

No. 17-1678

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

JESUS C. HERNANDEZ, ET AL.,

Petitioners,

v.

JESUS MESA, JR.,

Respondent.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

**BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE FORMER
OFFICIALS OF U.S. CUSTOMS AND
BORDER PROTECTION AGENCY
IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS**

Kelsi Brown Corkran
Counsel of Record
Thomas M. Bondy
Melanie R. Hallums
ORRICK, HERRINGTON &
SUTCLIFFE LLP
1152 15th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 339-8400
kcorkran@orrick.com

Counsel for Amici

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE	1
INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	3
ARGUMENT	5
I. The Use Of Excessive Force By Border Patrol Agents, Along With High Rates Of Corruption And Misconduct, Is A Consequence Of Increased Militarization And Inadequate Screening And Training.	5
A. The militarization of the Border Patrol contributes to improper use of force.	5
1. The United States has increasingly militarized the Border Patrol.....	5
2. Militarization has led to excessive uses of force.	9
B. CBP’s failure to adequately screen and train new hires contributes to high rates of corruption, misconduct, and excessive force.....	14
1. Inadequate pre-employment screening permitted unsuitable candidates to join the Border Patrol.	14

2. Trainings failed to compensate for inadequate screening.	16
C. The Border Patrol workforce has been plagued by corruption, misconduct, and excessive force incidents.....	19
II. Inefficiencies And Cover-Ups Undermine The Effectiveness Of Government Investigations Into Use Of Force Incidents.	23
A. Internal investigations suffer from a serious structural flaw.....	23
B. Internal investigations are hampered by agents concealing their colleagues' wrongdoing.....	27
III. Incidents Involving The Questionable Use Of Lethal Force By Border Patrol Agents Have Resulted In No Discipline.....	28
IV. Border Patrol Agents Who Use Illegal Force Will Not Be Held Accountable Unless Suits Like Petitioners' Are Allowed To Proceed.....	34
CONCLUSION	36

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Boumediene v. Bush</i> , 553 U.S. 723 (2008).....	36
<i>Estate of Hernandez-Rojas ex rel. Hernandez v. United States</i> , 62 F. Supp. 3d 1169 (S.D. Cal. 2014)	29, 30
Statutes and Regulations	
8 C.F.R. § 287.8(a).....	10
Exec. Order No. 13,767, <i>Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Improvements</i> , 82 Fed. Reg. 8793 (Jan. 25, 2017).....	18
Homeland Security Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-296, Stat. 2135	5
Other Authorities	
Andrew Becker, <i>2010 Border Patrol Fatal Shooting Comes Under Renewed Scrutiny</i> , <i>Reveal</i> (Aug. 1, 2014), https://tinyurl.com/jxyg98z	30, 35
Andrew Becker, <i>Border Agency Report Reveals Internal Struggles with Corruption</i> , <i>Daily Journalist</i> (Jan. 29, 2013), https://tinyurl.com/y3z85x2l	14, 27, 28

- Andrew Becker, *Border Agency's Former Watchdog Says Officials Impeded His Efforts*, Wash. Post (Aug. 16, 2014), <https://tinyurl.com/hvwys2o>20
- Andrew Becker, *Scathing Report Deems Fatal Border Patrol Shooting 'Highly Predictable,' Reveal* (Mar. 4, 2016), <https://tinyurl.com/y62onbh6>31, 35
- Brian Bennett, *Border Patrol Absolves Itself in Dozens of Cases of Lethal Force*, L.A. Times (June 15, 2015), <https://tinyurl.com/y4yc6hpo>33
- Brian Bennett, *Border Patrol Sees Little Reform on Agents' Use of Force*, L.A. Times (Feb. 23, 2015), <http://tinyurl.com/BennettBorder>11, 28
- Brian Bennett, *Border Patrol's Use of Deadly Force Criticized in Report*, L.A. Times (Feb. 27, 2014), <http://tinyurl.com/Bennett20140227>12, 13
- Brian Bennett & Joseph Tanfani, *A Family Outing, Then a Deadly Border Patrol Shooting*, L.A. Times (Oct. 18, 2014), <http://tinyurl.com/BennettTanfani>11
- Mark Binelli, *10 Shots Across the Border*, N.Y. Times (Mar. 3, 2016), <http://tinyurl.com/Binelli> 7, 10, 25, 31, 32, 35

- Eva L. Bitran, *Boumediene at the Border? The Constitution and Foreign Nationals on the U.S.-Mexico Border*, 49 Harv. C.R.-C.L. L. Rev. 229 (2014)35
- Adriana M. Chavez, *Experts Say Extradition Unlikely If Agent Charged*, El Paso Times, June 22, 2010, 2010 WLNR 12633548.....34
- Crossing the Line*, FullMeasure (Nov. 20, 2016), <http://tinyurl.com/FMCrossing>.....26
- Michael S. Danielson, *Our Values on the Line: Migrant Abuse and Family Separation at the Border*, Jesuit Conference of Canada and the United States (Sept. 2015), <http://tinyurl.com/DanielsonMigrant>.....15, 22
- Fed. Bureau of Investigation, *Assault on Federal Officer Investigated* (June 8, 2010), <http://tinyurl.com/FBIAssault>.....32
- Fed. Bureau of Investigation, *What is the FBI's Policy on the Use of Deadly Force by its Special Agents?*, <http://tinyurl.com/FBIAbout> (last visited Aug. 7, 2019)10
- John Flock, *The Legality of United States Military Operations Along the United States-Mexico Border*, 5 Sw. J.L. & Trade Am. 453 (1998)10

- Garrett M. Graff, *The Border Patrol Hits a Breaking Point*, Politico (July 15, 2019), <https://tinyurl.com/y4awuqjw>.....22, 28
- Garrett M. Graff, *The Green Monster: How the Border Patrol Became America's Most Out-of-Control Law Enforcement Agency*, Politico Magazine (Nov./Dec. 2014), <https://tinyurl.com/y9nnodxr>.....*passim*
- Chad C. Haddal, *Border Security: The Role of the U.S. Border Patrol*, Congressional Research Service (Aug. 11, 2010), <http://tinyurl.com/Haddal>.....16
- Lori Johnson, *Preserving the Excessive Force Doctrine at Our Nation's Borders*, 14 Holy Cross J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 89 (2010).....7
- Paul Koscak, *Law Enforcement on a Constitutional Scale*, Frontline, U.S. Customs & Border Protection (Jan. 2017), <https://tinyurl.com/y5vezvk2>.....33
- James LaPorta, *Donald Trump Signs Authorization for Border Troops Using Lethal Force as Migrant Caravan Approaches, Document Reveals*, Newsweek (Nov. 21, 2018), <https://tinyurl.com/y4myre5g>8

- Adam Liptak, *An Agent Shot a Boy Across the U.S. Border. Can His Parents Sue?*, N.Y. Times (Oct. 17, 2016), <https://tinyurl.com/y4rt3b87>32
- Gordon Lubold, *Pentagon Sending 2,100 Active-Duty National Guard Troops to Border*, Wall St. J. (July 19, 2019), <https://tinyurl.com/y5rapqfd>.....9
- Sarah Macaraeg, *Fatal encounters: 97 deaths point to pattern of border agent violence across America*, Guardian (May 2, 2018), <https://tinyurl.com/y3skmchj>.....28
- Daniel E. Martínez, Guillermo Cantor & Walter A. Ewing, *No Action Taken: Lack of CBP Accountability in Responding to Complaints of Abuse*, American Immigration Council (May 2014), <https://tinyurl.com/z9ay4k9>.....33
- R. Chuck Mason, *Securing America's Borders: The Role of the Military*, Congressional Research Service (Feb. 25, 2013), <http://tinyurl.com/MasonSecuring>6, 7
- Brady McCombs, *Border Corruption Cases Grow*, Arizona Daily Star (Aug. 16, 2011), <https://tinyurl.com/y2mdll55>19, 20

- Todd Miller, *War on the Border*, N.Y. Times (Aug. 17, 2013), <http://tinyurl.com/MillerWar>6, 7
- National Consensus Policy on Use of Force* (2017), <https://perma.cc/75XA-4NWG>9
- New Border War: Corruption of U.S. Officials by Drug Cartels: Hearing Before the Ad Hoc Subcomm. on State, Local and Private Sector Preparedness and Integration of the S. Comm. on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs*, 111th Cong., S. Hrg. 111-649 (Mar. 11, 2010)15, 20
- Rob O'Dell, *Experts: Pressure from Advocates, Press Led to Murder Charges Against Border Patrol Agent in Teen's Death*, Arizona Republic (Sept. 28, 2015), <http://tinyurl.com/ODellExperts>35
- Bob Ortega, *Deadly Force Cases by Border Patrol Raising Questions*, Arizona Republic (Sept. 13, 2014), <http://tinyurl.com/OrtegaDeadly>30, 31
- Bob Ortega & Rob O'Dell, *Deadly Border Agent Incidents Cloaked in Silence*, Arizona Republic (Dec. 16, 2013), <https://tinyurl.com/jkxr2kf>28, 29, 32

- Police Executive Research Forum, *U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Use of Force Review: Cases and Polices* (Feb. 2013), <http://tinyurl.com/PERFCBPRReport>11, 12
- Missy Ryan & Paul Sonne, *Troops approved to use force at the border, but Mattis says the mission hasn't changed*, Wash. Post (Nov. 21, 2018), <https://tinyurl.com/y8fs65l8>.....8
- Kurt Andrew Schlichter, *Locked and Loaded: Taking Aim at the Growing Use of the American Military in Civilian Law Enforcement Operations*, 26 Loy. L.A. L. Rev. 1291 (1993).....10
- Michael D. Shear & Thomas Gibbons-Neff, *Trump Sending 5,200 Troops to the Border in an Election-Season Response to Migrants*, N.Y. Times (Oct. 29, 2018), <https://tinyurl.com/y3c2geqg>8, 9
- Megan Specia & Rick Gladstone, *Border Agents Shot Tear Gas Into Mexico. Was It Legal?*, N.Y. Times (Nov. 28, 2018), <https://tinyurl.com/y5mlngmc>13

- Mia Steinle, *13 CBP Employees Arrested for Corruption this Administration*, Project on Government Oversight (Apr. 23, 2018), <https://tinyurl.com/y3nfhkzqg>21
- A.C. Thompson, *Years Ago, the Border Patrol’s Discipline System Was Denounced as “Broken.” It’s Still Not Fixed.*, ProPublica (June 20, 2019), <https://tinyurl.com/y696facf>.....23, 26
- A.C. Thompson & Dara Lind, *Investigation of Secret Border Patrol Group Launched as New Degrading Facebook Posts Surface*, ProPublica (July 1, 2019), <https://tinyurl.com/y4f25aky>22
- U.S. Customs and Border Protection, *Annual Report: Office of Professional Responsibility* (Sept. 2018), <https://tinyurl.com/y3st7zgk>;.....21, 26
- U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Office of Training and Development, *Use of Force Policy, Guidelines and Procedures Handbook* (May 2014), <http://tinyurl.com/CBPHandbook>.....13
- U.S. Dep’t of Homeland Security, Homeland Security Advisory Council, *Final Report of the CBP Integrity Advisory Panel* (Mar. 15, 2016), <http://tinyurl.com/CBPFinal>.....5

U.S. Dep’t of Homeland Security,
Homeland Security Advisory
Council, *Interim Report of the CBP
Integrity Advisory Panel* (June 29,
2015), <https://tinyurl.com/z9fh6br>.....24, 25

U.S. Dep’t of Homeland Security, Office
of Inspector General, *CBP Use of
Force Training and Actions to
Address Use of Force Incidents*
(Redacted) (Sept. 2013),
<http://tinyurl.com/CBPUseOfForce>..11, 14, 16, 17,
18, 21, 24

U.S. Dep’t of Homeland Security, Office
of Inspector General, *DHS Needs to
Improve Its Oversight of Misconduct
and Discipline* (June 17, 2019),
<https://tinyurl.com/y55nzhf6>23

U.S. Dep’t of Homeland Security, Office
of Inspector General, *DHS Training
Needs for Hiring 15,000 Border
Patrol Agents and Immigration
Officers* (Nov. 2018),
<https://tinyurl.com/y2w3o4k4>.....19

U.S. Dep’t of Homeland Security, Office
of Inspector General, *Major
Management and Performance
Challenges Facing the Department of
Homeland Security* (Nov. 3, 2017),
<https://tinyurl.com/y6d4bqgd>22

U.S. Dep't of Homeland Security, Office of
Inspector General, *Recommendations
and Reforms from the Inspectors
General: Testimony Before the H.
Comm. on Oversight and Government
Reform* (Nov. 15, 2017) (testimony of
John Roth, Inspector General),
<https://tinyurl.com/yxeyjkhz>.....18

U.S. Dep't of Justice, Office of the
Inspector General, Evaluation and
Inspections Division, *Review of the
Federal Bureau of Investigation's
Disciplinary System* (May 2009),
<https://tinyurl.com/y2mvzwd3>.....24

U.S. Dep't of Justice, Office of the
Inspector General, Evaluation and
Inspections Division, *Use of
Polygraph Examinations in the
Department of Justice* (Sept. 2006),
<http://tinyurl.com/DOJPolygraph>.....15

U.S. Dep't of Justice, Office of Public
Affairs, *Federal Officials Close
Investigation into the Death of
Sergio Hernandez-Guereca* (Apr. 27,
2012), <https://tinyurl.com/y43gyk94>33, 34

U.S. Dep't of Justice, Office of Public
Affairs, *Federal Officials Close the
Investigation into the Death of Anastasio
Hernandez-Rojas* (Nov. 6, 2015),
<http://tinyurl.com/DOJHernandez>29, 30

U.S. Government Accountability Office,
*Border Patrol, Costs and Challenges
Related to Training New Agents:
Testimony Before the Subcomm. on
Management, Investigations, and
Oversight of the H. Comm. on Homeland
Security* (June 19, 2007) (statement of
Richard M. Stana, Director, Homeland
Security and Justice Issues),
<https://tinyurl.com/y578cq3s>16, 17

U.S. Government Accountability Office,
*Department of Homeland Security:
Components Could Improve
Monitoring of the Employee
Misconduct Process* (July 2018),
<https://tinyurl.com/y6yze5nm>.....21, 26

U.S. Government Accountability Office,
*U.S. Customs and Border Protection:
Progress and Challenges in Recruiting,
Hiring, and Retaining Law Enforcement
Personnel: Testimony Before the
Subcomm. on Oversight, Management,
and Accountability of the H. Comm. on
Homeland Security* (Mar. 7, 2019)
(statement of Rebecca Gambler,
Director, Homeland Security and
Justice), <https://tinyurl.com/yyxxdrpn>5

Jorge A. Vargas, *U.S. Border Patrol
Abuses, Undocumented Mexican
Workers, and International Human
Rights*, 2 San Diego Int'l L.J. 1
(2001).....27

- Cleve R. Wootson Jr., *Border agents
beat an undocumented immigrant to
death. The U.S. is paying his family
\$1 million.*, Wash. Post (Mar. 28,
2017), <https://tinyurl.com/yxm5vmer>.....29
- Alan Yuhas, *U.S. Agents Fire Tear Gas
Across Mexican Border*, N.Y. Times
(Jan. 1, 2019),
<https://tinyurl.com/y9a6b2k7>13

INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE¹

Amici are former high-level officials of the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) Office of Internal Affairs. CBP is a subagency of the Department of Homeland Security that oversees the law enforcement organizations that control our nation's ports of entries and borders, including the U.S. Border Patrol. The Office of Internal Affairs (OIA) was renamed the Office of Professional Responsibility (OPR) in 2016, and is responsible for preventing, detecting, and investigating instances of misconduct, corruption, and use of excessive force by CBP agents and officers. Amici respectfully submit this brief to provide the Court with information about CBP and the Border Patrol relevant to the questions presented here.

Amicus James F. Tomsheck served as the Assistant Commissioner of the CBP Office of Internal Affairs from June 2006 to June 2014. In that capacity, Mr. Tomsheck oversaw CBP's integrity departments, including offices that investigate instances of corruption, misconduct, and the use of lethal force by CBP agents and officers. Mr. Tomsheck managed CBP's relationships with federal investigative partners such as the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Department of Homeland Security Office of Inspector General, and the Immigration and Customs Enforcement

¹ The parties have consented to the filing of this amicus brief. No counsel for a party authored the brief in whole or in part. No party, counsel for a party, or any person other than amici and their counsel made a monetary contribution intended to fund the preparation or submission of the brief.

(ICE) Office of Professional Responsibility with regard to integrity related investigations. He also served as CBP's Chief Security Officer and Senior Component Accountable Official. In those roles, he oversaw the pre-employment polygraph program and managed audits of CBP that were conducted by other government agencies. Following retirement, Mr. Tomsheck also served as a subject matter expert for the Homeland Security Advisory Council, CBP Integrity Advisory Panel, discussed *infra* at 5, 25. Prior to CBP, Mr. Tomsheck was a police officer for the City of Omaha from 1974 to 1983. He served as a Special Agent for the United States Secret Service from 1983 to 2006, and was a Deputy Assistant Director of the Secret Service Office of Investigations from 2003 to 2006.

Amicus James Wong served as the Deputy Assistant Commissioner of the CBP Office of Internal Affairs from December 2008 to December 2011, assisting Mr. Tomsheck with all OIA functions discussed above. Prior to that position, he served as the Special Agent in Charge of the Dallas Field Office of the CBP OIA from December 2006 to December 2008, supervising the administrative and criminal investigations of CBP employees. He also served as the Associate Special Agent in Charge of the San Diego ICE Office of Professional Responsibility from March 2003 to December 2006, supervising the administrative and criminal investigations of ICE and CBP employees.

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

Sergio Hernández should not have been killed. He was an unarmed teen who did not pose an imminent threat to the U.S. Border Patrol agent, Respondent Jesus Mesa, Jr., who shot him. But because of conditions within the Border Patrol, similar incidents will likely continue to occur if agents cannot be held accountable in civil suits.

Under the oversight of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP), the Border Patrol is the law enforcement agency primarily responsible for detecting and preventing the illegal entry of persons across the United States' borders with Canada and Mexico. This position comes with great responsibility, as well as a vulnerability to misconduct and corruption. As security along the border has increased, criminal organizations seeking inroads into the United States have attempted to infiltrate the Border Patrol. And pre-hiring screening programs have been inadequate, leading the Border Patrol in some instances to hire actual cartel members as agents.

In addition, the Border Patrol has become increasingly militarized since 2001, with some agents comparing their role to that of the U.S. Marine Corps—even though the Border Patrol is not part of the military, and is instead a civilian law enforcement agency. Combined with inadequate field training on appropriate uses of force, these factors have led to an environment in which Border Patrol agents have unnecessarily employed lethal force on the U.S.-Mexico border.

When excessive force incidents occur, internal government investigations suffer from systemic problems. The agency with the most direct interest in the investigation—CBP—can only undertake an investigation if another agency declines. And agents maintain a culture of protectionism that thwarts investigations even when they are undertaken.

As for external accountability, the United States has not extradited a Border Patrol agent to stand trial in Mexico, and to our knowledge has itself prosecuted only one agent in a cross-border shooting. That prosecution was initiated three years after the incident, only after a district court refused to dismiss a civil suit against the agent responsible.

Without the possibility of civil liability, the unlikely prospect of discipline or criminal prosecution will not provide a meaningful deterrent to abuse at the border. It did not deter Respondent Mesa from shooting Petitioners' son. And it will not deter other Border Patrol agents from killing unnecessarily in future confrontations. Indeed, recent developments along the U.S.-Mexico border reflect increased militarization and tension that could contribute to future abuse. For these reasons, amici respectfully support Petitioners' position that the Court reverse the judgment of the court of appeals and permit Petitioners' case to move forward.

ARGUMENT

I. The Use Of Excessive Force By Border Patrol Agents, Along With High Rates Of Corruption And Misconduct, Is A Consequence Of Increased Militarization And Inadequate Screening And Training.

A. The militarization of the Border Patrol contributes to improper use of force.

1. The United States has increasingly militarized the Border Patrol.

CBP was created in 2003 during the administrative reorganization following the attacks of September 11, 2001. Through the Homeland Security Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-296, 116 Stat. 2135, Congress consolidated all border patrol and homeland security agencies into a new umbrella agency, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS). Within DHS, “most of the former U.S. Customs Service and the Border Patrol” merged into what is now CBP. U.S. Dep’t of Homeland Security, Homeland Security Advisory Council, *Final Report of the CBP Integrity Advisory Panel* 1 (Mar. 15, 2016), <http://tinyurl.com/CBPFinal>.

CBP is the largest civilian law enforcement agency in the country, with nearly 45,000 law enforcement officers, about 20,000 of whom are assigned to the U.S. Border Patrol. U.S. Government Accountability Office, *U.S. Customs and Border Protection: Progress and Challenges in Recruiting, Hiring, and Retaining Law Enforcement Personnel: Testimony Before the Subcomm. on Oversight, Management, and*

Accountability of the H. Comm. on Homeland Security 1 (Mar. 7, 2019) (statement of Rebecca Gambler, Director, Homeland Security and Justice), <https://tinyurl.com/yyxxdrpn>. CBP is primarily responsible for securing the nation's borders. Within CBP, the Border Patrol's "mission is to detect and prevent the illegal entry of aliens." R. Chuck Mason, *Securing America's Borders: The Role of the Military*, Congressional Research Service 1 (Feb. 25, 2013), <http://tinyurl.com/MasonSecuring>.

Since 2001, the United States "has invested more than \$100 billion in border and immigration control." Garrett M. Graff, *The Green Monster: How the Border Patrol Became America's Most Out-of-Control Law Enforcement Agency*, *Politico Magazine* (Nov./Dec. 2014), <https://tinyurl.com/y9nnodxr>. From 2001 to 2011, the Border Patrol more than doubled its workforce, from 9,200 agents to about 20,000. *See id.* CBP also invested in significant upgrades to its surveillance and defense equipment. "[I]t has become entirely normal to look up into the Arizona sky and to see Blackhawk helicopters and fixed-wing jets flying by... [and to] hear Predator B drones buzzing... [that] are equipped with the same kind of 'man-hunting' [radar] that flew over the Dashti Margo desert region in Afghanistan." Todd Miller, *War on the Border*, *N.Y. Times* (Aug. 17, 2013), <http://tinyurl.com/MillerWar>. In addition, many Border Patrol agents are former military personnel who served in Afghanistan and Iraq. *Id.*

Border Patrol agents are also granted powers that traditional law enforcement agencies do not have. For

example, within 100 miles of the border, Border Patrol agents may conduct routine searches without reasonable suspicion and without complying with “traditional warrant and probable cause requirements.” Lori Johnson, *Preserving the Excessive Force Doctrine at Our Nation’s Borders*, 14 Holy Cross J.L. & Pub. Pol’y 89, 90 (2010); Miller, *supra*. Agents operating in the border zone therefore “regularly board buses and trains and ask passengers for identification.” Miller, *supra*.

Many agents also consider themselves the country’s first line of defense, with some likening their role to the U.S. Marine Corps and vowing to “never surrender a foot of U.S. soil.” Mark Binelli, *10 Shots Across the Border*, N.Y. Times (Mar. 3, 2016), <http://tinyurl.com/Binelli> (quoting James Wong). This mindset has been reinforced by high-level DHS officials. In response to criminal organizations that had “operated with near-impunity” at the border for years, “the strategy communicated [by former Secretary of Homeland Security, Michael Chertoff] to agents out in the field[] was clear: Fight back.” Graff, *The Green Monster*, *supra*. As a result, the Border Patrol’s “mentality is everyone they encounter is a bad guy, which is totally different from other law enforcement.” *Id.* (quoting W. Ralph Basham, CBP Commissioner from 2006 to 2009).

Yet CBP is a civilian law enforcement agency, not a part of the United States military. Indeed, the military is generally prohibited from “execut[ing] the domestic laws of the United States,” including immigration laws. Mason, *supra*, at 3.

The militarization of the U.S.-Mexico border has recently increased, with more than 5,000 active-duty military troops deployed to the southern border in November 2018 as a “show of force against a caravan of Central American migrants.” Michael D. Shear & Thomas Gibbons-Neff, *Trump Sending 5,200 Troops to the Border in an Election-Season Response to Migrants*, N.Y. Times (Oct. 29, 2018), <https://tinyurl.com/y3c2geqg>. The active-duty troops joined Border Patrol agents and National Guard troops that were already along the border in Texas, Arizona, and California. *Id.* The Administration issued an order authorizing “a show or use of force (including lethal force, where necessary), crowd control, temporary detention and cursory search” to protect Border Patrol agents. Missy Ryan & Paul Sonne, *Troops approved to use force at the border, but Mattis says the mission hasn’t changed*, Wash. Post (Nov. 21, 2018), <https://tinyurl.com/y8fs65l8>.

In November 2018, the President indicated that he wanted to change the rules of engagement for U.S. troops and Border Patrol Agents: “Anybody throwing stones, rocks, like they did to Mexico and the Mexican military, Mexican police, where they badly hurt police and soldiers of Mexico, we will consider that a firearm.... We’re not going to put up with that. They want to throw rocks at our military, our military fights back. I told them to consider it a rifle.” James LaPorta, *Donald Trump Signs Authorization for Border Troops Using Lethal Force as Migrant Caravan Approaches, Document Reveals*, Newsweek (Nov. 21, 2018), <https://tinyurl.com/y4myre5g>.

The CBP Commissioner, Kevin K. McAleenan, echoed the Administration's concerns about the large influx of migrants, and described a surge in Border Patrol resources, with more than 1,000 extra agents sent to the area. Commissioner McAleenan said "the Border Patrol has deployed Black Hawk helicopters and other equipment to respond quickly to the arrival of the migrants." Shear, *supra*.

In July 2019, the Pentagon announced that it would be sending more than 2,000 additional troops to the southwest border. The active duty troops were sent to support CBP's enforcement operations, "helping provide aerial surveillance, operational, logistical and administrative support for CBP efforts to detect and deter smuggling and illegal border crossings." Gordon Lubold, *Pentagon Sending 2,100 Active-Duty National Guard Troops to Border*, Wall St. J. (July 19, 2019), <https://tinyurl.com/y5rapqfd>.

2. Militarization has led to excessive uses of force.

Traditional police practices permit the use of deadly force "to protect the officer or others from what is reasonably believed to be an immediate threat of death or serious bodily injury" or "to prevent the escape of a fleeing subject when the officer has probable cause to believe that the person has committed, or intends to commit a felony involving serious bodily injury or death, and the officer reasonably believes that there is an imminent risk of serious bodily injury or death to the officer or another if the subject is not immediately apprehended." *National Consensus Policy on Use of Force* 3 (2017),

<https://perma.cc/75XA-4NWG>. For example, “FBI special agents may use deadly force only when necessary—when the agent has a reasonable belief that the subject of such force poses an imminent danger of death or serious physical injury to the agent or another person.” Fed. Bureau of Investigation, *What is the FBI’s Policy on the Use of Deadly Force by its Special Agents?*, <http://tinyurl.com/FBIAbout> (last visited Aug. 7, 2019).

By contrast, “[i]n wartime, the function of the military is to kill the enemy.” Kurt Andrew Schlichter, *Locked and Loaded: Taking Aim at the Growing Use of the American Military in Civilian Law Enforcement Operations*, 26 Loy. L.A. L. Rev. 1291, 1309 (1993). Military rules of engagement provide that military personnel in combat “are under general orders not to identify themselves ... and to respond to a perceived lethal threat by efficient destruction of the enemy.” John Flock, *The Legality of United States Military Operations Along the United States-Mexico Border*, 5 Sw. J.L. & Trade Am. 453, 467 (1998).

The Border Patrol does not endorse the use of force protocols of military combat, and, indeed, is required to follow use of force rules that govern typical civilian law enforcement agencies. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 287.8(a). However, it does not always abide by those rules. This is most evident in response to rock throwing—a somewhat common occurrence at the border where individuals throw rocks at Border Patrol agents, sometimes to distract them from ongoing smuggling activities. *See* Binelli, *supra*.

At least through 2012, the Border Patrol had an unofficial practice of permitting Border Patrol agents to treat rock throwing as lethal force and to respond with lethal force, instead of taking cover or calling for backup. See Brian Bennett & Joseph Tanfani, *A Family Outing, Then a Deadly Border Patrol Shooting*, L.A. Times (Oct. 18, 2014), <http://tinyurl.com/BennettTanfani>. As stated by one senior DHS official, “[t]he agency has created a culture that says, ‘If you throw a rock at me, you’re going to get shot.’” Graff, *The Green Monster*, *supra*.

DHS records show that Border Patrol agents responded to rock throwing with the use of a firearm in ten percent of cases in Fiscal Year 2011 and twelve percent of cases in Fiscal Year 2012. See U.S. Dep’t of Homeland Security, Office of Inspector General, *CBP Use of Force Training and Actions to Address Use of Force Incidents* (Redacted) 14-15 (Sept. 2013), <http://tinyurl.com/CBPUseOfForce> (hereinafter *DHS CBP Use of Force Training*). From 2010 to 2014, of the 24 cases where Border Patrol agents shot and killed individuals at the border, agents offered rock throwing as a justification for ten of them. Brian Bennett, *Border Patrol Sees Little Reform on Agents’ Use of Force*, L.A. Times (Feb. 23, 2015), <http://tinyurl.com/BennettBorder>.

CBP commissioned a study of these incidents in 2012. Pursuant to that directive, the Police Executive Research Forum (PERF), a nonprofit, nonpartisan research organization, reviewed 67 cases involving the use of force by CBP officers between January 2010 and October 2012. See generally Police Executive Research Forum, *U.S. Customs and Border*

Protection, Use of Force Review: Cases and Polices (Feb. 2013), <http://tinyurl.com/PERFCBReport>. PERF found that “in some cases agents put themselves in harm’s way by remaining in close proximity to the rock throwers when moving out of range was a reasonable option.” *Id.* at 6. In other instances, officers were inside their vehicles when the vehicles were hit by rocks, yet the officers still used lethal force against the rock throwers. *Id.* at 9. On the whole, PERF found that “[t]oo many cases do not appear to meet the test of objective reasonableness with regard to the use of deadly force.” *Id.* at 6. In some instances, “frustration is a factor motivating agents to shoot at rock throwers.” *Id.* at 9.

PERF also found that Border Patrol agents used deadly force when confronting suspicious vehicles, even when there was no indication that the driver or any passenger posed a threat. *Id.* at 8. PERF deduced that, in certain cases, agents would “intentionally put themselves into the exit path of the vehicle, thereby exposing themselves to additional risk and creating justification for the use of deadly force.” *Id.*

Instead of responding to PERF’s report, which CBP had commissioned, CBP “tried to prevent the scathing 21-page report from coming to light,” sending House and Senate oversight committees that requested copies “only a summary that omitted the most controversial findings.” See Brian Bennett, *Border Patrol’s Use of Deadly Force Criticized in Report*, L.A. Times (Feb. 27, 2014), <http://tinyurl.com/Bennett20140227>. The Los Angeles Times eventually obtained a copy of the full report and CBP’s internal response, which “reject[ed] the

two major recommendations: barring border agents from shooting at vehicles unless its occupants are trying to kill them, and barring agents from shooting people who throw things that can't cause serious physical injury." *Id.*

Only after the Los Angeles Times obtained the report did CBP update its use of force policies. The use of force policy now provides that agents "shall not discharge their firearms in response to thrown or launched projectiles unless the officer/agent has a reasonable belief... that the subject of such force poses an imminent danger of serious physical injury or death to the officer/agent or to another person." U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Office of Training and Development, *Use of Force Policy, Guidelines and Procedures Handbook* 6 (May 2014), <http://tinyurl.com/CBPHandbook>.

Problems nevertheless persist with CBP's use of force at the border. In November 2018 and January 2019, Border Patrol agents fired tear gas into Mexico in response to rock throwing and attempted border crossings. Alan Yuhas, *U.S. Agents Fire Tear Gas Across Mexican Border*, N.Y. Times (Jan. 1, 2019), <https://tinyurl.com/y9a6b2k7>. "Legal experts have questioned the justification for using such weapons against people who were not in the United States," citing language in the United Nations Charter on the sovereign rights and obligations of member countries. Megan Specia & Rick Gladstone, *Border Agents Shot Tear Gas Into Mexico. Was It Legal?*, N.Y. Times (Nov. 28, 2018), <https://tinyurl.com/y5mlngmc>.

B. CBP's failure to adequately screen and train new hires contributes to high rates of corruption, misconduct, and excessive force.

1. Inadequate pre-employment screening permitted unsuitable candidates to join the Border Patrol.

From 2006 to 2009, CBP hired over 10,000 new officers, including nearly 8,000 Border Patrol agents. *See DHS CBP Use of Force Training, supra*, at 3. Because of resource constraints, however, CBP was unable to match this hiring surge with adequate pre-employment background screening and training programs. When former Secretary of Homeland Security Michael Chertoff took office, his task was to double CBP's force within four years. Graff, *The Green Monster, supra*. But “[f]rom an integrity issue, you can’t grow a law enforcement agency that quickly,” observed Robert Bonner, who was the Commissioner of CBP from its inception until 2005, just before the hiring surge began. *Id.*

Moreover, as the U.S. bolstered border security, criminal organizations increasingly relied on bribing U.S. agents and infiltrating the Border Patrol with sympathizers in order to maintain the flow of people and contraband across the border. *See* Andrew Becker, *Border Agency Report Reveals Internal Struggles with Corruption*, Daily Journalist (Jan. 29, 2013), <https://tinyurl.com/y3z85x2l>. Thus, individuals with ties to criminal activity applied for positions with the Border Patrol. *Id.*

The FBI requires all applicants for FBI employment to take pre-employment polygraph tests. U.S. Dep't of Justice, Office of the Inspector General, Evaluation and Inspections Division, *Use of Polygraph Examinations in the Department of Justice* 26 (Sept. 2006), <http://tinyurl.com/DOJPolygraph>. The tests “are used to identify past behavior (e.g., use of illegal drugs, involvement with foreign nationals) that may indicate a lack of reliability in the potential employee.” *Id.*

However, despite the fact that “the border region is considered the ‘highest threat environment for government corruption,’” Graff, *The Green Monster*, *supra* (quoting W. Ralph Basham, CBP Commissioner from 2006 to 2009), CBP in contrast administered pre-employment polygraph examinations to only 10 to 15 percent of applicants between 2006 and 2009. *See New Border War: Corruption of U.S. Officials by Drug Cartels: Hearing Before the Ad Hoc Subcomm. on State, Local, and Private Sector Preparedness and Integration of the S. Comm. on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs*, 111th Cong., S. Hrg. 111-649 (Mar. 11, 2010) (testimony of James Tomsheck). The results of the tests that were given were staggering. Of approximately 1,000 CBP applicants subject to polygraph examinations between 2006 and 2009, almost 60 percent were determined unsuitable for service, largely because they admitted during the examination to prior criminal activity, including violent crimes and involvement with drug cartels and smugglers. *See id.*; Michael S. Danielson, *Our Values on the Line: Migrant Abuse and Family Separation at the Border*, Jesuit Conference of Canada and the

United States 4-5 (Sept. 2015), <http://tinyurl.com/DanielsonMigrant>.

Yet, even with these alarming results, CBP did not begin administering polygraph tests to all law enforcement applicants until mid-2012. *See DHS CBP Use of Force Training, supra*, at 21. CBP thus “regularly sent new agents through the academy and even out into the field before completing full background checks.” Graff, *The Green Monster, supra*.

2. Trainings failed to compensate for inadequate screening.

The shortcomings of CBP’s screening process were exacerbated by inadequate training once agents were on the job. The rapid expansion of the Border Patrol force “dilut[ed]... the level of experience of the agents in the field.” Chad C. Haddal, *Border Security: The Role of the U.S. Border Patrol*, Congressional Research Service 33 (Aug. 11, 2010), <http://tinyurl.com/Haddal>. By mid-2007, the average agency-wide experience level of Border Patrol agents was four to five years, but the average experience level in some units along the southwest border was only 18 months. U.S. Government Accountability Office, *Border Patrol, Costs and Challenges Related to Training New Agents: Testimony Before the Subcomm. on Management, Investigations, and Oversight of the H. Comm. on Homeland Security* 12 (June 19, 2007) (statement of Richard M. Stana, Director, Homeland Security and Justice Issues), <https://tinyurl.com/y578cq3s>.

The training program for new Border Patrol agents includes three components: “(1) basic training

at the academy, (2) postacademy classroom training administered by the academy but conducted in the [field offices], and (3) field training conducted on the job in the [field offices].” *Id.* at 5. A review of the training program conducted by the Government Accountability Office (GAO) in 2007 found that basic training at the academy was effective, but the “challenge” was the field offices’ “capacity to provide adequate supervision and training.” *Id.* at 13. Because the Border Patrol did not have a “uniform field training program” or even uniform standards that field training programs were required to follow, it was difficult for new agents to “become proficient in the safe, effective, and ethical performance of their duties.” *Id.* at 12-13. In addition, although the agency strived for a five-to-one agent-to-supervisor ratio in the field, “as of October 2006, the overall agent-to-supervisor ratios for southwest [field offices], where the Border Patrol assigns all new agents, ranged from about 7 to 1 up to 11 to 1.” *Id.* at 12.

Despite the GAO’s findings more than a decade ago, training deficiencies persist, especially regarding use of force training. In 2013, the DHS Office of Inspector General (DHS-OIG) reviewed CBP’s use of force trainings and audit program. *See generally DHS CBP Use of Force Training, supra.* The review noted that CBP audit teams had identified “several major issues” with use of force field trainings. *Id.* at 17. For example, the audit of at least one location revealed that “many agents and officers do not understand use of force and the extent to which they may or may not use force.” *Id.* More generally, audits revealed that many Border Patrol teams “were not giving written tests during less-lethal force recertification training,

or were not using the correct course of fire for firearms qualifications.” *Id.*

DHS-OIG further observed that, although CBP’s audit program helped to identify areas for improvement in field training, “there is no formal process for [follow-up], [and] no system to assess results and make appropriate timely changes to use of force training.” *Id.* In other words, even where CBP was able to identify deficiencies in its use of force training programs, there was no mechanism in place to correct them.

On January 25, 2017, President Trump issued an Executive Order directing DHS to hire an additional 5,000 Border Patrol Agents. Exec. Order No. 13767, *Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Improvements*, 82 Fed. Reg. 8793 (Jan. 25, 2017). John Roth, the then-Inspector General at DHS, explained to Congress the dangers of rapidly increasing the number of Border Patrol agents: “Historically, DHS OIG has seen large increases in the number of allegations of misconduct against DHS personnel after rapid hiring surges.” U.S. Dep’t of Homeland Security, Office of Inspector General, *Recommendations and Reforms from the Inspectors General: Testimony Before the H. Comm. on Oversight and Government Reform 2* (Nov. 15, 2017) (testimony of John Roth, Inspector General), <https://tinyurl.com/yxeyjkhz>. Moreover, DHS-OIG warned that, even before the start of the hiring surge, the Department’s training capacity was “already overextended” and “[a]bsent remedial action ... trainees will be less prepared for their assigned field environment, potentially impeding mission achievability and increasing safety risk to

themselves, other law enforcement officers, and anyone within their enforcement authority.” U.S. Dep’t of Homeland Security, Office of Inspector General, *DHS Training Needs for Hiring 15,000 Border Patrol Agents and Immigration Officers* 13 (Nov. 2018), <https://tinyurl.com/y2w3o4k4>.

C. The Border Patrol workforce has been plagued by corruption, misconduct, and excessive force incidents.

In light of the Border Patrol’s vulnerability to influence by criminal organizations and CBP’s failure to adequately screen and train agents, corruption and misconduct have been prevalent among the Border Patrol’s ranks. Between 2006 and 2008, CBP hired agents who had criminal histories or, even worse, joined the Border Patrol for criminal purposes. As former Commissioner of CBP, W. Ralph Basham—who oversaw hiring between 2006 and 2008—admitted, “[w]e found out later that we did, in fact, hire cartel members.” Graff, *The Green Monster*, *supra*.

Specifically, CBP uncovered “dozens” of instances where criminal organizations, such as Mexican cartels and street gangs, had infiltrated its ranks. *Id.* For example, between 2008 and 2009, a CBP officer “coordinated with smugglers to allow loads of ecstasy to go unchecked through his lane at the San Luis Port of Entry in exchange for \$33,000.” Brady McCombs, *Border Corruption Cases Grow*, *Arizona Daily Star* (Aug. 16, 2011), <https://tinyurl.com/y2mdll55>. Another Border Patrol agent took bribes from drug smugglers in exchange for maps of Border Patrol

sensor locations. *Id.* And yet another was caught smuggling over 700 pounds of marijuana across the border in his CBP vehicle. *Id.*

According to Ronald Hosko, who served as the assistant director of the FBI's criminal investigative division from 2012 to 2014, CBP officials said that as many as 10 to 20 percent of CBP's workforce "had integrity problems." Graff, *The Green Monster, supra*. And between 2004 and 2014, "roughly 170 CBP employees, including Border Patrol agents, [were] arrested or convicted on corruption-related charges," such as smuggling, money laundering, and conspiracy. Andrew Becker, *Border Agency's Former Watchdog Says Officials Impeded His Efforts*, Wash. Post (Aug. 16, 2014), <https://tinyurl.com/hvwys2o>; S. Hrg. 111-649, *supra* (testimony of James Tomsheck).

Arrests for general misconduct were much greater—from 2005 to 2012, approximately 2,170 CBP officers were arrested for offenses ranging from domestic violence to drunk driving. Graff, *The Green Monster, supra*. In one particularly shocking incident, a border patrol agent in McAllen, Texas intercepted three Hondurans—a mother and her 14-year-old daughter, and another teenage girl—as they attempted to cross the Rio Grande Valley into the United States. *See id.* Instead of detaining them and bringing them to a holding center, however, he kidnapped, raped, and attempted to murder them. *Id.* Although "[t]he magnitude and horror of th[is] crime were unusual,... the potential perpetrator [was not]." *Id.* Indeed, "investigating assaults and misconduct among Border Patrol agents... had become the [local FBI] field office's top criminal priority." *Id.*

More recently, 254 CBP agents and officers were arrested in Fiscal Year 2017. U.S. Customs and Border Protection, *Annual Report: Office of Professional Responsibility* 16-18 (Sept. 2018), <https://tinyurl.com/y3st7zgk>. Just last year, the GAO found continued deficiencies in CBP's monitoring and investigating of employee misconduct. U.S. Government Accountability Office, *Department of Homeland Security: Components Could Improve Monitoring of the Employee Misconduct Process* 55 (July 2018), <https://tinyurl.com/y6yze5nm>.

The Project on Government Oversight reported in 2018 that at least 13 employees had been arrested on corruption-related charges since January 2017. Mia Steinle, *13 CBP Employees Arrested for Corruption this Administration*, Project on Government Oversight (Apr. 23, 2018), <https://tinyurl.com/y3nfkzqg>. "The charges, which range from drug smuggling to bribery to theft to sharing top-secret government data, are only the latest in a longer list of corruption charges against more than 200 CBP employees who have been arrested from October 2004 through mid-March 2018," according to records obtained by the Project on Government Oversight through the Freedom of Information Act. *Id.*

Reports on the use of excessive force are also common. From 2007 to 2012, DHS identified 1,187 allegations of excessive force at the hands of CBP agents. See *DHS CBP Use of Force Training*, *supra*, at 6-7. In a survey of individuals who had been deported from July 2014 to March 2015, more than one-third reported some form of abuse or

mistreatment by Border Patrol agents while in DHS custody. Danielson, *supra*, at 6-7.

In 2017, DHS-OIG reported that DHS as a whole suffered from “weak internal controls” and did not have systems in place to ensure the appropriate use of force: “DHS does not have an office to manage and oversee use of force activities; collect and validate data to assess use of force, minimize risks, and take corrective actions; and ensure use of force policies are updated and incorporate lessons learned.” U.S. Dep’t of Homeland Security, Office of Inspector General, *Major Management and Performance Challenges Facing the Department of Homeland Security 2*, 4 (Nov. 3, 2017), <https://tinyurl.com/y6d4bqgd>.

Although steps have been taken in recent years to improve hiring and training practices, reports of corruption and a toxic culture persist. Indeed, during the summer of 2019, the CBP and Border Patrol “reeled from personnel scandals, leadership scandals and the scandal of their treatment of ... asylum-seekers.” Garrett M. Graff, *The Border Patrol Hits a Breaking Point*, Politico (July 15, 2019), <https://tinyurl.com/y4awuqjw>. CBP opened an investigation into reports of a secret Facebook group for current and former Border Patrol agents, which has some 9,500 members and includes racist comments and jokes about the deaths of migrants. A.C. Thompson & Dara Lind, *Investigation of Secret Border Patrol Group Launched as New Degrading Facebook Posts Surface*, ProPublica (July 1, 2019), <https://tinyurl.com/y4f25aky>.

In June 2019, DHS-OIG issued an audit report on disciplinary practices across DHS, including CBP. According to the report, the Department “does not have sufficient policies and procedures to address employee misconduct.” U.S. Dep’t of Homeland Security, Office of Inspector General, *DHS Needs to Improve Its Oversight of Misconduct and Discipline 2* (June 17, 2019), <https://tinyurl.com/y55nzhf6>. The report was particularly critical of CPB. Of the 4,000 supervisors surveyed, 58% said they needed more training in responding to bad behavior by employees and taking disciplinary action. *Id.* at 12. “Thousands of lower-ranking employees who were surveyed expressed little faith in their bosses, with nearly a quarter saying that they feared retaliation for reporting misconduct by their colleagues, and more than 32% stating that they didn’t trust their supervisors to ‘take appropriate action to correct misconduct in the workplace.’” A.C. Thompson, *Years Ago, the Border Patrol’s Discipline System Was Denounced as “Broken.” It’s Still Not Fixed.*, ProPublica (June 20, 2019) (quoting report), <https://tinyurl.com/y696facf>.

II. Inefficiencies And Cover-Ups Undermine The Effectiveness Of Government Investigations Into Use Of Force Incidents.

A. Internal investigations suffer from a serious structural flaw.

Conventional federal law enforcement internal affairs models place “the internal investigative function within the agency which bears the strongest institutional interest in deterring and detecting

corrupt behavior.” U.S. Dep’t of Homeland Security, Homeland Security Advisory Council, *Interim Report of the CBP Integrity Advisory Panel* 10 (June 29, 2015), <https://tinyurl.com/z9fh6br> (citation omitted) (hereinafter *CBP Integrity Advisory Panel, Interim Report*). For example, within the FBI, “the FBI’s Inspection Division oversees the reporting and investigative phases of the disciplinary system.” U.S. Dep’t of Justice, Office of the Inspector General, Evaluation and Inspections Division, *Review of the Federal Bureau of Investigation’s Disciplinary System* 2 (May 2009), <https://tinyurl.com/y2mvzwd3>.

Until recently, three offices under the umbrella of DHS shared competing investigative authority for reviewing alleged misconduct by CBP personnel. Pursuant to a management directive, DHS-OIG had the right of first refusal to investigate allegations of misconduct, corruption, or excessive force within CBP. *See CBP Integrity Advisory Panel, Interim Report, supra*, at 10. If DHS-OIG declined to investigate, the Immigration and Customs Enforcement Office of Professional Responsibility (ICE-OPR) could review the case. *See DHS CBP Use of Force Training, supra*, at 6. Only if both DHS-OIG and ICE-OPR declined, could the CBP Office of Professional Responsibility² undertake an investigation. *Id.*

² As noted earlier, *supra*, at 1, the CBP Office of Internal Affairs was renamed the Office of Professional Responsibility in 2016. To avoid confusion, we refer in this discussion simply to the CBP Office of Professional Responsibility.

The CBP Integrity Advisory Panel, commissioned by the Secretary of DHS to review CBP's efforts to deter corruption and use of excessive force, characterized this review process as suffering from a "serious structural problem." *CBP Integrity Advisory Panel, Interim Report, supra*, at 10. CBP has the most direct access to individuals and evidence relevant to investigations of its own employees, yet it was relegated to third-tier review. Moreover, prior to August 2014, the CBP Office of Professional Responsibility did not even have the authority to review cases for possible criminal misconduct—it could review only for administrative violations. *See id.* at 7.

Even in instances where the CBP Office of Professional Responsibility could investigate a case that DHS-OIG and ICE-OPR had both declined, the relationship between the CBP Office of Professional Responsibility and DHS-OIG was "broken," *id.* at 10, and "[i]nformation sharing among the offices... was minimal," Binelli, *supra*. Alan Bersin, who served as CBP Commissioner from 2010 to 2011, reflected that during his tenure, "[t]here was more than tension and friction.... There was outright confrontation." Graff, *The Green Monster, supra*. The same is true of the relationship between DHS-OIG and the FBI. The assistant director of the FBI's criminal investigative division from 2012 to 2014, Ronald Hosko, lamented DHS-OIG's practice of fighting the FBI "at every turn," and the "deliberate attempt by senior people in D.H.S. and in the inspector general's shop to avoid cooperating with the F.B.I." Binelli, *supra*.

Moreover, when the CBP Office of Professional Responsibility has investigated incidents, it has been rebuked from within. Confronted with one such investigation, a former Chief of the Border Patrol told amici: “This is not what we do. We manage this problem.” See *Crossing the Line*, FullMeasure (Nov. 20, 2016), <http://tinyurl.com/FMCrossing>. By “managing” the problem, the former Chief meant the agency should seek to bureaucratically minimize the problem, and directed amici to change the agency’s definition of “corruption” to encompass a smaller universe of conduct that would need to be reported to DHS. *Id.*

More recently, the CBP Office of Professional Responsibility has described a somewhat more streamlined investigative process. While DHS-OIG still retains the right of first refusal, if it declines to investigate a case, the CBP Office of Professional Responsibility can retain the case for investigation or assign the case back to the program office for investigation, fact-finding, or immediate management action. ICE-OPR no longer serves as the second-tier reviewer of CBP misconduct. See CBP, *Annual Report, supra*, at 15; GAO, *Components Could Improve Monitoring, supra*, at 8, 13. Nevertheless, because “[i]nvestigations into misconduct and criminality within [CBP] are handled by a host of different units with overlapping jurisdiction,” experts still note that “[t]he situation has led to bureaucratic turf battles and a general inefficiency.” Thompson, *Years Ago, supra*.

B. Internal investigations are hampered by agents concealing their colleagues' wrongdoing.

Investigations by all offices into Border Patrol corruption, misconduct, and abuse are hampered by agents' practice of covering for one another. Known widely as the "code of silence," or "Green Code," Border Patrol agents have an understanding that they will not "say anything which may incriminate another individual member of the same force, even when this member acted in flagrant violation of the law." Jorge A. Vargas, *U.S. Border Patrol Abuses, Undocumented Mexican Workers, and International Human Rights*, 2 San Diego Int'l L.J. 1, 62-63 (2001) (emphasis omitted). Richard Skinner, the first Senate-confirmed Inspector General of DHS, put it this way: "Out in the field, there was a culture to keep things to themselves. You're familiar with 'What happens in Vegas stays in Vegas?' They had a 'What happens in the field stays in the field.'" Graff, *The Green Monster*, *supra*.

Former Commissioner of CBP, Alan Bersin, commissioned a study by the Homeland Security Studies and Analysis Institute in 2011, "to evaluate the agency's countercorruption programs." Becker, *Border Agency Report Reveals*, *supra*. The nonpublic report found that "the 'code' presents an insidious challenge to workforce integrity, and requires explicit, targeted and sustained attention." *Id.* (quoting report). In August 2012, the former Deputy Commissioner of CBP told members of Congress during a hearing on border corruption that the agency had acted on some of the study's anticorruption

recommendations, “[b]ut it remains unclear to what extent the recommendations” of the Homeland Security Studies and Analysis Institute have been adopted. *Id.* Recent reports suggest that this “long-standing damaging insular culture that tolerates and protects wrongdoers” continues to this day. Graff, *The Border Patrol Hits a Breaking Point*, *supra*.

III. Incidents Involving The Questionable Use Of Lethal Force By Border Patrol Agents Have Resulted In No Discipline.

From 2003 to May 2018, CBP officers killed at least 97 people, including 28 U.S. citizens and six children. See Sarah Macaraeg, *Fatal encounters: 97 deaths point to pattern of border agent violence across America*, Guardian (May 2, 2018), <https://tinyurl.com/y3skmchj>. At least eight victims since 2010 were on the Mexican side of the border when they were killed. See Bennett, *Border Patrol Sees Little Reform*, *supra*. However, from at least 2007 to 2014, “[n]ot a single Border Patrol agent... [was] disciplined for excessive use of force.” Graff, *The Green Monster*, *supra* (quoting James Wong).

In many incidents, significant discrepancies between the agents’ reports and other accounts of the events reflect attempts to cover up or justify incidents. In 9 of 24 cases resulting in death between 2010 and May 2012, “agents’ accounts were contradicted by other witnesses or by other law-enforcement officers.” Bob Ortega & Rob O’Dell, *Deadly Border Agent Incidents Cloaked in Silence*, Arizona Republic (Dec. 16, 2013), <https://tinyurl.com/jkxr2kf>. In some, video footage of

the shootings directly contradicted Border Patrol agents' accounts. *Id.*

For example, in 2010, Border Patrol agents and other CBP officers beat Anastacio Hernandez-Rojas and shot him with an electric taser multiple times. See Cleve R. Wootson Jr., *Border agents beat an undocumented immigrant to death. The U.S. is paying his family \$1 million.*, Wash. Post (Mar. 28, 2017), <https://tinyurl.com/yxm5vmer>. A medical examiner, labeling the death a homicide, determined that he had a heart attack during the altercation with border agents. *Id.*

The agents justified their use of force by claiming that Hernandez-Rojas was combative and needed to be subdued. See U.S. Dep't of Justice, Office of Public Affairs, *Federal Officials Close the Investigation into the Death of Anastasio Hernandez-Rojas* (Nov. 6, 2015), <http://tinyurl.com/DOJHernandez>. But video footage showed that Mr. Hernandez-Rojas was handcuffed on the ground, surrounded by a dozen officers, and calling for help when he was beaten and tased. See Ortega, *Deadly Border Agent Incidents Cloaked in Silence*, *supra*. As the district court in the ensuing civil case noted in denying the officers' motion for summary judgment, “[d]efendants contend that Anastacio was actively resisting arrest.... However, the video evidence submitted provides, at a minimum, that Anastacio was not resisting arrest or attempting to evade arrest.” *Estate of Hernandez-Rojas ex rel. Hernandez v. United States*, 62 F. Supp. 3d 1169, 1182 (S.D. Cal. 2014). Moreover, “[t]he sheer number of officers available at the scene demonstrates rather strongly that there was no

objectively reasonable threat to the safety of any one [sic] other than Anastacio.” *Id.* Yet the agents were never disciplined, and no criminal charges were brought. *See* U.S. Dep’t of Justice, *Federal Officials Close the Investigation*, *supra*.

That same year, Border Agent Taylor Poitevent shot Juan Mendez, an 18-year-old U.S. citizen, twice in the back. *See* Andrew Becker, *2010 Border Patrol Fatal Shooting Comes Under Renewed Scrutiny*, *Reveal* (Aug. 1, 2014), <https://tinyurl.com/jxyg98z>. The Department of Justice initially concluded that the shooting was justified based on information that Mendez got into a physical struggle with Poitevent. *See id.* However, after Poitevent made conflicting statements in a civil lawsuit involving the incident, an Assistant U.S. Attorney who had been defending Poitevent in the civil suit began digging further. Interviews revealed that Luis Valderrama, a former Border Patrol agent who had been elevated to the CBP Office of Internal Affairs, may have coached Poitevent about what to say to avoid prosecution. *Id.* Although DHS-OIG opened an investigation into Valderrama’s conduct, Poitevent was neither criminally charged nor disciplined for the killing. *See id.*

In 2011, Border Patrol agents were attempting to arrest an individual who had crawled through a sewer pipe into the United States when an agent shot and killed José Alfredo Yañez Reyes, who was on the Mexican side of the border. *See* Bob Ortega, *Deadly Force Cases by Border Patrol Raising Questions*, *Arizona Republic* (Sept. 13, 2014), <http://tinyurl.com/OrtegaDeadly>. The agents claimed

that Yañez had retreated into Mexico from the pipe, climbed a tree, and thrown a rock and a nail-studded board at one of them, justifying the use of lethal force. *See* Andrew Becker, *Scathing Report Deems Fatal Border Patrol Shooting ‘Highly Predictable,’* *Reveal* (Mar. 4, 2016), <https://tinyurl.com/y62onbh6>; *see also* Binelli, *supra*. But a witness stated that Yañez was not throwing objects at the agent, but rather had threatened to take a video of the incident with his cellphone. *See* Becker, *Scathing Report, supra*. Tom Frazier, a former Baltimore police commissioner and former Department of Justice official who reviewed the incident in connection with a pending civil lawsuit, noted that even if Yañez had thrown objects, the agent “could and should have employed de-escalation techniques and considered the proper imminent peril standard.” *Id.* Again, the agents were not disciplined, and no criminal charges were brought. *See* Binelli, *supra*.

In 2012, Guillermo Arévalo Pedraza was picnicking with his family on the Mexican side of the Rio Grande River when a Border Patrol agent, who was passing in a patrol boat, shot and killed him. *See* Graff, *The Green Monster, supra*. The agents on the boat stated that they were responding to rock throwing from the shore. *See* Ortega, *Deadly Force Cases, supra*. Witnesses, however, disagreed: “Pedraza was not throwing rocks, but [was] one of several people shouting at the agents not to drown a man swimming in the river.” *Id.* Video footage of the incident shows the Border Patrol boat speeding away from the scene immediately after the shooting, strongly suggesting that—even if the agents had been subjected to rock throwing—they could have sped out

of throwing distance instead of shooting Pedraza. See Graff, *The Green Monster*, *supra* (linking to a YouTube video of the incident at <http://tinyurl.com/YTPedraza>). Again, the incident resulted in no discipline and no criminal charges. See Binelli, *supra*.

Finally, in the instant case, Respondent Mesa shot and killed Petitioners' 15-year-old son, Sergio. The FBI initially reported that agents were responding to a group of smugglers near a port of entry and that, after the agents had detained two of the individuals, others began to throw rocks. See Fed. Bureau of Investigation, *Assault on Federal Officer Investigated* (June 8, 2010), <http://tinyurl.com/FBIAssault>. According to the FBI, after Mesa "gave verbal commands to the remaining subjects to stop and retreat[,]... the subjects surrounded [Mesa] and continued to throw rocks at him," at which time Mesa fired, killing Sergio. *Id.*

However, video footage of the incident appears to tell a different story. "In one video, some youths can clearly be seen making throwing motions. But [Sergio] isn't among them. He's visible, peeping out from behind a pillar beneath a train trestle. He sticks his head out; Mesa fires; and the boy falls to the ground, dead." Ortega, *Deadly Border Agent Incidents Cloaked in Silence*, *supra*. Other videos "appear to show that [Sergio had been] trying to run and hide" before he was shot. Adam Liptak, *An Agent Shot a Boy Across the U.S. Border. Can His Parents Sue?*, N.Y. Times (Oct. 17, 2016), <https://tinyurl.com/y4rt3b87>; see also Graff, *The Green Monster*, *supra* (linking to a YouTube video of the incident at

<https://tinyurl.com/y5wgggbp>). Notably, the final Department of Justice report does not state that Respondent Mesa was “surrounded” by rock throwers or that Sergio was throwing rocks. *See* U.S. Dep’t of Justice, Office of Public Affairs, *Federal Officials Close Investigation into the Death of Sergio Hernandez-Guereca* (Apr. 27, 2012), <https://tinyurl.com/y43gyk94> (hereinafter *DOJ Hernandez Press Release*). Nevertheless, no disciplinary action was taken, and DOJ closed the case without pressing charges, concluding that “the agent did not act inconsistently with CBP policy or training regarding use of force.” *Id.*

In addition to the lack of accountability for these killings, reports of other forms of abuse likewise rarely result in discipline. Out of 809 complaints alleging abuse from January 2009 to January 2012, 97 percent of the cases that reached a formal decision resulted in “No Action Taken.” Daniel E. Martínez, Guillermo Cantor & Walter A. Ewing, *No Action Taken: Lack of CBP Accountability in Responding to Complaints of Abuse*, American Immigration Council 1 (May 2014), <https://tinyurl.com/z9ay4k9>. Of the 67 cases reviewed in the PERF study that found that officers routinely used excessive force in response to rock throwing, no charges were brought as a result of CBP’s internal review, although two agents “received oral reprimands.” Brian Bennett, *Border Patrol Absolves Itself in Dozens of Cases of Lethal Force*, L.A. Times (June 15, 2015), <https://tinyurl.com/y4yc6hpo>. CBP reassessed the 67 cases reviewed by PERF. “Of those, one case resulted in an indictment and another [was] under review by the Justice Department’s Civil Rights Division.” Paul Koscak, *Law Enforcement on a*

Constitutional Scale, Frontline, U.S. Customs & Border Protection 16 (Jan. 2017), <https://tinyurl.com/y5vezvk2>.

IV. Border Patrol Agents Who Use Illegal Force Will Not Be Held Accountable Unless Suits Like Petitioners' Are Allowed To Proceed.

If victims and their families are unable to hold Border Patrol agents accountable for using excessive force, agents who employ such force can continue to abuse and kill individuals at the border with impunity. The United States declined to extradite Respondent Mesa for prosecution in this case. *See* U.S. Petition-Stage Amicus Br. 16. Pursuant to the applicable extradition treaty, the United States has no obligation to extradite its own citizens, and authorities deem it “inconceivable” that the United States would choose to extradite a government official who it concluded acted “in good-faith execution of his duties.” Adriana M. Chavez, *Experts Say Extradition Unlikely If Agent Charged*, El Paso Times, June 22, 2010, 2010 WLNR 12633548 (quoting Allen Weiner, Director, Stanford Program in International and Comparative Law); *see DOJ Hernandez Press Release, supra*. Indeed, amici are unaware of a case in which the United States extradited a CBP agent to Mexico for prosecution. The theoretical possibility of extradition to Mexico thus provides no meaningful deterrent to excessive use of force.

Similarly, the threat of prosecution in the United States appears minimal. The failings of the internal investigation process reveal that the system is skewed to avoid discipline and prosecution. Even in

the only cross-border-shooting case that resulted in prosecution, the case of 16-year-old José Antonio Elena Rodríguez, the Department of Justice took three years to obtain an indictment and did so only after significant media coverage and a civil suit. See Rob O'Dell, *Experts: Pressure from Advocates, Press Led to Murder Charges Against Border Patrol Agent in Teen's Death*, Arizona Republic (Sept. 28, 2015), <http://tinyurl.com/ODellExperts>.

Against this backdrop, the prospect of civil liability plays a proper and important role in deterring Border Patrol officers from using excessive force in confrontations with individuals at and across the border. In many instances, civil suits also expose improper use of force where internal investigations failed. For example, the civil suit in Juan Mendez's case exposed the inconsistencies in Agent Poitevent's statements that led to the discovery of possible CBP coaching. See Becker, *2010 Border Patrol Fatal Shooting*, *supra*. In José Alfredo Yañez Reyes's civil case, a law enforcement expert highlighted the agent's improper use of force. See Becker, *Scathing Report*, *supra*. And it may have been the district court's refusal to dismiss the civil suit in the Rodríguez case on qualified immunity grounds that finally convinced the Department of Justice to bring criminal charges two months later, almost three years after the underlying incident. Binelli, *supra*.

“[P]ermitting noncitizens to bring claims of excessive deadly force ... reduces the threat, to citizens and foreigners alike, that executive agents will ‘switch the Constitution on or off at will’ by exporting violence....” Eva L. Bitran, *Boumediene at*

the Border? The Constitution and Foreign Nationals on the U.S.-Mexico Border, 49 Harv. C.R.-C.L. L. Rev. 229, 249-50 (2014) (quoting *Boumediene v. Bush*, 553 U.S. 723, 765 (2008)). Claims like Petitioners' should be allowed to proceed.

CONCLUSION

This Court should reverse the judgment of the court of appeals.

Respectfully submitted,

Kelsi Brown Corkran
Counsel of Record
Thomas M. Bondy
Melanie R. Hallums
ORRICK, HERRINGTON &
SUTCLIFFE LLP
1152 15th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 339-8400
kcorkran@orrick.com

August 9, 2019