

ORIGINAL

No. 17-6583

**IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

**→ IN RE: THOMAS J. CREAN and SUSAN CREAN,
[PRO SE SENIOR] PETITIONERS.**

v.

**125 WEST 76TH STREET REALTY CORP. [NY, NY]; and
BOARD PRESIDENT ALYSON REIM FRIEDMAN,
RESPONDENTS.**

**ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
US SECOND CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS NEW YORK**

SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

**THOMAS J. CREAN and SUSAN CREAN,
[Pro Se Senior] Petitioners
101 Middlefield Avenue
Waterbury, CT 06705
203 528 3731**

November 21, 2017

Supreme Court of the United States Case No: 17-6583 docketed 11/1/2017
 Petitioners SUPPLEMENTAL Petition/Writ of Certiorari

	<u>Page</u>
<u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u>	i
<u>Chapter</u>	
1. Parties to the Proceeding(1pp)	ii
2. Table of Additional Authorities Cited.....(2pp)	iii
→ 3. <u>New</u> Statements of the Case(8pp)	1 - 8
4. Jurisdiction.....(IN RE)(1pp)	9
5. <u>Additional</u> Cases(2pp)	10 -11
5.1 <u>Additional</u> Constitutional Statutory Provisions(1pg)	12
[See: "Supplement" Appendix "S"B]	
6. Additional Reasons for Granting Petition(1pg)	13
7. Conclusion(1pg)	14
8. Spain's 1865 Chalcographic Tribute to President Abraham Lincoln and Lincoln's Quote.....(1pg)	15
- <u>Supplemental Appendix "S" A - ca2NYs Denial Order of 6/21/2017 and Mandate of 9/25/2017 sent to Petitioners from the US Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit of New York ... (same as original Appendix A attached to Petition/Writ of Certiorari docketed 11/1/2017 Supreme Court of the US Case No.: 17-6583).</u>	
- <u>Supplementa; Appendix "S" B - Chapter 2.1 Added Constitutional Provisional Statute to Original Chapter 7.1 Constitutional Provisional Statutes docketed 11/1/2017.</u>	
- Appendix "S" C - <u>Index of Appendices</u> - Original docketed 11/1/2017 [Reproduced here for Convenience.]	
- Supplemental Appendix "S" D - News Nation & World Science - Article Pub.11/13/2017 - <u>"World Scientists Warning to Humanity: A 2d Notice"</u>	

* Certificate of Compliance and Proof of Service separately attached.

Chapter 1.

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS

Thomas J. Crean and Susan Crean, v. 125 West 76th Street Realty Corp;
[Pro Se Senior] Petitioners. | and, Board President Alyson Reim
Friedman, Respondents.

Pro Se Senior Petitioners:

Mr. & Mrs. Thomas J. Crean
101 Middlefield Avenue
Waterbury, CT 06705
(203) 528-3731

For the Respondents:

Barry G. Margolis, Attorney
Abrams, Garfinkel, Margolis,
Bergson, LLP
1430 Broadway - 17th Floor
New York, NY 10018
(212) 201-1170

* * * * *

[PMGI is Not a Party to the Lawsuit; but is mentioned here below:

Pam Elgar, Manager of Plymouth Management Group, Inc.,
(as Agent, and Signatory on proprietary leases/contracts for the
Employer/Respondents: 125 West 76th Street Realty Corp.)
Plymouth Management Group, Inc.
1776 Broadway - Suite 1720
New York, NY 10019
(212) 447-7000 Ext. 13 [-Pam Elgar, Mgr.]

Chapter 2. ADDITIONAL TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED
[Supplemental Petition/Writ of Certiorari 11/17/2017]

1. 15 USC § 2622; AHERA § 2651 29 CFR Part 24 (& 1977, respectively).
[TSCA] Toxic Substances Control Act of 1979; Asbestos Ban amended;
“Signed into Law (June 22, 2016).”

A. S.697 [114th Congress] Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the
21st Century Act (Congress.gov 2016)

[https://www.congress.gov/bill/114th-congress/senate-bill/697/all-info]

B. Environmental Defense Fund (2016, June 7). EDF Hails Passage of
Bi-Partisan Chemical Safety Reform. Retrieved from
[[https://www.edf.org/media/edf-hails-passage-bi-partisan-chemical-](https://www.edf.org/media/edf-hails-passage-bi-partisan-chemical-safety-reform)
[safety-reform](https://www.edf.org/media/edf-hails-passage-bi-partisan-chemical-safety-reform)]

C. S.2072 [115th Congress] Bill Introduced 11/2/2017 - To amend the
Toxic Substances Control Act to require the Administrator of the
Environmental Protection Agency to take action to eliminate human
exposure to asbestos, and for other purposes.

“TITLE VII—Asbestos”

“SEC. 701. Definition of Asbestos.

“In this title, the term ‘asbestos’ means all forms of asbestos.”

“SEC. 702. Elimination of Asbestos exposure.

“(a) Importation, use, and exposure assessment.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of the Alan Reinstein Ban Asbestos Now Act of 2017, the Administrator shall carry out and publish an identification and assessment of the current and reasonably anticipated importation, distribution in commerce, and uses of, and exposures to, asbestos.

2. Supremacy Clause ‘Doctrine of Pre-emption’

ARTICLE VI of the US Constitution

→ 2.1 Additional Constitutional Provisional Statute

28 U.S.C. 1251 and Article III of the U.S. Constitutional

[IMPORTANT NOTE: See Petition/Writ of Certiorari Supreme Court
Case No. 17-6583 docketed 11/1/2017 for ‘Extensive lists’ - Chapter 4
Table of Authorities Cited (PP xiii-xiiii); and Chapters: 7 & 7.1 (PP 5-10)
Cases & Constitutional Statutory Provisions Involved..]

This "Supplement" reinforces and adds to Petitioner's [Hereinafter "P"] original Writ/Petition of Certiorari (Supreme Court of the U.S. Case No.: 17-6583 docketed 11/1/2017). Pro Se Seniors (Physically disabled and suffering from [medically confirmed] toxic pulmonary and systemic "Asbestos" exposure) Ps Thomas J. Crean and Susan Crean are herewith bringing forth new information (with additional relevant cases) to the Court's attention.

→ P believe this information will have direct bearing on our case for this Supreme Court to grant our Writ of Certiorari and decide our case based on its merits.

1. Pro Se Senior P (76 years old) Thomas J. Crean is a U.S. Army Veteran having served in Post War Korea. He holds a U.S. Coast Guard issued Merchant Mariner's Document and worked aboard many U.S. Vessels ... including the [MSTS] Military Sea Transport Service, U.S. Ships: S.S. Constitution, (U.S. Flagship)-S.S. United States, and others. [See: Appendix C - Part 2 -PP 24cx - 26cx of Petitioners Original Writ/ Petition of Certiorari Case No.: 17-6583 docketed 11/1/2017 for (redacted) seamen documents.]

→ 2. Petitioners believe that U.S. Supreme Court Rule 40 (pertaining to Veterans, Seamen, and Military Cases) applies here ... in addition to Petitioner's original filed papers of "In Forma Pauperis" to the Court.

3. P Thomas J. Crean was under SEIU 32BJ's 2014 (and other years) [CBAs] Collective Bargaining Agreements with the Respondents [Hereinafter "R"] and the [RAB] Realty Advisory Board ... whose Stipulation in each of those CBAs [over-riding the entire agreement] is this: ARTICLE XVI B. 6 (1): [CBA] Stipulation [Except for:] pertaining to Resident Managers/Superintendents, having the Authority (Obligatory and Moral Duty) to "Act in Emergencies to Protect the Health and Safety of the Building!" [See: Appendix E of P original Petition/Writ of Certiorari.]

→ † There is 'NO' contractual 'ambiguity' here (as in analyses of "Chevron Deference" cases), to the intent or meanings of this Stipulation in these SEIU [CBAs] Collective Bargaining Agreements, and their ARTICLE XVI B. 6 (1) Stipulation is "specific" only to Resident Managers/Superintendents. *Therefore, SEIU/R/RAB "CBAs" Stipulation directly gave authority to Full-time [24/7] (fully experienced and licensed) Resident Superintendent P Thomas J. Crean to "Act in an Emergency to Protect the Health and Safety of the Building!"

[P's Superintendent NEVER (at any time) stopped those demolition workers, who had already commenced their "uncontained" demolition under the direction of R Board President Alyson Reim Friedman. R gave those workers the "Go-Ahead" to commence demolition without containment and without notifying them that they were working in a contaminated "HOT" Zone! R had "Demoted and Dismissed" P Superintendent 'AFTER' those workers had started!]

Ps wife, Susan Crean called NYC's #311 and EPA Authorities to come to Rs building to stop R from releasing uncontained Asbestos and other contaminates. On R wanton "Spill" 10/21/2014 - EPA Asbestos Inspector Nazim Hodzic came to R building (9 hrs later), tested & put up EPAs "RED DANGER ASBESTOS" cordoning off tape (completely sealed off Rs Boiler Room), stopped those workers, and sent them home after impounding their contaminated open to the air "Pick-up" truck that was already full of contaminate debris!]

4. P Thomas J. Crean was also covered under Title VIII of 18 USC § 1514A of [SOX] Sarbanes-Oxley Act 2002 AMDD 3/5/2015 Retaliation Complaints for "Protected Activity" of Private and Public employees.

[See: Appendix F - Parts 1 and 2 - P/Appellants 5/23/2017 ca2NY Brief (that R NEVER put in a Reply Brief) Petitioners in P Original Writ/ Petition of Certiorari Case No.: 17-6583 docketed 11/1/2017.]

5. On 9/23/2014 Manager Pam Elgar of [PMGI] Plymouth Management Group, Inc. (as Agents for Rs) forwarded an email to P Superintendent Thomas J. Crean from R's Asbestos Testing Investigator Mr. Qadri A. Lawal [mailto: ener-con@msn.com 9/23/2014 11:18 AM] -

P found out much later (after reading thousands of our emails - since 2001) that Mr. Lawal was long-time employed by R]. That 9/23/2014 email stated that the Bulk samples he took within R Boiler Room's proposed work site were analyzed and found to be "Positive" for Asbestos!

6. P later found out that these Asbestos "Bulk" samples had been [ELAP] Environmental Laboratory Approved Procedure analyzed and [DOH] Dept of Health registered using outdated and obsolete Asbestos Testing procedures ... [NIOSH] National Institute of Occupational Safety & Health #7400 ["PLM"] Polarized Light Microscopy, as confirmed obsolete by those Federal Web Sites. Official (and correct as of 1989) Asbestos Testing Procedures [NIOSH] #7402 ["PLM"] Polarized Light Microscopy was to be used in conjunction with ["TEM"] Transmission Electron Microscopy per online scientific technical documents of [DOH] Dept of Health, Wadsworth, NY and [CDC] Center for Disease Control in Atlanta, GA [these federal web site addresses were noted in P original Petition/Writ of Certiorari filed 11/1/2017].

After researching "Asbestos" and other contaminants (from 9/23/2014) Ps realized these were highly toxic ... and that Asbestos was rampant (had been leeching out in powdered form) within R's Building and Boiler Room for years! Steadfast Full-time [24/7] 14 year Residential Superintendent Thomas J. Crean was wrongfully terminated 11/5/2014, just a few weeks after P was 'Demoted and Dismissed' by R Board President on R's wanton uncontained (significant by EPA standards) Environmental "Spill" date Tuesday, 10/21/2014.

7. When, P were forced to vacate the basement (within 10 days from termination date and getting no less than FIVE termination letters [in

rapid succession] and in different delivery methods) by the R P found Rs [O&M] Operational & Maintenance Program their Removal of Asbestos, Lead, and other contaminates; this was known (by Rs) to be in their 96+ year-old building but Rs concealed it from Petitioners!

8. P realized only then, that R "KNEW" (all the time) about the Asbestos and other contaminates that were in their building all along (from BEFORE 2001-P Superintendent's hire date); but R NEVER notified P Superintendent, workers, or their other fellow shareholders/residents of the presence of toxic contaminates that were in their building (and as evidenced by the omissions in Rs Annual Reports (for years) of the contaminated areas in R's building that desperately needed repairs!

9. Only at that time (vacating the basement), Ps realized after finding several documents that Rs 'OTHER' previous demolitions and renovations (over the course of 14 years) were never contained for ANY toxicity ... and Ps were fully exposed over prolonged periods of time to Asbestos [ACM] and other highly toxic [SAC] Petroleum-infused Asphalt Roofing Materials contaminates! (Also, P 14 years of emails and documents validate our above statements.))]

10. P notified Management and R several times by email and other (calls and spoken) to take the mandatory regulatory federal protocols of containment (that P researched in September 2014-after getting Mgmt's forwarded email). However, R Board President Alyson Reim Friedman took

“NO” proper containment during this (or other demolitions) ... nor, was there EVER any Asbestos Supervising Coordinator on site, at ANY time!

11. → [On “Tuesday” morning 10/21/2014 R Board President Alyson Reim Friedman “pre-meditatively” worked out her scenario: She had the “Saturday” only 4-hour weekend porter accompany her down to the basement, had that Porter hide all P Superintendent’s building’s operational keys, and proceeded to give her permission to “subcontracted” (Spanish demolition workers) to commence “Uncontained” demolition in R 96+ yr old Boiler Room without ever notifying those subcontracted demolition workers that they were demolishing highly toxic ‘DRY’ Asbestos and other contaminates.

(NO containment, NO PPE - personal protection equipment was ever used, and NO ‘Asbestos’ EPA pre-notification by R was ever given ... and, R were in direct violation of OSHA HAZCOM Regulations and NYS “Right-to-Know” Laws! [*And, R affected this, when P Superintendent Thomas J. Crean was on the upper floors of the building doing his daily chores ... BEFORE P heard banging and went immediately down to the basement to see what was going on!]

12. † R impeded/stifled P Superintendent by “Demoting and Dismissing” him (in direct violation of SEIU’s 2014 CBA) and R hindered P from performing his “PROTECTED’ DUTY” (to Safeguard and Protect the

Health and Safety of the Building/Public). P was stunned in disbelief that R would do this, when P just came out of the elevator to see what was going on!

*DEP Asbestos Inspector Nazim Hodzic came to R's building on the night [7:40 PM] of Tuesday 10/21/2014, stopped the demolition workers, sent them home, told them to leave their contaminated pick-up truck... then, he shut the building down AFTER placing EPAs "Red Danger Asbestos" cordoning off tape around the basement to barricade R's Boiler Room!

13. → ‡ There is NO applicable "Estoppel" in this case (that was never litigated in a Court of Law) ... as "Fraud" and "Legal Fraud" committed by R manifested itself BEFORE the [OCA] Contract Arbitrator held a 2-day Arbitration (in which the R and all attorneys were in contract with each other; they concealed and withheld vital P evidence from the Arbitrator [the official Bona fide DEP/EPA 12/4/2014 letter from Commissioner Emily Lloyd's Asbestos Control Division Director Steven A. Camaio, P. E. to P Superintendent Thomas J. Crean]. The Arbitrator was purposely 'kept-in-the-dark.' But, he ultimately wrote in his report (of 03/04/2015) that Employee Superintendent did "...nothing to provoke his termination"].

[See: P Original Writ/Petition of Certiorari Case No.: 17-6583 docketed 11/1/2017: † Appendix E - [excerpted pages from 2014 SEIU 32J CBA - PP 29cx - 31cx; ‡ Appendices: G & H (1, 2 & 3).]

14. Pro Se P (Physically) disabled Senior Susan Crean (in her prior Working History) was in an equally responsible position of securing the Public's Safety and Trust. Susan Crean was a certified Federal Aviation Observer and worked in La Guardia Airport's Marine Air Terminal Tower, Queens, New York - one of the highest density Airports in the U.S.

15. The R have waived their rights to file a Reply Brief to P Petition/Writ of Certiorari docketed 11/1/2017 before this Court.

→ P believe this is a legal maneuvering ploy by R to avoid (escape and evade) culpability for their FRAUD and LEGAL FRAUD in wantonly exposing P (and the Public) to toxic contaminates for over a decade, as detailed in R [O&M] Asbestos (and other contaminates) Removal Program, and as shown in P irrefutable Federal/State/NYC evidence (detailed in Ps Treatise of August 2016 (prior sent in to the SDNY and the ca2NY).

→ Further, Ps firmly believe the R (as R have previously demonstrated to P in the past) are vying to misdirect the Court's attention; they are trying to avoid culpability for their willfully committed 'Fraud and Legal Fraud' in their willfully exposing P & the Public to highly toxic contamination for over a decade ... issues and evidence P already presented to the Court (backed up by Federal/State/NYC Bona fide evidence).

[See: Ps Original Writ/Petition of Certiorari Case No.: 17-6583 docketed 11/1/2017: Appendix I -Confirmed Medical "Asbestos" exposure Diagnoses for P; and Appendix B - Rs [O&M] Operational and Maintenance Program for the Removal of Asbestos and other contaminates viable for 40 yrs.]

Chapter 4.

→ IN RE: JURISDICTION

The Supreme Court of the United States has IN RE: Jurisdiction of this petition to review the judgment of United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit pursuant to 28 USC §1254(1) that was subsequently, denied by that Court. *Petitioners ["P"] (exhaustingly, detailed) ca2NY Brief of 5/23/2017 was never reply answered by the Respondents! Ps are ill with toxic contaminate poisoning (that has been medically verified)!

The Federal District Court of the State of New York [SDNY] had But, it relinquished subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 16 USC §460bb, and pursuant to 28 USC §1331 because Ps complaint (not only) entailed several federal Constitutional torts (that were NEVER addressed by that Court), then, complete jurisdiction fell to the ca2NY (was transferred by Ps timely from SDNY to the ca2NY).

This complete case transfer to ca2NY was affected "BEFORE" the SDNY Court finished months' tolling of Ps SDNY Case #15CV3814 filed 5/18/2015. Ps Civil Case filed 5/18/2015, was amended 12/22/2015 to include Respondents ["R"] "Fraud and Legal Fraud" [FRCP - Title VII Rule 60 (b) 1, 2 & 3 for Rs fraudulently filing false documents to federal/state/NYC agencies and to the Courts concealing their exposing Ps (and the Public) to highly toxic contaminants for over a decade!].

→Ps "Constitutional Rights to Due Process" (trampled on by both SDNY and ca2NY) fell by the wayside, when both Courts ignored Ps [Federal Rules #103] Evidence. These Courts also made several errors in omissions; Ps could not even find our 10-Volume (1,000-page) Treatise (that we sent in to both Courts) ... or, where (within those docket sheets) this was filed! How and why was Ps case dismissed without the lower Courts even considering P "merited" Bona fide (multitudinous) Federal/State/NYC evidence?

Ps were "poisoned" with toxic contaminants by the Rs and threatened by SDNY Judge L. T. Swain NOT to put in an Appeal to the Second Circuit Court of New York, because she would consider it being in "BAD FAITH" for us to do so! We NEVER saw this Judge, we NEVER even spoke to her, nor ever saw, or spoke to, Magistrate Judge Henry B. Pitman ... who originally had assignment of the case per ORDER of Judge L. T. Swain (at the very beginnings of this case).

Petitioners implore the US Supreme Court to weigh the merits of this case and remove it entirely from the lower Courts (who unduly added their prejudiced stigma to years now of [Pro Se Senior] Petitioners 'Standing up' for our "Constitutional Rights" to Due Process and Jurisprudence; for our lives, and, for the Protections of the Public and the Environment)!

Chapter 5.

ADDITIONAL CASES

→ [See: Original Petition/Writ Case No. 17-6583 docketed 11/1/2017 for
Extensive List of Cases.]

1. George J. Linkus Sr. v. John Crane-Houdaille Inc. Et Al.
Case No.: 24X05000315 Baltimore City Circuit Court
Incident Location: Baltimore, MD Judge: Carol E. Smith
Outcome Plaintiff's Verdict Suit Filed: Apr 14, 2005;
Disposition Jan. 30, 2008. Jury Awards \$15.3M in Asbestos
Exposure Case ... a 73 year old Halethorpe man whose
Terminal cancer was linked to asbestos exposure while working
at a South Baltimore shipyard in the 1950's.
Dates Incident: 1954-1959; suit filed Apr. 14, 2005
2. Supremacy Clause 'Doctrine of Pre-emption'
ARTICLE VI of the US Constitution
→ 2.1 Additional Constitutional Provisional Statute
28 U.S.C. 1251 and Article III of the U.S. Constitution
3. New York City Asbestos Litig. 2016 NY Slip Op 05729 Decided
On Aug 4, 2016 Appellate Division, 1st Dept Published by NYS
Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431.
Decided on Aug 4, 2016. "Mazzarelli, J. P., Moskowitz, Manzanet-
Daniels, Gesmer, JJ. 190114/13 - 1162 1161 1160 In re New York City
Asbestos Litigation - Awarded Plaintiff 3/13/2015
\$3.5 million in damages for future pain and suffering, without
Costs. The jury verdict was based on sufficient evidence and was not
Against the weight of the evidence, (see Cohen v Hallmark Cards,
45 NY2d 493, 498-499 [1978]). The award for pain and suffering does
Not deviate materially from what would be reasonable compensation
(CPLR 5501; see e.g. Matter of NYC Asbestos Litig. [Konstantin &
Dummit, 121 AD3d 230, 255 [1st Dept 2014], motion to dismiss appeal
denied 24 NY3d 1216 [2015]; Penn v Amchem Prod., 85 AD3d 475
[1st Dept 2011]). The Decision and Order of the [State] Supreme Court,
Appellate Division, First Department, Entered August 4, 2016. Clerk
4. Aguirre v Long Island Rail Road Co. 2007 NY Slip Op 51384(U)
[16 Misc 3d 1111(A)] Decided July 16, 2007 Supreme Court Kings Co
26113/96 The Jury awarded these plaintiffs for their prima facie
Evidence of Asbestos Exposure. [HOWEVER, these men were heavy
smokers, aside from their being exposed to toxins!]

→ [Petitioners have (1) medically verified Asbestos-caused Lung Diseases (Pulmonary & other internal growths) Emphysema, lung scarring with ground glass in both lungs, calcifications, granulomas, and COPD from having been directly exposed to Asbestos (and other Toxic Contaminates for over a decade) by Respondents willful negligence (2) Asbestos was "POSITIVELY" identified in Bulk Samples that were analyzed by different [DOH] Dept of Health Registered Environmental Approved Laboratories (and Petitioners DO NOT SMOKE)!]

5. The Estate of Lewis Nash, Mary Nash as Executrix, Plaintiff, v. A. W. Chesterton Company, Inc., et al. Defendants State of NY Supreme Court County of Onondaga Decision and Order Index No 2012-000719 RJI No. 33-12-1731 Apr 27, 2015.

Jury Awarded \$7.7M to Plaintiff for exposure to asbestos, conscious [pain And suffering, emotional pain and suffering, loss of services and society, Wrongful death, and wrongful death from the date of verdict until the Time Plaintiff would have otherwise been expected to live!

6. March 13, 1998 On March 5, Benny C. Battistelli and Power City Plumbing and Heating Inc. (Power City), of Wheeling, W.Va., were indicted in U.S. District Court for the Northern District of West Virginia in Martinsburg on several felony counts involving violations of the Clean Air Act. Battistelli is the president of Power City and the owner of the Central Union Building (CUB), also in Wheeling. The indictment alleges that in 1995 and 1997 Battistelli directed Power City employees to remove regulated asbestos-containing material from the CUB without notifying EPA, as required. It further alleges that those employees were not certified to remove asbestos, did not wet the asbestos during removal, did not wear protective clothing when removing the asbestos, and did not utilize air-monitoring equipment during the removal. In addition, the indictment charges that Battistelli and Power City disposed of the asbestos at an unapproved site, and that Battistelli made a false statement to an EPA Special Agent concerning the removal of the asbestos. If convicted, Battistelli faces a maximum of up to five years imprisonment and/or fines of up to \$250,000 on each count. Power City faces maximum fines of up to \$500,000 on each count if convicted. The case was investigated by EPA's Criminal Investigation Division EPA 98-R-29 (E.P.A.), 1998 WL 34328275

[The Respondents NEVER pre-notified EPA, nor notified Petitioners, demolition workers, or, the Public, and all were subjected to uncontained "DRY" Asbestos over prolonged periods! R affected "Spills" for well over a decade. In addition, although, R's were on an [O&M] Operational and Maintenance Asbestos (and other Contaminates) Removal Program; Rs never adhered to their Program, and concealed it from Petitioners!]

ADDITIONAL SUPPLEMENT - APPENDIX "S"B

Chapter 2.1 CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONAL STATUTE

→ 28 USC 1251 and Article III of the US Constitution

Chapter 7.1 CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONAL STATUTES

Original Supreme Court Case #17-6583 docketed 11/1/2017
[Reproduced here for convenience]

Supremacy Clause 'Doctrine of Pre-emption'
ARTICLE VI of the US Constitution

16 USC §460bb Appendix, Sec.103 (a)
16 USC §460bb Appendix, Sec.104 (h).
28 USC §1254 (1)
28 USC §1331

28 USC §2403 (a)
28 USC §451

Chapter 6. ADDITIONAL REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

This Court should grant this petition and review the judgment of the Second Circuit Court of Appeals of New York [ca2NY] because its decision is in conflict with the Court's decisions in many cases aforementioned in important points of federal law. The ca2NY did not review Petitioners Treatise of Federal/State/NYC Bona fide evidence, and dismissed this without a teleconference, a hearing, or a trial. The SDNY forfeited jurisdiction to the ca2NY that Pro Se Senior Disabled Petitioners timely submitted our motions for Appeals. However, no consideration, no jurisprudence, and no respect at all were afforded us.

Petitioners motions were repeatedly denied one after the other, and the district court's and ca2NY's docket sheets were replete with omissions that proved to be counter-productive to Justice; they departed from the Constitution of the United States and veered from the usual course of judicial proceedings to P detriment.

Petitioners are seniors and ill with many symptoms of irreparable lung damage/diseases from Toxic Asbestos Contaminate exposure ... (our medical conditions are confirmed by the Veteran's Administration Hospital and other medical facilities).from Respondents uncontained releases that effected hundreds of children, their teachers, and parents in the adjacent Public and Private Schools! The ca2NY exponentially added to these violations of Petitioners Constitutional Rights, because that Court did NOT address "Matters of Law" that were before them!

WHEREFORE, Petitioners do ask that this Honorable Court

GRANT RELIEF to wit:

1. Hold a hearing on the merits of this Supplemental and Original Petition/Writ of Certiorari and grant judgment on all counts to Petitioners:


2. Issue appropriate "financial and other" remedy for the irreparable injuries Petitioners are currently dealing with, and for those added injuries that are foreseeable in the immediate future (based on our medical conditions). The Respondents were reckless, negligent, and indifferent to human life and the Environment.

For the above and foregoing reasons, Petitioners request the issuance of a Writ of Certiorari from the United States Supreme Court of this "Free and Just" land to hear our case and vote on the merits of the enclosed Supplemental Petition (and previous original Petition Supreme Court of the US Case #17-6583 docketed 11/1/2017) for Justice, for the Health and Safety of the Public, and to Protect the Environment and Constitution of the United States for future generations to come!


Petitioners are Pro Se Disabled (ill) Seniors and we have been unnecessarily exposed to toxic contaminates by the Respondents for over a decade. We Petitioned the lower Courts to abide by our Constitutional Rights to let us have a say in our case ... that was just shut out with no consideration. Both Courts did not review our Treatise of Evidence according to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Evidence Rules #102 and #103.

We have irreparable damages and have put forward the best that we could to bring forward the documented facts in this matter. We have Truth on our side, and we will always rely on that!

Respectfully,



Thomas J. Crean and Susan Crean
101 Middlefield Avenue
Waterbury, CT 06705
1-203-528-3731



Petitioners Quote from President Abraham Lincoln:

"Let us have Faith that Right makes Might, and in that Faith ... let us to the End ... Dare to do our Duty as we understand it."