

Samuel A. Nolen
Director
302-651-7752
Nolen@rlf.com

May 8, 2018

Scott S. Harris, Esq.
Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States, Office of the Clerk
Washington, DC 20543

Re: *Michael T. Kennedy v. Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom, et al.*,
U.S.S.C. No. 17-1456; 3d Cir. No. 17-1415

Dear Mr. Harris:

I write on behalf of the “Tennenbaum Respondents”¹ in the above-captioned proceeding. Earlier today, co-respondent Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom LLP requested an extension under Supreme Court Rule 30.4 to respond to the Petition for a Writ of Certiorari that Michael T. Kennedy filed on April 16, 2018. The parties’ response to that petition currently is due on May 23, 2018. Skadden requested an extension until June 22, 2018.

The Tennenbaum Respondents request that they receive the same extension as is granted to Skadden. As Skadden explains, this case has a 12 year history that includes a prior round of appeals to this Court. An extension would ensure that the Tennenbaum Respondents have an adequate opportunity to address the arguments raised by Mr. Kennedy in his petition.

Thank you for your consideration of my request.

Respectfully,

/s/ Samuel A. Nolen

Samuel A. Nolen

SAN/CXK

¹ The “Tennenbaum Respondents” are: (1) Tennenbaum Capital Partners, LLC; (2) Tennenbaum & Co. LLC; (3) Special Value Expansion Fund, LLC; (4) Special Value Opportunities Fund, LLC; (5) Babson & Co. LLC; (6) Michael E. Tennenbaum; (7) Suzanne S. Tennenbaum; (8) David A. Hollander; (9) Mark K. Holdsworth; (10) Howard M. Lefkowitz; (11) Richard E. Spencer; and (12) José Feliciano.

