


No. 17-6525

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

MARVIN T. RIDEOUT, III,
petitioner,
v.

HAROLD CLARKE,
respondent.

CERTIFICATION OF COUNSEL



I, Mervin T. Rideout, III, petitioner, do to my status as a inmate currently incarcerated in the Commonwealth of Virginia, do hereby come before the Court representing myself and in accordance under the Rule 39, will proceed in forma pauperis.

I certify that my petition for a rehearing of the petition for Writ of Certiorari in the above mentioned case is so presented before the Court in good faith with no underlying motives for delay or duplicity to the Court. Mr. Rideout is not an educated attorney and thusly ignorant with the nuances of procedural filings before the Court. Please make allowances for my plain speak. It is not intended as an insult, intentional or otherwise.

Feb. 9, 2018

Marvin T. Rideout, III

CC: Mr. Mathew R. McGuire, Office of the Attorney General, 202 North Ninth Strret, Richmond, VA 23219

No. 17-6525

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

MARVIN T. RIDEOUT, III,
petitioner,

v.

HAROLD CLARKE,
respondent.

MOTION FOR A REHEARING

The petitioner, Marvin Rideout, III, respectfully prays that a rehearing is granted toward the petition for Writ of Certiorari. The petitioner became aware of erroneous data in the original petition, due to extremely limited access to the law library and privacy issues along with tight time constraints; The petition with errors was submitted to the court in October of 2017. It is the belief that the petitioners petition was denied in accordance to Rule 14 of the Court. For this reason a corrected petition is being submitted with the direct question regarding the protection of the 4th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.

Throughout multiple petitions to the lower courts the question of the role of a wireless router was repeatedly overlooked and ignored in the determinations by the courts. It has always been the contention that Mr. Rideout had a constitutional bestowed right of privacy within his home from electronic intrusions. The focus was placed on the computer within the network not the network itself. In ignoring the fact the an electronic umbrella was in place and sheltered the residence

electronically, as a locked door would do so on a physical plane, the courts repeatedly denied Mr. Rideout his protection under the U.S. Constitution.

Mr. Rideout certifies that this petition for a rehearing of the petition for Writ of Certiorari in the above mentioned case is so presented before the Court in good faith with no underlying motives for delay or duplicity to the Court. Mr. Rideout is not an educated attorney and thusly ignorant with the nuances of procedural filings before the Court. Please make allowances for my plain speak. It is not intended as an insult, intentional or otherwise.

It is the prayer of the petitioner, Marvin Rideout, III, that a rehearing of the petition for a Writ of Certiorari, in order to review the judgment and opinion that the fourth Circuit Court of Appeals rendered in these proceedings on September 8, 2017, case # 17-6382

Feb. 9, 2018

Marvin T. Rideout, III
Marvin T. Rideout, III

CC: Mr. Mathew R. McGuire, Office of the Attorney General, 202 North Ninth Strret, Richmond, VA 23219

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**