NO. 17-6175

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

JACK E.ALLEN-PETITIONER

VS

UNITED STATES,et.al.-RESPONDENTS

ON A PETITION FOR REHEARING TO

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR REHEARING

JACK E.ALLEN DA-0984
P.O.BOX 1000
209 INSTITUTIONAL DRIVE
HOUTZDALE,PA 16698-1000

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1) DID THE U.S.SUPREME JUSTICES MAKE SUCH ADVERSE RULING TO DENY SUCH WRIT OF CERTIORARI WHEN SUCH RELEVANCY OF EVIDENCE WAS BEFORE THEM SHOWING THE TRUTH?
ANSWER: YES.

2) IS IT NOT TRUE THE SUIT AGAINST THE UNITED STATES WAS FOR THE FAILURE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND THE U.S.DEPT.OF JUSTICE NOT TO INVESTIGATE THE TRUTH ABOUT PETITIONERS CRIMINAL CASE CONCERNING SUCH "RACKETEERING"?.
ANSWER: YES.

3) IS IT NOT TRUE,THAT THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT MADE THEIR OPINION ABOUT SUCH CONTENTIONS,ISSUES,MERITS,OR CLAIMS ARE NOT WAIVED WHEN THE STATE COURTS REFUSED TO EVEN RULE ON SUCH MERITS,ISSUES,CONTENTIONS,OR CLAIMS LEAVING SUCH OPEN FOR FEDERAL REVIEW?.
ANSWER: YES.

4) IS IT NOT TRUE THAT PETITIONER PRESENTED SUCH RECORDS,EXHIBITS DRAWINGS,AND CHARTS SHOWING A CRIME HAS BEEN COMMITTED BY OFFICIALS?.
ANSWER: YES

5) IS IT NOT TRUE THAT PETITIONER ALSO SHOWED SUCH "FALSE IMPRISONMENT" THROUGH SUCH EVIDENCE AND SHOULD BE RELEASED?.
ANSWER: YES.

6) IS IT NOT TRUE THAT PETITIONER HAD SHOWN THE JUSTICES OF THIS HONORABLE COURT THAT THERE ARE FORGED,FAKE,AND FALSIFIED LEGAL DOCUMENTS IN VIOLATION OF THE "RICO ACT"?.
ANSWER: YES.

7) IS IT NOT TRUE THAT THE UNITED STATES EMPLOYEES OR AGENTS OF AN INVESTIGATING AGENCIES RESPONSIBLE FOR NOT INVESTIGATING SUCH CRIMES AGAINST THE PETITIONER FOR FALSE IMPRISONMENT?.
ANSWER: YES.

8) IS IT TRUE THAT THE ALLEGED DEAD VICTIM,KNOWN AS PETITIONERS WIFE,STILL ALIVE AND WELL,WALKING AROUND WITH A HIGHER OFFICIAL UNDER A NEW NAME,WHICH IS CRIMINAL IN ITSELF?
ANSWER: DEFINATELY YES.

9) DID THE JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES ACTUALLY REVIEWED THE RECORDS;THE AUTOPSY REPORT AND HOSPITAL REPORT,AS TO BE FALSIFIED?.
ANSWER: QUESTIONABLE.

10) DID THE SUPREME COURT JUSTICES OF THE UNITED STATES USE FORENSIC AND SCIENTIFIC ANALYSIS OR EXAMINATIONS OF THESE FALSIFIED AUTOPSY REPORTS AND HOSPITAL REPORTS?.
ANSWER: VERY QUESTIONABLE,AND DOUBTFUL.

11) DID PETITIONER IN FACT CALL UPON THE U.S.DEPT.OF JUSTICE AND FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONS,ALONG WITH THE U.S. ATTORNEY EARLIER IN THE YEARS TO MAKE AN INVESTIGATION?.
ANSWER: YES.

12) DID THE U.S.DISTRICT COURT OUT OF JOHNSTWON,PA MAKE AN UNETHICAL JUDGMENT TO DISMISS SUCH LAW SUIT AGAINST THE UNITED STATES IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 42 U.S.C.§ 1997e,AND ITS SUBSECTION 805,AS TO WHY SUCH SUIT IS FRIVOLOUS,OR DID FAILED TO STATE CLAIM?
ANSWER: YES.

13) DID PETITIONER FILE SO MANY WRIT OF CERTIORARIS IN THIS HONORABLE COURT,ONLY TO HAVE THEM ALL DENIED ABOUT SUCH FALSE IMPRISONMENT AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS UNDER FEDERAL CRIMINAL STATUTES?
ANSWER: YES

14) WAS THERE A MANIFEST MISCARRIAGE OF JUSTICE BESTOWED UPON THE PETITIONER,WHICH ALSO SHOWS SUCH "CAUSE AND PREJUDICE"?.
ANSWER: YES.

15) DOES THE PETITIONER HAVE THE SOLEMN RIGHT TO BE HEARD WITH THOROUGH EXPLANATION OF EVERY EXHIBIT,DRAWINGS,CHARTS, AS WELL AS,SUCH CLAIMS TO BE PRESENTED BEFORE ANY COURT OF AMERICA?.
ANSWER: DEFINATELY YES.

16) IN THE COURSE OF JUSTICE,SHOULD THE PETITIONER BE RELEASED FROM SUCH FALSE IMPRISONMENT?.
ANSWER: YES.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED....	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	4-10
REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION FOR REHEARING.....	11
CONCLUSION.....	12

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES: PAGE

BELL VS THOMPSON,545 U.S.794,125 S.CT.2825,162 L.Ed. 2d 693(2005)..... 7

CLARK VS CONAHAN,737 F.SUPP.2d 239(2010)..... 6

CONE VS BELL,173 L.Ed.2d 701,2009 U.S.LEXIS 3298(2009) 5

LYNCH VS SUFFOLK CNTY POLICE DEPT.,INC.,348 FED.APPX 672,2009 U.S.APP.LEXIS 22489(2009)..... 7

RYAN VS SCHAD,133 S.CT.2548,186 L.Ed.2d 644;2013 U.S. LEXIS 4705(2013)..... 8

SIM VS APFEL,147 L.Ed.2d 80(2000)..... 5

U.S.VS COOPERTON,2015 U.S.APP.LEXIS 1515(2015)..... 6

U.S.VS CYRIL WECHT,484 F.3d 194(C.A.3[PA] 2007)..... 6

U.S.VS HAYES,2010 U.S.DIST.LEXIS 6744(M.D.PA 2010).. 5

U.S.VS HEALY,376 U.S.75,84 S.CT.553,11 L.Ed.2d 527 (1964)..... 8

WALLACE VS KATO,549 U.S.384,127 S.CT.109,166 L.Ed.2d 973(2007)..... 6&7

WALLACH VS MIEBERMAN,366 F.2d 254,10 FR.SERV.2d 747, 2 ALR 9693(C.A.[NY] 1966)..... 9

STATUTES:

18 U.S.C.§§241,242..... 6

18 U.S.C.§1001..... 5

18 U.S.C.§1504..... 6

18 U.S.C.§§ 1512,& 1513..... 6

18 U.S.C.§1519..... 5

18 U.S.C.§§§1621,1622,1623..... 6

18U.S.C.§1961..... 8

18 U.S.C.§1967..... 5

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

STATUTES,CONT'D	PAGE
18 PA.C.S.A.§§§4902,4903,4904.....	6
28 U.S.C.§1346.....	8
28 U.S.C.§2247.....	8
28 U.S.C.§2403(a).....	8&9
28 U.S.C.§2674.....	8
28 U.S.C.§2680(h).....	8
42 U.S.C.§1985.....	10
42 U.S.C.§1986.....	10

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR REHEARING

PETITIONER PRAYS THAT THE PETITION FOR REHEARING BE GRANTED AND TO EXIT THIS HONORABLE COURTS JUDGMENT TO DENIED THE WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND TO OVERALL TO REVIEW RELEVANT EVIDENCE.

OPINIONS OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

THAT THE OPINION OF THE U.S.SUPREME COURTS JUSTICES IN THEIR OPINION ON DECEMBER 4th,2017 MADE AN ERROR OF LAW AND FACT AND WHERE SUCH LAWS ENACTED UNDER THE FEDERAL CRIMINAL STATUTES WERE OVERRIDDEN AND WAS IN FACT MISAPPLIED IN SUCH ERROR BRINGING ON A MANIFEST MISCARRIAGE OF JUSTICE.

JURISDICTION

THAT UNDER ART III,§2,CLAUSE 2 GIVES THE U.S.SUPREME COURT JURISDICTION,AND IN WHICH SHALL HAVE ORIGINAL JURISDICTION IN ALL OTHER CASES BEFORE MENTIONED,THE SUPREME COURT SHALL HAVE APPELLATE JURISDICTION BOTH AS TO LAW AND FACT WITH SUCH EXCEPTIONS AND UNDER SUCH REGULATIONS,AS THE CONGRESS SHALL MAKE.

THAT UNDER ART VI,CLAUSE 2 ESTABLISHES THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND AND EVERY JUDGE IS BOUND THEREBY.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

THAT THE SIXTH AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION PROVIDES IN RELEVANT PART: "IN ALL CRIMINAL PROSECUTIONS,THE ACCUSED SHALL HAVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL FOR HIS DEFENSE",AS UNDER ART I,§9,PA.CONSTITUTION,AND UNDER THE 14th AMENDMENTS' DUE PROCESS CLAUSE AND EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS,WHICH WAS VIOLATED ALL THROUGH THE COURT SYSTEM FROM THE STATE TO THE FEDERAL COURTS.

THAT SUCH FALSE IMPRISONMENT FALLS UNDER TITLE 28 U.S.C.§§ 1495,& 2513(2),MAKING THE UNITED STATES RESONSIBLE UNDER TITLE 28 U.S.C.§2674,AND U.S.EMPLOYEES AND AGENTS LAIBLE UNDER TITLE 28 U.S.C.§ 2671 MAKING THE UNITED STATES,AS A DEFENDANT UNDER TITLE 28 U.S.C.§1346,FOR THE FOLLOWING:

SUCH VIOLATIONS OF TITLE 42 U.S.C.§ 1981-EQUAL RIGHTS UNDER THE LAWS;§1983-DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS;§1985(ALL SECTIONS) RELATING TO CONSPIRACIES TO INTERFERE WITH CIVIL RIGHTS;§1986-MAKING THE UNITED STATES EMPLOYEES AND AGENTS RESONSIBLE AND LIABLE FOR SUCH NEGLIGENCE TO PREVENT SUCH CRIMES AGAINST THE PETITIONER,AS TO TITLE 18 U.S.C.§241-CONSPIRACY AGAINST RIGHTS;§242-DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW,AND MANY OTHER FEDERAL CRIMINAL STATUTES VIOLATED BY OFFICIALS,STATE OR FEDERAL.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THAT THE CASE INVOLVES AN INCIDENT OF AN ACCIDENTAL SHOOTING THAT HAPPENED ON JULY 19th,1995,FOR WHICH SAID OFFICIALS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY ESTABLISHED THAT PETITIONERS WIFE HAD DIED AND CHARGED HIM WITH CRIMINAL HOMICIDE IMMEDIATELY ON THE SAME DATE IN QUESTION.

THAT AS EARLY AS 1999,PETITIONER FOUND OUT THAT HIS WIFE DID NOT DIE AND THEREFORE KNEW THAT THE STATE OFFICIALS,AS WELL AS, FEDERAL OFFICIALS WERE INVOLVED IN SUCH COVER-UP OF AN ALLEGED MURDER THAT NEVER TOOK PLACE.SO IN APRIL 5th,2001 PETITIONER FILED A CIVIL ACTIONABLE LAW SUIT AGAINST THE UNITED STATES IN THE U.S.COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS UNDER DOCKET NUMBER 01-217 WHICH WAS DISMISSED,FOR WHICH MY CLAIM WAS FOR CONSPIRACIES TO KEEP ME FALSELY IMPRISONED UNDER TITLE 28 U.S.C.§ 1495,AND §2513 (2) BY WHICH THE STATE COURTS DID NOT WANT TO HEAR OR GIVE ANY REMEDY FOR SUCH FALSE IMPRISONMENT,BUT DID WRITE TO THE U.S. DEPT.OF JUSTICE,AS FAR BACK AS OCTOBER 31st,1995 PETITIONER MADE CONTACT WITH DEVAL L.PATRICK,ANSWERED BY DIANE ROBERTS TELLING PETITIONER TO GO TO THE NEAREST OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONS OR U.S.ATTORNEY,WHICH WAS IN PITTSBURGH,PA,WHICH IS IN THE MEANING OF COMPLYING WITH TITLE 28 U.S.C.§ 2401 WHICH RELATES TO STATUTE OF LIMITATION,AS TO REPORT ANY FEDERAL CRIMES AT THE EARLIEST DATE POSSIBLE,WHICH FALLS UNDER TITLE 18 U.S.C.§ 4(RELATING TO MISPRISON OF FELONY),MEANING TO REPORT SUCH FEDERAL CRIMES AND THE IMPEDING OF AN INVESTIGATION BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES.

THAT SINCE THE STATE COURT DID NOT WANT TO HEAR ANY OF PETITIONERS POST CONVICTION RELIEF ACT PETITIONS;APPEALS;WRITS, MOTIONS,AND/OR OTHER PETITIONS,BUT DENIED EVERY ONE OF THEM,NOT GETTING ANY RELIEF WHATSOEVER.THAT THESE CLAIMS,CONTENTIONS,

ISSUES,OR MERITS HAD STANDING PRESENTING DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE UNDER TITLE 18 U.S.C.§ 1967,AND TITLE 28 U.S.C.§ 2247,BY WHICH THE U.S.SUPREME COURT OVERLOOKED,BYPASSED ALL THAT WAS PRESENTED IN THIS HONORABLE COURT,WHICH PETITIONER FEELS STRONGLY THAT THE U.S.SUPREME COURT MADE AN ERROR LEADING TOWARDS A MANIFEST MISCARRIAGE OF JUSTICE.

THAT THIS HONORABLE COURT ESTABLISHES IN ITS OPINIONS BY THE JUSTICES THAT CLAIMS,CONTENTIONS,ISSUES,AND MERITS WERE NOT WAIVED AS IN THE CASE OF SIMS VS APFEL,530 U.S.___,120 S.CT. ___,147 L.Ed.2d 80(2000),AS TO STATE THIS COURT HOLDS THAT THE PETITIONER HAS NOT WAIVED ANY ISSUES OF FACT,WHERE THE STATE COURTS REFUSED TO EVEN HONOR ANY OF THE ISSUES,CONTENTIONS,OR CLAIMS,AND FOR WHICH IS OPEN FOR FEDERAL REVIEW.SEE:CONE VS BELL, 556 U.S.___,129 S.CT.___,173 L.Ed.2d 701;2009 U.S.LEXIS 3298(2009) THAT AS TO GO ON WITH SUCH CLAIMS AS A BATSON VS KENTUCKY CLAIM, THE SOLEMN RIGHT TO AN ATTORNEY IN BOTH TRIALS,AND ALSO TO RETAIN OWN COUNSEL ON PETITIONERS OWN ACCORD;A BRADY VS MARYLAND CLAIM AS TO WITHHOLD EVIDENCE.

THAT THE PETITIONER DID IN FACT SENT DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE CONCERNING FALSIFIED AUTOPSY AND HOSPITAL REPORTS,AND OTHER LEGAL DOCUMENTS PRESENTING EVEN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA EVEN FALSIFIED THEIR REPORT IN DENYING PETITIONERS APPEAL,BY WHICH THE PETITIONER PETITIONED TO HAVE SUCH RECORDS CORRECTED, BUT THE SUPERIOR COURT REFUSED TO CORRECT THIS FALSIFICATION,AS TO STATE "PETITIONER SHOT HIS WIFE IN THE HEAD",WHICH IS VERY FALSE.SEE: TITLE 18 U.S.C.§ 1001,AND § 1519.SEE: U.S.VS HAYES, 2010 U.S.DIST.LEXIS 67446;NO.3:09-CR-397(M.D.OF PA 2010).

THAT MANY TIMES PETITIONER EVEN MOTIONED THE COURTS TO HAVE THIS ALLEGED CORPSE PERTAINING TO MY WIFE EXHUMED BY AND THROUGH SUCH PURPOSE OF IDENTIFICATION THROUGH DNA BLOOD SAMPLES

CROSS-MATCHED SUCH DNA OF MY WIFE'S SIBLINGS AND HER CHILDREN BUT THE COURTS IMMEDIATELY DENIED THIS MOTION TO EXHUME THE ALLEGED BODY OF MY WIFE.THE REASON FOR THIS IS BECAUSE SUCH AUTOPSY PHOTOGRAPHS DID NOT LOOK LIKE MY WIFE,AT ALL,BUT SOMEONE ELSE,BY WHICH SUCH PHOTOGRAPHS WERE NOT AUTHENTICATED.ALSO WHERE IN THE CASE OF U.S.VS CURIL WECHT,484 F.3d 194(C.A.3[PA] 2007) WHERE CYRIL WECHT SOLD CADAVERS TO OTHER PROSECUTORS OF EVERY COUNTY IN PENNSYLVANIA.

THAT OTHER CLAIMS ARE AS FOLLOWS,WHICH WAS PRESENTED TO THIS HONORABLE COURT; JURY AND WITNESS TAMPERING IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 18 U.S.C.§ 1504,AND TITLE 18 PA.C.S.A.§ 4583,AND TITLE 18 U.S.C.§ 1512,AND SUCH RETALIATIONS UNDER SECTION 1513;ALSO VIOLATIONS OF MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS,AS TO CONSPIRE TO VIOLATE MY RIGHTS UNDER THE U.S.CONSTITUTION UNDER TITLE 18 U.S.C.§§ 241, & 242;PERJURY BEING COMMITTED BY THE PROSECUTIONS WITNESSES UNDER TITLE 18 U.S.C.§§§ 1621,1622,AND 1623 COMPARED TO TITLE 18 PA.C.S .A §§§ 4902,4903,AND 4904;ALSO WHERE THERE IS AN OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE LEADING TOWARDS "CAUSE AND PREJUDICE"STANDARDS BY THE COURT SYSTEM.

THAT WHEN PETITIONER CLAIMS THAT BRIBERIES ARE INVOLVED IS BECAUSE HOW COULD ALL THIS REPORTING OF SUCH A CRIME,AND SUCH PRESENTMENT OF DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE;EXHIBITS;CHARTS;DRAWINGS, AND OTHER LEGAL DOCUMENTS WERE PRESENTED TO ALL THE COURTS WITH NO REMEDY WHATSOEVER,SO THEREFORE,THERE MUST BE SUCH PAY-OFFS OF JUDGES,NOT JUST MONIES,BUT THROUGH FAVORITISMS OF OFFICIALS WHICH IS CONSIDERED BRIBERIES UNDER TITLE 18 U.S.C.§201,AND THEREFORE,ESTABLISHES SUCH CONSPIRACIES TO FALSELY ACCUSE AND FALSELY IMPRISON THE PETITIONER,ANOTHER CLAIM.SEE: CLARK VS CONAHAN,737 F.SUPP.2d 239(2010);U.S.VS COOPERTON LEONARDO, 2015 U.S.APP.LEXIS 1515,NO.14-13755(2015)WALLACE VS KATO,549

U.S.384,127 S.CT.1091,166 L.Ed.2d 973(2007);LYNCH VS SUFFOLK CNTY POLICE DEPT.,INC.,348 FED.APPX 672;2009 U.S.APP.LEXIS 22489,NO.07-3684(2009)(ARGUING THE SPECIES OF FALSE IMPRISONMENT ESTABLISHING "THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS BEGINS TO RUN WHEN THE ALLEGED FALSE IMPRISONMENT ENDS").

THAT PETITIONER STATES,AS FACT,THAT HE FILED ANOTHER FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACTIONABLE LAW SUIT AGAINST THE UNITED STATES ON OCTOBER 15th,2010,WHICH WAS NOT DOCKETED IN THE U.S.COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS.THAT AGAIN THE PETITIONER FILED ANOTHER FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACTIONABLE LAW SUIT AGAINST THE UNITED STATES ON DECEMBER 10th,2015 DOCKETED AT 1:15-CV-01586,BUT WAS DISMISSED INADVERTNETLY BY THE HONORABLE MARIAN BLANK HORN BASED UPON JURISDICTION,BUT IN THIS LAW SUIT PETITIONER WAS ASKING FOR MONEY DAMAGES OF 146 MILLION IN PUNITIVE,NOMINAL,AND TREBLE DAMAGES.THAT THE HONORABLE JUDGE HORN CLAIMS SUCH LAW SUIT IS TO BE WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE U.S.DISTRICT COURT.SO PETITIONER FILED A FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACTIONABLE LAW SUIT IN THE U.S.DISTRICT COURT DOCKETED AT 3:17-CV-41-KRG-KAP,BY WHICH THE U.S.DISTRICT MAGISTRATE JUDGE IN HIS REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION TO DISMISS SAID LAW SUIT,BUT WAS OPEN FOR A HEARING;BUT IN RESPONSE DISTRICT JUDGE GIBSON DISMISSED SAID LAW SUIT FOR SUCH FAILURE TO STATE CLAIM,WHICH WAS WRONG AND WHERE HE ABUSED HIS DISCRETION.SO PETITIONER FILED AN APPEAL TO THE U.S.COURT OF APPEALS THIRD CIRCUIT DOCKETED AT 17-2125,IN WHICH THE CIRCUIT JUDGE WAS ASKING FOR FILING FEES,WHICH THE PETITIONER COULD NOT AFFORD,BECAUSE OF HIS POVERTY AND WITHOUT FINANCES.SO THE CIRCUIT JUDGE GAVE A MANDATED ORDER FOR SUCH FILING FEES TO BE PAID.SEE: BELL VS THOMPSON,545 U.S.794,125 S.CT.2825,162 L.Ed.2d 693(2005)(WHERE IN THIS CASE ARGUES ABOUT SUCH MANDATE WHICH WAS AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION,AND IN

WHICH THE PETITIONER HAD AN ARGUABLE CONSTITUTIONAL CLAIM),AS WITH THE PETITIONER WITH SUCH CLAIMS OF FALSE IMPRISONMENT AND PETITIONERS INNOCENCE.

THAT PETITIONER CLEARLY STATED,AS FACT,THERE WAS AND IS AT THE PRESENT VIOLATIONS OF FEDERAL CRIMINAL STATUTES BASED UPON SUCH CONSTITUTIONALITY,CONSTRUCTION AND APPLICATION OF THESE FEDERAL STATUTES.SEE: U.S.VS HEALY,376 U.S.75,84 S.CT.553, 11 L.Ed.2d 527(1964).SEE ALSO RYAN VS SCHAD,133 S.CT.2548,186 L.Ed.2d 644;2013 U.S.LEXIS 4705;81 U.S.L.W.4568(2013),AND AS OPINED IN THIS CASE BY JUSTICES ROBERTS,KENNEDY,SCALIA,THOMAS, SOTOMAYOR,KAGAN,BREYER,AND ALITO AGREEING TO SUCH ABUSE OF DISCRETION AND WHERE SUCH MANDATE WAS ORDERED.THAT THE JUSTICES ABOVE VACATED AND REMANDED FOR FURTHER PROCEEDINGS.

THAT PETITIONER FEELS STRONGLY THAT THE U.S.SUPREME COURT JUSTICES HAD,AND DID OVERRIDE..AND DID MISAPPLIED SUCH VIEWING OF THE EXHIBITS PERTAINING TO BOTH THE AUTOPSY AND HOSPITAL REPORTS,WHICH ARE FALSIFIED AND FAKE.

THAT PETITIONER STATES THROUGH MANY YEARS TRIED TO HAVE SUCH OF THESE CRIMINAL ACTS INVESTIGATED,BUT ONLY GOTTEN A RUN A ROUND BY THE U.S.DEPT.OF JUSTICE;THE U.S.ATTORNEY;THE U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL,THEREFORE,FINDS THEM VERY RESPONSIBLE AND LIABLE UNDER TITLE 28 U.S.C.§2674,AND MAKES THE UNITED STATES A DEFENDANT UNDER TITLE 28 U.S.C.§1346 FOR THEIR FAILURE TO INVESTIGATE INTO SUCH CRIMES OF "RACKETEERING" BASED UPON SUCH DEFINITION OF TITLE 18 U.S.C.§ 1961.THAT PETITIONER ALSO BASES SUCH EXCEPTIONS UNDER TITLE 28U.S.C.§ 2680(h).

THAT THE CONSTITUTIONALITY ACT OF CONGRESS IS DRAWN INTO QUESTION UNDER TITLE 28 U.S.C.§ 2403(a)-ESTABLISHING IN ANY SUIT,OR PROCEEDINGS IN A COURT OF THE UNITED STATES TO WHICH THE UNITED STATES,OR ANY AGENCY,OFFICER OR EMPLOYEE THEREFOF

IS NOT A PARTY WHEREIN THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF ANY ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING PUBLIC INTEREST IS DRAWN INTO QUESTION,THE COURT SHALL CERTIFY SUCH FACT TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND SHALL PERMIT THE UNITED STATES TO INTERVENE FOR PRESENTATION OF EVIDENCE IF EVIDENCE IS OTHERWISE ADMISSIBLE IN THE CASE,AND FOR ARGUMENT ON THE QUESTION OF CONSTITUTIONALITY,THE UNITED STATES SHALL SUBJECT TO THE APPLICABLE PROVISIONS OF LAW,HAVE ALL RIGHTS OF A PARTY AND BE SUBJECT TO ALL LIABILITIES OF A PARTY,AS TO COURT COSTS TO THE EXTENT NECESSARY FOR A PROPER PRESENTATION OF THE FACTS AND LAW RELATING TO THE QUESTION OF CONSTITUTIONALITY.

THAT UNDER §2403-CERTIFICATION AND INTERVENTION ARE PERMISSIBLE AT ANY STAGE OF THE PROCEEDING.SEE: WALLACH VS LIEBERMAN(1966 CA[NY]) 366 F.2d 254,10 FR.SERV.2d 747,2 ALR 9693.

THAT PETITIONER AVERS THAT THE U.S.SUPREME COURT JUSTICES INADVERTENTLY DISMISSED SAID WRIT OF CERTIORARI ON WHATEVER BASES THEY WANTED TO,NOT REACHING JUSTICE,AND WHERE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF PETITIONER WAS VIOLATED IN ITS TOTALITY AND WHERE SUCH CLAIMS,CONTENTIONS,ISSUES,OR SUCH MERITS IN SUCH CLAIMS THROUGHOUT THIS PETITION FOR REHEARING IS TRUE AND CORRECT,AND WHERE SUCH FEDERAL,CRIMES ARE BEING VIOLATED,WHICH FINDS THE UNITED STATES LIABLE AND RESPONSIBLE FOR THEIR FEDERAL ACTORS,AGENTS,OR EMPLOYEES NOT TO INVESTIGATE.SO THEREFORE,PETITIONER REQUESTS A HEARING OR PROCEEDING ON THIS SUBJECT MATTER,BY WHICH PETITIONER WILL PAY FOR SUCH TRANSPORTATION BY THE U.S.MARSHALS TO THIS HONORABLE COURT,EVEN THOUGH IT IS RARE IN MOST CASES,BUT IS IMPORTANT IN SOME CASES.

THAT WE TALK ABOUT LAW ENFORCEMENT AND ITS FUNCTION TO PREVENT CRIMES AND APPREHENSION OF CRIMINALS,MANIFESTLY THAT FUNCTION DOES NOT INCLUDE THE MANUFACTORING OF CRIME.CRIMINAL ACTIVITY IN SUCH THAT STEALTH AND STRATEGY ARE NECESSARY WEAPON IN THE ARSENAL OF THE POLICE OFFICERS,MEANING THAT WHEN THE PETITIONER FIRST REPORTED SUCH CRIMES TO LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES OF THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONS;TO THE U.S.DEPT.OF JUSTIC ;AND TO THE U.S.ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE AS EARLY AS 1998 MAKES THEM RESPONSIBLE AND LIABLE FOR SUCH LACK OF PREVENTING SUCH CRIMES BY STATE OFFICIALS,AS WELL AS,FEDERAL OFFICIALS UNDER TITLE 42 U.S.C.§ 1986,AND 28 U.S.C.§ 2674.

THAT THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES,AND ITS JUSTICES MADE AN ERROR AND MADE SUCH MISJUDGMENT TO PETITIONERS INNOCENCE ALONG WITH SUCH PROOF OF ALL THIS THROUGH EVIDENCE THAT WAS PRESENTED TO THIS HONORABLE COURT PERTAINING TO OVER 300 EXHIBITS INCLUDING DRAWINGS,CHARTS,PARTS OF THE RECORDS,AND OTHER LEGAL PAPERS.

THAT PETITIONER DID IN FACT MADE CONTACTS WITH SUCH LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES,EVEN AT THE STATE LEVEL,BUT WAS TOTALLY IGNORED.

THAT MANY TIMES PETITIONER REQUESTED TO HAVE THE ALLEGED DEAD VICTIM EXHUMED FOR THE PURPOSES OF IDENTIFICATION AND FURTHERANCE OF AN INVESTIGATION,AS TO HOW MY WIFE ALLEGEDLY DIED AND IDENTIFICATION THROUGH DNA EXAMINATIONS OR ANALYSIS,IF NOT MY CLAIM OF INNOCENCE WILL STAND AND THAT THIS HONORABLE COURT SHOULD OVERTURN PETITIONERS CONVICTION AND SENTENCE,AND TO RELEASE THE PETITIONER FROM BEING INCARCERATED THROUGH SUCH AND BEING FALSELY IMPRISONED.THAT PETITIONER DOES HAVE UP TO FIVE WITNESSES TO THIS FACT,WHO WOULD SIGN AFFIDAVITS TO THE ALLEGED DEAD VICTIM BEING ALIVE AND WELL,WALKING AROUND.

REASONS FOR GRANTING REHEARING

THAT PETITIONER FEELS STRONGLY THAT SUCH JURISDICTION OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IS CALLED UPON TO LOOK AT SUCH CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS,WHEREAS,THE PETITIONER CLAIMS HIS INNOCENCE BASED UPON FALSE IMPRISONMENT AND WHERE HE DID NOT GET A "FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TRIAL",ALSO WHERE THERE WAS NO THOROUGH INVESTIGATION AT THE STATE LEVEL WHEN SUCH ALLEGED CRIME OCCURRED.

THAT PETITIONER TRIED TO MAKE CONTACTS WITH THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES OF THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONS; THE U.S.DEPT.OF JUSTICE,THE U.S.ATTORNEY GENERAL,AND U.S.ATTORNEY ALL DIRECTING THE PETITIONER TO MAKE CONTACTS WITH OTHER AGENCIES, THEREFORE,DOES CALL UPON THE U.S.SUPREME COURT'S SUPERVISORY POWER TO SORT OUT SUCH CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS AND WHICH FEDERAL STATUTES VIOLATIONS WAS PROCURED BY STATE OFFICIALS THAT IS WHY THE ONLY WAY TO BRING ABOUT THIS MATTER IN ORDER TO GET JUSTICE.

THAT PETITIONER DID GIVE THIS HONORABLE COURT MANY EXHIBITS LEGAL DOCUMENTS:DRAWINGS;CHARTS;AND PARTS OF THE TRANSCRIPTS WHICH WAS MADE OF RECORD WHICH SHOWS ALOT OF VIOLATIONS OF PETITIONERS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

THAT EVEN THOUGH RARE,ALLOW THE PETITIONER TO COME FORTH TO THIS HONORABLE COURT BY AND THROUGH PETITIONER PAYS SUCH EXPENSE FOR TRANSPORTATION OF THE U.S.MARSHALS TO THE U.S.SUPREME COURT AND ALLOW PETITIONER TO EXPLAIN THOROUGHLY HOW THERE IS SUCH CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS VIOLATION ALONG WITH FEDERAL CRIMINAL STATUTES VIOLATIONS.THAT PETITIONER DOES HAVE UP TO FIVE WITNESSES TO THESE FACTS,WHICH PETITIONER WAS NOT ALLOWED TO BRING TO THE STATE COURT,NOR ALLOW PETITIONER TO PRESENT LEGAL PAPERS TO SHOW PERJURY.

CONCLUSION

THAT PETITIONER REQUESTS AND PRAYS FOR THE GRANTING OF THIS REHEARING OF THIS CASE AND STAND DOWN ON THEIR JUDGMENT TO DENY SUCH GRANTING OF THIS REHEARING AND GRANT SUCH WRIT OF CERTIORARI BASED UPON THE FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACTIONABLE LAW SUIT AGAINST THE UNITED STATES FOR SUCH LACK OF PROFESSIONALISM ON THEIR PART FOR NOT INVESTIGATING INTO SUCH CRIMES BEING COMMITTED BY,NOT ONLY STATE OFFICIALS,BUT FEDERAL OFFICIALS, AS WELL.

MY CLAIMS ARE SERIOUS AND WILL STAND FIRM AS TRUE AND SOLEMNLY CORRECT.THAT TO DENY THIS IS A MANIFEST MISCARRIAGE OF JUSTICE BRINGING ON SUCH "CAUSE AND PREJUDICE".I SHALL REMAIN IN TRUTH.

DATE: 12-26-17

JACK E.ALLEN DA-0984
P.O.BOX 1000
209 INSTITUTIONAL DRIVE
HOUTZDALE,PA 16698-1000

DECLARATION

I,THE PETITIONER ATTESTS TO THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS TO BE TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF AND THAT SUCH STATEMENTS MADE HEREIN ARE SUBJECT TO THE PENALTIES OF PERJURY UNDER TITLE 28 U.S.C.§ 1746.

DATE: 12-26-17

JACK E.ALLEN DA-0984
P.O.BOX 1000
209 INSTITUTIONAL DRIVE
HOUTZDALE,PA 16698-1000