

NOV 28 2017

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

CASE NO:- 17-517

**SUPREME COURT OF UNITED STATES
WASHINGTON, DC**

RECEIVED

NOV 28 2017

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

NEELAM T. UPPAL, et al

Petitioner / Defendant

V.

HEALTHAW FIRM,

Respondent/ Plaintiff


**OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND CROSS-
MOTION**

1. Petitioner, Neelam Uppal, Pro se objects to the opposition filed by the Appellee and states grounds as follows:
2. The Appellee's motion is frivolous and is misapplying the laws and just another deceptive tactic to harass the Appellant and to distract the court.

3. The Appellant had hired this Appellee to defend her against the reatiation action of Florida department of health after she had filed an EEOC complaint for Sexual- Harassment. However, Mr. Indest conspired with the adversaries and is trying to continue his exploitation and hasrassment of the Appellant with abusive manipulation of the court system and to take advantage of the situation with his False claims and frivolous pleadings.
4. He has been in collusion with the local Judge and has been doing it to several people. In the last couple of years he has filed over 200 such lawsuits with the same judge. (Exhibit A). The judge has also been sanctioned (see Exhibit B). Now he conspired with other adversaries to similarly, file False claims, as some Judges are more interested in making money for their legal community rather than upholding the public interest and Justice. Thus, this case was filed. But, Judge McMahon did the same thing by entering this sue sponte order with her ex-parte communications with Appellee.
5. The Appellant is pro Se and filed this writ for the review of orders entered by fraud in court, deceptive tactics, conspiracy and corruption.
6. The Appellee George Indest is attaching judgements based on his False Claims and RICO that were appealed. There is no proof of any work or

any authorization of the work for these False Claims . The Judgements are obtained by conspiracy and Fraud in court in the same manner like this motion now being filed by the Respondent.

7. Therefore, this motion to this court be denied.
8. Mr. Indest who is repeatedly lying to every Judge to get Judgements on his False Claims.
9. Therefore, it is Mr. Indest , who should be sanctioned under 31 USC 3729 (a)(2).
10. Therefore, the appellant should be paid as the Attorney as per the 13 amendment of her Constitutional rights.
11. She should be compensated for the fraud and the damages treble the amount, as allowed by the Florida law.
12. WHEREFORE, the Appellee's Motion be denied. The Petitioner's writ be granted and a Summary order be entered vacating the lower court's judgements. Judge Reckseidler be recused. Petitioner be compensated for her pro Se attorney fees, damages and any such relief that this court may deem fit and proper.


Neelam Uppal,