



Consovoy McCarthy Park PLLC

3033 Wilson Boulevard  
Suite 700  
Arlington, VA 22201  
703.243.9423  
www.consovoymccarthy.com

May 6, 2018

Scott S. Harris  
Clerk of Court  
Supreme Court of the United States  
1 First Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20543

**Re: *Harvey v. UTE Indian Tribe*, No. 17-1301**

Dear Mr. Harris,

I represent the Petitioners in the above-captioned case. On April 2, 2018, the Court granted Respondents a 30-day extension of time for their brief in opposition, to and including May 14, 2018. On May 4, 2018—without seeking the consent of Petitioners—Respondents filed a letter seeking a second 30-day extension of time, until June 13, 2018.

Petitioners respectfully oppose this additional extension. Granting Respondents a second 30-day extension would defer the Court's consideration of this petition until after the summer recess, thereby resulting in more than three months of unnecessary delay for Petitioners.

Petitioners would not oppose a shorter extension that would still result in the petition being considered before the Court's summer recess. If Respondents receive a 7-day extension, to and including May 21, 2018, the petition would be distributed on June 5, 2018, and considered before the summer recess at the Court's conference of June 21, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

*s/ William S. Consovoy*  
will@consovoymccarthy.com

Counsel for Petitioners