

No. 17-1705

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PDR NETWORK, LLC, *et al.*,
Petitioners,

v.

CARLTON & HARRIS CHIROPRACTIC, INC.
Respondent.

**MOTION TO DISPENSE WITH THE
REQUIREMENT OF A JOINT APPENDIX**


Pursuant to Rules 21 and 26.8 of the Rules of this Court, petitioners PDR Network, LLC, PDR Distribution, LLC, and PDR Equity, LLC (collectively, “PDR Network”) respectfully move for leave to dispense with the requirement of a joint appendix in this case. Counsel for respondent Carlton & Harris Chiropractic, Inc. has authorized us to state that they concur in this motion.

The question presented—as limited by this Court’s grant of PDR Network’s petition for *certiorari* on November 13, 2018—is “[w]hether the Hobbs Act required the district court in this case to accept the FCC’s legal interpretation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act [TCPA].” *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2342 *et seq.*; 47 U.S.C. § 227 *et seq.* Because the district court dismissed the case at the pleading stage, and PDR Network’s appeal raises purely legal issues regarding a district court’s jurisdiction, the parties believe all the “materials the Court should examine” (Rule 26.2) are contained in the appendix to the petition for a writ of *certiorari* and

in the record below, which is “on file with the Clerk and available to the Justices” (Rule 26.2).

PDR Network accordingly requests, with the concurrence of respondent, that the Court grant leave to dispense with the printing of a joint appendix.

Respectfully submitted,



Jeffrey N. Rosenthal

Counsel of Record

BLANK ROME LLP

One Logan Square

130 N. 18th St.

Philadelphia, PA 19103

(215) 569-5553

Rosenthal-j@blankrome.com

Counsel of Record for Petitioners

NOVEMBER 29, 2018