate my testimony.

6. I tried to call the court, to reach a human being, but the line was invariably busy.

7. Finally, at around 7:00 a.m. PST, I was able to get through, and a deep-voiced gentleman informed me that "the Court denied your Cert this morning."

8. Once again, I checked the Court's website for the January 8, 2018 order, and this time it showed, "KUN, Albert M. cert denied." This occurred around 7:15 a.m. PST (10:15 a.m. Eastern Time).

9. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

San Francisco, California
January 25, 2018

Albert Miklos Kun
Albert Miklos Kun

CERTIFICATE

I, Albert Miklos Kun, certify that the grounds for reconsideration are limited to intervening circumstances of substituted or controlling effect previously not presented.

The Petition for Rehearing is presented in good faith and not for delay.

January 25, 2018

Albert Miklos Kun
Albert Miklos Kun