

No. 17-6214

IN THE
Supreme Court Of The United States

Olufemi S. Collins, Wanda D. Collins

Petitioners

v.

ASSET MANAGEMENT SPECIALISTS INC. et al

Respondents

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT DIVISION FIVE


PETITION FOR REHEARING

Olufemi S. Collins, Wanda D. Collins(pro se)

580 W. Monterey Ave. #2527

Pomona, CA 91769

(909)241-6310



PETITION FOR REHEARING

This Petition for Writ of Certiorari ("Cert_2") is the second of three Petitions docketed in this case. The first Petition for Writ of Certiorari ("Cert_1") which was docketed as 17-5691 was denied on October 30, 2017 and the Petition for Rehearing for Cert-1 was denied on December 11, 2017. The third Petition for Writ of Certiorari in this Case ("Cert_3") was docketed as 17-6414 and is still pending Conference hearing which is now scheduled for January 5, 2018.

The Questions Presented in this case are too important to the constitutional rights of Citizens to be overlooked without definitive ruling from this Court. In the absence of decisive ruling from this Court, recurrence of similar cases are guaranteed to taunt and openly subject law abiding Citizens to undeserved torturous intimidation. Petitioners thus respectfully request that the Court grants rehearing of this denied Cert_2 by giving consideration as deemed appropriate in connection with the other related Petitions including Cert-1 which has been deemed final by denial of the Petition for Rehearing and also for Cert_3 which is still pending conference hearing on January 5, 2018.

In the unlimited jurisdiction California Superior Court, a Preliminary Injunction was issued in a prior Quiet title action with regards to the same Subject Property in this action. The application and issue of writ of Possession was specifically prohibited. The Injunction is still outstanding in that it has not been formally specifically dissolved by the Court that issued it, not in accordance to the California Statutory mandate, *CCP* § 533. The respondents in the Cert_1, who are not parties to this Petition, bypassed the issuing higher jurisdiction Superior Court and moved contemptuously against the outstanding Injunction by representing to the lower Jurisdiction Unlawful detainer Superior Court that the Injunction issued by the higher jurisdiction Superior Court was dissolved "As a matter of Law". The lower jurisdiction Superior Court issued the contemptuous Writ of possession which was served on the Los Angeles County Sheriffs for execution. The Petitioner presented a Certified copy of the outstanding injunction to the Sheriffs and they suspended eviction attempts twice but then came back on the third attempt to execute the contemptuous Writ in total disregard of the outstanding Injunction while acting under the direction of the Respondents who are parties in Cert_1 and not parties to this Petition. The Los Angeles County and the County Sheriffs are Parties to this Cert_2 and not Parties to Cert_1 Petition..

In the process of executing the Writ of Possession, Petitioner was handcuffed and locked in the back of the patrol car; Petitioner's wife was at work then. The Los Angeles County Sheriffs then released the Petitioners Property and assets to the control and possession of the Respondents who are parties to this Cert_2 Petition including Respondents who are only parties to Cert_1 Petition.

Respondents changed the locks to the Petitioners home and later on, in the Petitioners' absence, The Petitioners' properties inside their Home of more than thirty (30) years were looted, Auctioned off and evacuated by the Respondents in this Cert_2 Petition.[


Respondents to this second Petition Cert_2 are conspirators involving the Government Law enforcement Officers as accessory to private actors to dispossess Citizens of their prime lives' possession. The Petitioners were deprived of their livelihood and stripped of their guaranteed federal constitutional rights.

Here in this case, Petitioner was forcefully removed from his Home, by the Sheriffs, and subjected to false arrest, the Petitioners' Home and their personal assets on the property were delivered to the possession of private actors to be plundered. None of the Defendants in this case had legal entitlement to the title of the Petitioners' Home. In the Superior Court of California, the Petitioners filed Complaint to redress their grievances of being dispossessed of their Home and properties in violation of the Federally guarantee constitutional right to possession, the Superior Court of California summarily dismissed the Petitioners Complaint against the collaborating Private actors and against the County and the Sheriffs under immunity, The Court of Appeal dismissed appeal in part and affirmed in part the Judgment of the Superior Court. The Supreme Court of California denied review of the decisions in the Lower Courts.

The Sheriffs as State Actors collaborated with the other Defendants to deprive the Plaintiffs of their properties in violation of the constitutional rights afforded by the Fourteenth Amendment. ***Soldal v. Cook County***, 506 U.S. 56 (1992). Without being hindered by the County Sheriffs, the Petitioners are capable of any possible appropriate effort to protect their hard earned lives' possession against intrusion by private actors..


For the foregoing reasons, the petition for rehearing should be granted

Respectfully submitted


Olufemi S. Collins, Wanda D. Collins (pro per)

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

I hereby certify that this petition for rehearing is presented in good faith
and not for delay.



OLUFEMI S. COLLINS (pro se)