SOUTH CAROLINA,

1

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 138

NORTH CAROLINA,

Defendant.

REVISED

CERTIFIED COpy

TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE BEFORE SPECIAL MASTER KRISTIN LINSLEY MYLES Thursday, April 16, 2009

Reported by: DANA M. FREED CSR No. 10602

JOB No. 105320

SOUTH CAROLINA,	
Plaintiff,	
vs.	No. 138
NORTH CAROLINA,	
Defendants.	
Telephonic C	onference before Special
Master Kristin Linsley My	yles, beginning at 11:00 a.m
Master Kristin Linsley My	yles, beginning at 11:00 a.m on Thursday, April 16, 2009
Master Kristin Linsley My and ending at 11:09 a.m.	yles, beginning at 11:00 a.m on Thursday, April 16, 2009
Master Kristin Linsley My and ending at 11:09 a.m. of before DANA M. FREED, Cer	yles, beginning at 11:00 a.m.
Master Kristin Linsley My and ending at 11:09 a.m. of before DANA M. FREED, Cer	yles, beginning at 11:00 a.m on Thursday, April 16, 2009
Master Kristin Linsley My and ending at 11:09 a.m. of before DANA M. FREED, Cer	yles, beginning at 11:00 a.m on Thursday, April 16, 2009
Master Kristin Linsley My and ending at 11:09 a.m. of before DANA M. FREED, Cer	yles, beginning at 11:00 a.m.
Master Kristin Linsley My and ending at 11:09 a.m. of before DANA M. FREED, Cer	yles, beginning at 11:00 a.m.
Master Kristin Linsley My and ending at 11:09 a.m. of before DANA M. FREED, Cer	yles, beginning at 11:00 a.m.
Master Kristin Linsley My and ending at 11:09 a.m. of before DANA M. FREED, Cer	yles, beginning at 11:00 a.m.

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP KRISTIN LINSLEY MYLES, SPECIAL MASTER
4	560 Mission Street, Twenty-Seventh Floor San Francisco, California 94105-2907
5 6	415.512.4000
7	For SOUTH CAROLINA:
8	ASSISTANT DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL BY: ROBERT D. COOK
9	T. PARKIN HUNTER L. CHILDS CANTEY
10	Post Office Box 11549
11	1000 Assembly Street, Room 519 Columbia, South Carolina 29211-1549 803.734.3736
12	agrcook@ag.state.sc.us
13	KELLOGG, HUBER, HANSEN, TODD, EVANS & FIGEL, P.L.L.C. BY: DAVID C. FREDERICK
14	MICHAEL GOTTLIEB SCOTT K. ATTAWAY
15	Attorneys at Law 1615 M Street, N.W., Suite 400
16	Washington, D.C. 20036 202.326.7900
17	dfrederick@khhte.com
18	
19	For NORTH CAROLINA:
20	NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BY: CHRISTOPHER G. BROWNING
21	JAMES C. GULICK CARRIEANNE ORLIKOWSKI
22	Attorneys at Law 114 West Edenton Street
23	Raleigh, North Carolina 27603 919.716.6900
24	cbrowning@ncdoj.gov
25	

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	
3	For PROPOSED INTERVENOR DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC:
4	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
5	BY: VIRGINIA A. SEITZ
5	Attorney at Law 1501 K Street, N.W.
6	Washington, D.C. 20005
	202.736.8270
7	cphillips@sidley.com
8	
9	For PROPOSED INTERVENOR CATAWBA RIVER WATER SUPPLY PROJECT:
10	DRISCOLL SHEEDY, P.A.
1 1	BY: JIM SHEEDY
11	SUSAN DRISCOLL Attorneys at Law
12	11520 North Community House Road
	Building 2, Suite 200
13	Charlotte, North Carolina 28277
14	jimsheedy@driscollsheedy.com
1 7	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP
15	BY: TROY CAHILL
	THOMAS G. GOLDSTEIN
16	Attorneys at Law
17	Robert S. Strauss Building 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
	Washington, DC 20036
18	tgoldstein@akingump.com
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	En., 41, a. CITY OF CHARLOTTE.
3	For the CITY OF CHARLOTTE:
4	HOGAN & HARTSON LLP BY: JAMES T. BANKS
5	Attorney at Law
6	555 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004
0	202.637.5600
7	jtbanks@hhlaw.com
8	
9	CHARLOTTE-MECKLENBURG UTILITIES BY: H. MICHAEL BOYD
	Senior Assistant City Attorney
10	5100 Brookshire Boulevard
11	Charlotte, North Carolina 28216 704.391.5110
	Hmboyd@ci.charlotte.nc.us
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Thursday, April 16, 2009
2	11:00 a.m 11:09 a.m.
3	
4	MR. BROWNING: Chris Browning for
5	North Carolina. With me are Jim Gulick and
6	Carrieanne Orlikowski, C-a-r-r-i-e-a-n-n-e,
7	O-r-I-i-k-o-w-s-k-i.
8	MR. FREDERICK: This is David Frederick for
9	South Carolina. And with me here is Mike Gottlieb.
10	MR. COOK: Bob Cook, Parkin Hunter, and
11	Childs Cantey for South Carolina.
12	MR. GULICK: This is Jim Banks for the City
13	of Charlotte.
14	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Tom Goldstein and Troy Cahill
15	for CRWSP.
16	MR. BOYD: Mike Boyd. Also City of
17	Charlotte.
18	SPECIAL MASTER MYLES: Is that everybody?
19	This is Kristin Myles. Okay. I guess I had
20	MS. SEITZ: Virginia Seitz.
21	MS. DRISCOLL: Susan Driscoll and Jim Sheedy.
22	SPECIAL MASTER MYLES: Well, I jumped the
23	gun. But now I think everybody's on. Does that seem
24	correct? Okay. Well, why don't we just go ahead.
25	The first thing I want to ask is whether

raise on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people have anything they want to add or amplify to
what was in the report which I read. I see there's
not a lot going on that needed to be reported, other
than obviously the Court setting the intervention
issue for argument in the fall. So are there
things are there particular issues that anybody
wanted to raise on the call? And then I just had
a couple of additional questions.
MR. FREDERICK: This is David Frederick for
South Carolina. We do not have any issues to raise o
today's call.
MR. BROWNING: This is Chris Browning for
North Carolina. We have no additional issues.
SPECIAL MASTER MYLES: Okay. Then it may be
a very short call. My only two followup questions
were, one, now in light of the argument being set

MR. ATTAWAY: Hi, this is Scott Attaway, South Carolina.

for -- someone just joined.

SPECIAL MASTER MYLES: Hi, Mr. Attaway. We have sort of gotten started. My question was whether in light of the Court setting the argument for the fall, rather than I think we had thought it might be done sooner, are there any adjustments that need to be made to any of the arrangements that we put in place?

The interim order that I drew in terms of while we were waiting for the Court to decide the issue.

That's one question.

And the related question is, what, if anything, do the parties anticipate or think would be useful from me in the meantime. And there may be nothing that particularly needs to be done. But I just pose both of those questions.

I guess the more concrete question is do we need to do anything to the interim -- not the interim order, but whatever we call it, the order governing this interim period.

MR. BROWNING: Your Honor, this is Chris Browning for North Carolina. I don't think there's a need to change anything at this point. If you recall in case management order number 7, you conclude by saying that if there are any adjustments, basically, the States and the intervenors will cooperate, discuss the issue, and then effectively come back with you to a proposal.

I think we're all proceeding with discovery for the moment. And if there is a need to adjust, I would envision the most appropriate step would be we'll all talk, see if we can't come up with a fair schedule or proposal and present that to you. And I think subparagraph F of case management order

number 7, in paragraph 2 of that case management order, is certainly sufficient to guide the parties at this stage of the proceeding.

SPECIAL MASTER MYLES: Okay. The most obvious thing, I think we sort of left on the assumption that the *issue* wouldn't really arise, was the depositions, which I think we thought would create more *issues* than we thought needed to be dealt with *in* that order. And I assume that's still going to be the case, that there won't be deposition *issues* that arise *in* the meantime. If there are, they can be presented through that paragraph F, if necessary, you know, to me.

MR. FREDERICK: This *is* David Frederick for South Carolina. Special Master Myles, that seems entirely fair to us.

SPECIAL MASTER MYLES: And what about the intervenors? Are things *in* the satisfactory state from the standpoint of the operation of that order?

MS. SEITZ: This *is* Virginia Seitz for Duke Energy. Yes, likewise.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: This *is* Tom Goldstein for CRWSP. Our current sense *is* that the document discovery that *is* allowed by CMO number 7 will take up a lot of this time. And as North Carolina said, and

1	South Carolina I think agrees, if some issue comes up
2	before the, before the Court rules, then we can always
3	try and work it out ourselves if not come back to you.
4	MR. BANKS: This is Jim Banks for Charlotte.
5	We agree with that.
6	SPECIAL MASTER MYLES: Well, great. Well,
7	that pretty much answers my second question then about
8	whether there was anything, any way in which,
9	you know, people need me. So I guess we have the
10	shortest call ever today. But we have do we have
11	another call scheduled? I don't have my calendar in
12	front of me.
13	MR. BROWNING: Your Honor, there is not
14	another call currently scheduled. This is
15	Chris Browning.
16	SPECIAL MASTER MYLES: Well, I leave it to
17	your all collective judgment on when the next call
18	would be necessary. We haven't had much to cover in
19	the last two calls, just because we have been in this
20	transitional phase and you all have been moving ahead
21	with discovery without a hitch. So do we think we
22	need another call in a month? Do we want to set it
23	out longer than that?
24	MR. FREDERICK: This is David Frederick for
25	South Carolina Special Master Myles I would propose

1	that we either agree to work among ourselves to come
2	up with a date some time in the mid summer and propose
3	that, or propose some suggested dates to you or your
4	office. And then to have, you know, a couple of
5	months of continued discovery before we reconvene.
6	SPECIAL MASTER MYLES: Is everybody okay with
7	that? Or not hearing any dissent from that, why don't
8	we do that? I'll wait to hear from you then on
9	a proposed date. And we can communicate, why don't we
10	communicate by email? Does that make sense,
11	Mr. Frederick, for you to come back to me with email?
12	We can just work that out by email.
13	Sounds good. If there is nothing else, then
14	why don't we just adjourn for the day.
15	<i>11</i>
16	<i>11</i>
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify: 2 That the foregoing proceedings were taken 3 before me at the time and place herein set forth; that 4 any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to 5 6 testifying, were duly sworn; that a record of the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand 7 which was thereafter transcribed under my direction; 8 9 that the foregoing transcript is a true record of the 10 testimony given. 11 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to 12 the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 13 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of 14 the transcript [] was [] was not requested. 15 I further certify I am neither financially 16 interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party to this action. 17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 18 19 subscribed my name. 20 Dated: MAY 1 1 2009 21 22 23 24 CSR No. 10602

1

25

I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand

INDEX

Α	С	Court 7:4,228:2 10:2		Hi 7:18,20
action 12:17,18	C3:13,21	cover 10:18	EVANS 3:13	hitch 10:21
add 7:1	Cahill 4: 15 6: 14	cphillips@sidley.c•••	everybody 6:18 11:6	Hmboyd@ci.charl
additional 7:8,13	calendar 10:11	4:7	everybody's 6:23	5:11
adjourn 11:14	California 3:4 12:2	create 9:7		HOGAN 5:4
adjust 8:21	call 7:7,11,15 8:11	CRWSP 6:159:23	F	Honor8:13 10:13
adjustments 7:24	10:10,11,14,17,22	CSR 1:22 12:25	F8:259:12	House 4:12
8:17	calls 10:19	current 9:23	fair 8:239: 16	HUBER3:13
agrcook@ag.stat	Cantey 3:96:11	currently 10:14	fall 7:5,23	Hunter3:96:10
3:12	Carolina 1:4,7 2:4,7	C-a-r-r-i-e-a-n-n-e	Federal 12:13	
agree 10:5 11:1	3:7,11,19,20,23	6:6	FELD 4:14	I
agrees 10:1	4:13 5:10 6:5,9,11		FIGEL 3:13	interested 12:17
ahead 6:24 10:20	7:10,13,198:14	0	financially 12:17	interim 8:1,10,10,12
AKIN 4:14	9:15,25 10:1,25	03:8	first 6:25	INTERVENOR 4:3,8
allowed 9:24	CAROLINAS 4:3	DANA 1:212:17	Floor 3:4	intervenors 8: 18
amplify 7:1	Carrieanne 3:21 6:6	12:24	followup 7:15	9:18
answers 10:7	case 8:15,259:1,10	date 11:2,9 12:19	foregoing 12:4,6,10	intervention 7:4
anticipate 8:5	12:14	Dated 12:22	12:12	issue 7:58:2,189:6
anybody 7:6	CATAWBA 4:8	dates 11:3	forth 12:5	10:1
APPEARANCES 3: 1	cbrowning@ncdo	David 3:13 6:8 7:9	Francisco 3:4	issues 7:6,10,13 9:8
4:15:1	3:24	9:1410:24	Frederick 3:13 6:8,8	9:10
appropriate 8:22	certainly 9:2	day 11:14	7:9,99:14,14 10:24	
April 1:16 2:16 6:1	Certified 2:17 12:1	DC 4:17	10:24 11:11	J
argument 7:5,16,22	certify 12:3,16	dealt 9:8	FREED 1:212:17	JAMES 3:21 5:4
arrangements 7:25	change 8:15	decide 8:2	12:24	Jim 4:10 6:5,12,21
Assembly 3:10	Charlotte 4:13 5:3	Defendant 1:8	front 10:12	10:4
Assistant 3:8 5:9	5:106:13,17 10:4	Defendants 2:8	further 12:12,16	jimsheedy@drisc
assume 9:9	CHARLOTTE-MEC	DEPARTMENT 3:20		4:13
assumption 9:6	5:8	deposition 9: 10	G	JOB 1:23
Attaway 3:147:18	Childs 3:96:11	12:13	G 3:204:15	joined 7:17
7:18,20	Chris 6:4 7: 12 8: 13	depositions 9:7	GENERAL 3:8	jtbanks@hhlaw.c
attorney 3:84:5 5:5	10:15	DEPUTY 3:8	given 12:11	5:7
5:9 12:18	CHRISTOPHER 3:20	dfrederick@khht	g06:24	judgment 10:17
Attorneys 3: 15,22	City 5:3,96:12,16	3:17	going 7:3 9:9	jumped 6:22
4:11,16	CM09:24	direction 12:9	Goldstein 4:156:14	JUSTICE 3:20
AUSTIN 4:4	collective 10:17	discovery 8:20 9:24	6:149:22,22	К
Avenue 4:17	Columbia 3: 11	10:2111:5	good 11:13	
a.m 2:15,166:2,2	come 8:19,23 10:3	discuss 8: 18	gotten 7:21 Gottlieb 3:146:9	K 3:144:5 KELLOGG 3:13
	11:1,11	dissent 11:7	governing 8: 11	know 9:12 10:9 11:4
В	comes 10:1	document 9:23 drew8:1	great 10:6	Kristin 1:15 2:15 3:3
back 8:19 10:3 11:11	communicate 11:9		guess 6:198:9 10:9	6:19
Banks 5:4 6:1210:4	11:10	Driscoll 4:10,11 6:21	guide 9:2	0.19
10:4	Community 4: 12	6:21	Gulick 3:21 6:5,12	L
basically 8: 17	completion 12:14	Duke 4:3 9:21	GUMP4:14	L3:9
beginning 2:15	conclude 8:16	duly 12:7	gun 6:23	Law 3:15,224:5,11
Bob 6:10	concrete 8:9	D.C 3:164:65:6	9411 0.23	4:165:5
Boulevard 5:10	Conference 1:14	F	H	leave 10:16
Box 3:10	2:14	Edenton 3:22	H 5:9	left 9:5
Boyd 5:96:16,16	continued 4:15:1	effectively 8: 19	Hampshire 4:17	light 7: 16,22
Brookshire 5: 10	11:5	either 11:1	HANSEN 3:13	likewise 9:21
Browning 3:20 6:4,4	Cook 3:86:10,10	email 11:10,11,12	HARTSON 5:4	Linsley 1:152:153:3
7:12,128:13,13	cooperate 8: 18	employee 12:18	HAUER4:14	LLC4:3
10:13,15	correct 6:24	Energy 4:3 9:21	hear 11:8	LLP 3:34:4,145:4
Building 4: 12,16	couple 7:8 11:4	entirely 9: 16	hearing 11:7	longer 10:23
		Official of the		
		entifiery 3. 10	nearing 11.7	10.1901 10.20

lot7:39:25	6:7	Road 4:12	Т	4:6,17 5:6
		Robert 3:8 4:16	T 3:95:4	WATER 4:8
M	Р	Room 3:10	take 9:24	way 10:8
M 1:21 2:17 3:15	paragraph 9:1,12	rules 10:2	taken 12:4	West 3:22
machine 12:8	Parkin 3:96:10		talk 8:23	we'll 8:23
management 8:16	particular 7:6	S	Telephonic 1:14	we're 8:20
8:259:1	particularly 8:7	S4:16	2:14	WHEREOF 12:19
Master 1:152:153:3	parties 8:5 9:2	San 3:4	terms 8:1	WITNESS 12:19
6:18,227:14,209:4	party 12:18	satisfactory 9:18	testifying 12:7	witnesses 12:6
9:15,17 10:6,16,25	people 7:1 10:9	saying 8:16	testimony 12:11	work 10:311:1,12
11:6	period 8:12	schedule 8:24	tgoldstein@aking	wouldn't 9:6
MICHAEL 3:14 5:9	pertains 12:12	scheduled 10:11,14	4:18	
mid 11:2	phase 10:20	Scott 3: 14 7: 18	thing 6:25 9:5	1
Mike 6:9,16	place 7:25 12:5	second 10:7	things 7:69:18	10003:10
Mission 3:4	Plaintiff 1:52:5	see 7:28:23	think 6:23 7:23 8:5	1053201:23
moment8:21	point 8:15	Seitz 4:46:20,20	8:14,20,259:5,7	106021:222:18
month 10:22	pose 8:8	9:20,20	10:1,21	12:25
months 11:5	Post 3:10	Senior 5:9	Thirteenth 5:5	11:002:156:2
moving 10:20	present 8:24	sense 9:23 11:10	THOMAS4:15	11:092:166:2
MUNGER3:3	presented 9: 11	set 7:1610:22 12:5	thought 7:23 9:7,8	1143:22
Myles 1:152:153:3	pretty 10:7	setting 7:4,22	Thursday 1:162:16	115204:12
6:18,19,22 7:14,20	prior 12:6	Sheedy 4:10,10 6:21	6:1	115493:10
9:4,15,17 10:6,16	proceeding 8:20 9:3	short 7:15	time 9:25 11:2 12:5	13334:17
10:25 11:6	proceedings 12:4,6	shortest 10:10	today 10:10	1381:62:6
	12:8,14	shorthand 2:17 12:1	today 10.10 today's 7:11	15014:5
N	PROJECT 4:9	12:8	TODD 3:13	161:162:166:1
name 12:20	proposal 8:19,24	SIDLEY 4:4	TOLLES 3:3	16153:15
necessary 9:12	propose 10:25 11:2	sooner 7:24	Tom 6:149:22	
10:18	11:3	sort 7:21 9:5	transcribed 12:9	2
need 7:24 8:10,14,21	proposed 4:3,8 11:9	Sounds 11:13		24:129:1
10:9,22	put7:25	South 1:4 2:4 3:7,11	transcript 12:10,13 12:15	2004:12
needed 7:3 9:8	P.A4:10	6:9,11 7:10,199:15	transitional 10:20	200045:6
needs 8:7	P.L.L.C 3:13	10:1,25		200054:6
neither 12: 16		Special 1:15 2:14 3:3	Troy 4:156:14 true 12:10	200363:164:17
New4:17	Q	6:18,22 7:14,20 9:4		20091:162:166:1
North 1:7 2:7 3:19	question 7:21 8:3,4	9:15,1710:6,16,25	try 10:3	202.326.79003:16
3:20,234:12,13	8:9 10:7	11:6	Twenty-Seventh	202.637.56005:6
5:106:57:13 8:14	questions 7:8,15 8:8	stage 9:3	3:4 two 7:15 10:19	202.736.82704:6
9:25	•	standpoint 9: 19	two 7.15 10.19	276033:23
number8:169:1,24	R	started 7:21	U	282165:10
N.W 3:154:5,175:5	raise 7:7,10	state 9:18 12:2	undersigned 12:1	282774:13
	Raleigh 3:23	States 8:17	useful 8:6	29211-15493:11
0	read 7:2	step 8:22	UTILITIES 5:8	
obvious 9:5	really 9:6	Strauss 4:14,16	011111111111111111111111111111111111111	4
obviously 7:4	recall 8:15	Street 3:4,10,15,22	V	4003:15
office 3:1011:4	reconvene 11:5	4:5 5:5	Virginia 4:4 6:20	415.512.40003:5
okay 6:19,247:14	record 12:7,10	subparagraph 8:25	9:20	
9:4 11:6	related 8:4	subscribed 12:20	vs 1:62:6	5
OLSON 3:3	relative 12:17	sufficient 9:2	1 1.02.0	51005:10
operation 9:19	report 7:2	suggested 11:3	W	5193:10
order 8:1,11,11,16	reported 1:21 7:3	Suite 3: 15 4: 12	wait 11:8	5555:5
8:259:2,9,19	Reporter2:1712:2	summer 11:2	waiting 8:2	5603:4
original 12:13	requested 12:15	SUPPLY 4:8	want6:257:110:22	
Orlikowski 3:21 6:6	review 12:14	Susan 4: 11 6:21	wanted 7:7	7
O-r-I-i-k-o-w-s-k-i	RIVER 4:8	sworn 12:7	Washington 3:16	78:169:1,24

t

```
APPEARANCES:
                                                                             3
                                                                                     MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
                                                                                     KRISTIN LINSLEY MYLES, SPECIAL MASTER
       SOUTH CAROLINA,
                                                                             4
                                                                                     560 Mission Street, Twenty-Seventh Roor
                     Plaintiff
                                                                                     San Francisco, California 94105-2907
                                                 No. 138
                                                                                     415.512,4000
       NORTH CAROLINA,
                                                                             6
                    Defendant
                                                                                 For SOUTII CAROLINA:
                                                                                     ASSISTANT DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
                                                                             8
                                                                                     BY: ROBERT D, COOK
                                                                             9
                                                                                       T. PARKIN HUNTER
                                                                                       L CHILDS CANTEY
                                                                            10
                                                                                     Post Office Box 11549
                                                                                     1000 Assembly Street, Room 519
                           TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE
                                                                                     Columbia, South Carolina 29211-1549
             BEFORE SPECIAL MASTER KRISTIN LINSLEY MYLES
                                                                                     803.734.3736
                        Thursday, April 16, 2009
                                                                            12
                                                                                     agrcook@ag.state.sc.us
                                                                                     KELLOGG, HUBER, HANSEN, TODD, EVANS & FIGEL, P.L.L.C.
                                                                                     BY: DAVID C. FREDERICK
                                                                            14
                                                                                       MICHAEL GOTTLIER
                                                                                       SCOTT K. ATTAWAY
                                                                            15
      Reported by:
                                                                                     Attorneys at Law
                                                                                     1615 M Street, N.W., Suite 400
      DANA M. FREED
                                                                            16
                                                                                     Washington, D.C. 20036
      CSR No. 10602
                                                                                     202.326.7900
      JOB No. 105320
                                                                            17
                                                                                     dfrederick@khhte.com
                                                                            18
                                                                            19
                                                                                 For NORTH CAROLINA:
                                                                            20
                                                                                     NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
                                                                                     BY: CHRISTOPHER G. BROWNING
                                                                            21
                                                                                       JAMES C. GULICK
                                                                                       CARRIEANNE ORLIKOWSKI
                                                                            22
                                                                                     Attorneys at Law
                                                                                     114 West Edenton Street
                                                                            23
                                                                                     Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
                                                                                     919.716.6900
                                                                                     cbrowning@ncdoj.gov
                                                                            25
                                                                 Page 1
                                                                                                                                             Page 3
 1
                                                                                 APPEARANCES: (Continued)
                                                                             2
2
                                                                             3
                                                                                 For PROPOSED INTERVENOR DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC:
                                                                             4
                                                                                     SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
3
                                                                                     BY: VIRGINIA A. SEITZ
4
      SOUTH CAROLINA,
                                                                             5
                                                                                     Attorney at Law
                                                                                      1501 K Street, N.W.
 5
            Plaintiff.
                                                                             6
                                                                                      Washington, D.C. 20005
 6
                           No. 138
                                                                                     202.736.8270
                                                                             7
                                                                                     cphillips@sidley.com
7
     NORTH CAROLINA,
                                                                             8
8
            Defendants.
                                                                                 For PROPOSED INTERVENOR CATAWBA RIVER WATER SUPPLY
                                                                                 PROJECT:
9
                                                                            10
                                                                                     DRISCOLL SHEEDY, P.A.
11
                                                                                     BY: JIM SHEEDY
                                                                            11
                                                                                       SUSAN DRISCOLL
12
                                                                                     Attorneys at Law
                                                                            12
13
                                                                                      11520 North Community House Road
                                                                                     Building 2, Suite 200
14
             Telephonic Conference before Special
                                                                            13
                                                                                     Charlotte, North Carolina 28277
                                                                                     jimsheedy@driscollsheedy.com
15
     Master Kristin Linsley Myles, beginning at 11:00 a.m.
                                                                            14
16
     and ending at II:09 a.m. on Thursday, April 16, 2009,
                                                                                     AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP
                                                                            15
                                                                                     BY: TROY CAHILL
17
     before DANA M. FREED, Certified Shorthand Reporter
                                                                                       THOMAS G. GOLDSTEIN
18
     No. 10602.
                                                                                     Attorneys at Law
                                                                                     Robert S. Strauss Building
19
                                                                            17
                                                                                      1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
20
                                                                                      Washington, DC 20036
                                                                            18
                                                                                     tgoldstein@akingump.com
21
                                                                            19
22
                                                                            20
                                                                            21
23
                                                                            22
24
                                                                            23
                                                                            24
25
                                                                            25
                                                                 Page 2
                                                                                                                                             Page 4
```

KEV	TSED TELEI	PHONIC C	ONI	-ERENCE 04/16/
1 2 3 4	APPEARANCES: (Continued) For the CITY OF CHARLOTTE: HOGAN & HARTSON LLP		1 2 3	people have anything they want to add or amplify to what was in the report which I read. I see there's not a lot going on that needed to be reported, other
5	BY: JAMES T. BANKS Attorney at Law		4 5	than obviously the Court setting the intervention issue for argument in the fall. So are there
	555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.		6	things are there particular issues that anybody
6	Washington, D.C. 20004 202.637.5600		7	wanted to raise on the call? And then I just had
7	jtbanks@hhlaw.eom		8	a couple of additional questions.
8	·		9	MR. FREDERICK: This is David Frederick for
9	CHARLOTTE-MECKLENBURG UTILITIES BY: H. MICHAEL BOYD	1	10	South Carolina. We do not have any issues to raise on
,	Senior Assistant City Attorney		11	today's call.
10	5100 Brookshire Boulevard	1	12	MR. BROWNING: This is Chris Browning for
11	Charlotte, North Carolina 28216 704.391.5110	1	13	North Carolina. We have no additional issues.
11	Hmboyd@cLcharlotte.nc.us	1	14	SPECIAL MASTER MYLES: Okay. Then it may
12	•	1	15	a very short call. My only two followup questions
13 14		1	16	were, one, now in light of the argument being set
15		1	17	for someone just joined.
16		1	18	MR. ATTAWAY: Hi, this is Scott Attaway,
17			19	South Carolina.
18 19			20	SPECIAL MASTER MYLES: Hi, Mr. Attaway. V
20			21	have sort of gotten started. My question was whether
21			22	in light of the Court setting the argument for the
22 23			23	fall, rather than I think we had thought it might be
24			24	done sooner, are there any adjustments that need to be
25		Page 5	25	made to any of the arrangements that we put in place? Page
1	Thursday, April 16, 2000		1	The interim order that I drew in terms of while we
1 2	Thursday, April 16, 2009 11:00 a.m 11:09 a.ill.		1 2	were waiting for the Court to decide the issue.
3	11.00 a.m 11.09 a.m.		3	That's one question.
4	MR. BROWNING: Chris Browning for		4	And the related question is, what, if
5	North Carolina. With me are Jim Gulick and		5	anything, do the parties anticipate or think would be
6	Carrieanne Orlikowski, C-a-r-r-i-e-a-n-n-e,		6	useful from me in the meantime. And there may be
7	O-r-l-i-k-o-w-s-k-i.		7	nothing that particularly needs to be done. But I
8	MR. FREDERICK: This is David Frederick	for	8	just pose both of those questions.
9	South Carolina. And with me here is Mike Gottlie		9	I guess the more concrete question is do we
10	MR. COOK: Bob Cook, Parkin Hunter, and		0	need to do anything to the interim not the interim
11	Childs Cantey for South Carolina.		11	order, but whatever we call it, the order governing
12	MR. GULICK: This is Jim Banks for the Cit	ty 1	12	this interim period.
13	of Charlotte.	1	13	MR. BROWNING: Your Honor, this is Chris Browni
14	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Tom Goldstein and Tro	y Cahill 1	14	for North Carolina. I don't think there's a need to
15	forCRWSP.	1	15	change anything at this point. If you recall in case
16	MR. BOYD: Mike Boyd. Also City of	1	16	management order number 7, you conclude by saying that
17	Charlotte.		17	if there are any adjustments, basically, the States
18	SPECIAL MASTER MYLES: Is that everyb	•	8	and the intervenors will cooperate, discuss the issue,
19	This is Kristin Myles. Okay. I guess I had		9	and then effectively come back with you to a proposal.
20	MS. SEITZ: Virginia Seitz.		20	I think we're all proceeding with discovery
21	MS. DRISCOLL: Susan Driscoll and Jim Sh	-	21	for the moment. And if there is a need to adjust,
22	SPECIAL MASTER MYLES: Well, Ijumpo		22	I would envision the most appropriate step would be
23	gun. But now I think everybody's on. Does that se		23	we'll all talk, see if we can't come up with a fair
24	correct? Okay. Well, why don't we just go ahead.		24	schedule or proposal and present that to you. And
, -	The tiret thing I went to eak is whether	1.0) E	I think cubnaragraph Hat case management order

The first thing I want to ask is whether

25

REVISED

Page 6

I think subparagraph F of case management order

Page 8

number 7, in paragraph 2 of that case management order, is certainly sufficient to guide the parties at this stage of the proceeding.

SPECIAL MASTER MYLES: Okay. The most obvious thing, I think we sort of left on the assumption that the issue wouldn't really arise, was the depositions, which I think we thought would create more issues than we thought needed to be dealt with in that order. And I assume that's still going to be the case, that there won't be deposition issues that arise in the meantime. If there are, they can be presented through that paragraph F, if necessary, you know, to me.

MR. FREDERICK: This is David Frederick for South Carolina. Special Master Myles, that seems entirely fair to us.

SPECIAL MASTER MYLES: And what about the intervenors? Are things in the satisfactory state from the standpoint of the operation of that order?

MS. SEITZ: This is Virginia Seitz for Duke Energy. Yes, likewise.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: This is Tom Goldstein for CRWSP. Our current sense is that the document discovery that is allowed by CMO number 7 will take up a lot of this time. And as North Carolina said, and

Page 9

that we either agree to work among ourselves to come up with a date some time in the mid summer and propose that, or propose some suggested dates to you or your office. And then to have, you know, a couple of months of continued discovery before we reconvene.

SPECIAL MASTER MYLES: Is everybody okay with that? Or not hearing any dissent from that, why don't we do that? I'll wait to hear from you then on a proposed date. And we can communicate, why don't we communicate by email? Does that make sense, Mr. Frederick, for you to come back to me with email? We can just work that out by email.

Sounds good. If there is nothing else, then why don't we just adjourn for the day.

1 2

Page 11

South Carolina I think agrees, if some issue comes up before the, before the Court rules, then we can always try and work it out ourselves if not come back to you.

MR. BANKS: This is Jim Banks for Charlotte. We agree with that.

SPECIAL MASTER MYLES: Well, great. Well, that pretty much answers my second question then about whether there was anything, any way in which, you know, people need me. So I guess we have the shortest call ever today. But we have -- do we have another call scheduled? I don't have my calendar in front of me.

MR. BROWNING: Your Honor, there is not another call currently scheduled. This is Chris Browning.

SPECIAL MASTER MYLES: Well, I leave it to your all collective judgment on when the next call would be necessary. We haven't had much to cover in the last two calls, just because we have been in this transitional phase and you all have been moving ahead with discovery without a hitch. So do we think we need another call in a month? Do we want to set it out longer than that?

MR_EREDERICK: This is David Erederick for

 MR. FREDERICK: This is David Frederick for
 South Carolina, Special Master Myles. I would propose Page 10 I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place herein set forth; that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that a record of the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony given.

Further, that if the foregoing pertains to the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript [] was [] was not requested.

I further certify that I am neither financially interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party to this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed my name.

Dated:

DANA FREED CSR No. 10602

Page 12

Α	С	Court 7:4,22 8:2 10:2		Hi 7:18,20
action 12:17,18	C3:13,21	cover 10:18	EVANS 3:13	hitch 10:21
add 7:1	Cahill 4:15 6:14	cphillips@sidley.c.••	everybody 6:1811:6	Hmboyd@ci.charl.••
additional 7:8,13	calendar 10:11	4:7	everybody's 6:23	5:11
adjourn 11:14	California 3:4 12:2	create 9:7	F	HOGAN 5:4
adjust 8:21	call 7:7,11,15 8:11	CRWSP 6:159:23	F	Honor 8:13 10:13
adjustments 7:24	10: 10,11,14,17,22	CSR 1:22 12:25	F8:259:12	House4:12
8:17	calls 10:19	current 9:23	fair 8:239:16	HUBER3:13
agrcook@ag.stat•	Cantey 3:96:11	currently 10:14	fall 7:5,23	Hunter3:96:10
3:12	Carolina 1:4,72:4,7	C-a-r-r-i-e-a-n-n-e	Federal 12:13	
agree 10:5 11:1	3:7,11,19,20,23	6:6	FELD 4:14	1
agrees 10:1	4:135:106:5,9,11	B	FIGEL 3:13	interested 12:17
ahead 6:24 10:20	7:10,13,198:14	D	financially 12:17	interim 8:1,10,10,12
AKIN 4:14	9:15,25 10:1,25	D3:8	first 6:25	INTERVENOR 4:3,8
allowed 9:24	CAROLINAS 4:3	DANA 1:212:17	Floor 3:4	intervenors 8: 18
amplify 7:1	Carrieanne 3:21 6:6	12:24	followup 7:15	9:18
answers 10:7	case 8:15,259:1,10	date 11:2,9 12:19	foregoing 12:4,6,10	intervention 7:4
anticipate 8:5	12:14	Dated 12:22	12:12	issue 7:5 8:2,18 9:6
anybody 7:6	CATAWBA 4:8	dates 11:3	forth 12:5	10:1
APPEARANCES 3:1	cbrowning@ncdo	David 3:13 6:8 7:9	Francisco 3:4	issues 7:6,10,13 9:8
4:15:1	3:24	9:14 10:24	Frederick 3:13 6:8,8	9:10
appropriate 8:22	certainly 9:2	day 11:14	7:9,99:14,1410:24	
April 1:16 2:16 6:1	Certified 2:17 12:1	DC4:17	10:2411:11	JAMES 2:24 F.4
argument 7:5,16,22	certify 12:3,16	dealt 9:8	FREED 1:21 2:17	JAMES 3:21 5:4
arrangements 7:25	change 8:15	decide 8:2	12:24	Jim 4:106:5,12,21
Assembly 3:10	Charlotte 4:13 5:3	Defendant 1:8	front 10:12	10:4
Assistant 3:8 5:9	5:106:13,17 10:4	Defendants 2:8	further 12:12,16	jimsheedy@drisc
assume 9:9	CHARLOTTE-MEC •••	DEPARTMENT 3:20	G	4:13 JOB 1:23
assumption 9:6	5:8	deposition 9:10	G 3:20 4:15	joined 7:17
Attaway 3:14 7:18	Childs 3:96:11	12:13	GENERAL 3:8	jtbanks@hhlaw.c•
7:18,20	Chris 6:4 7: 12 8: 13	depositions 9:7 DEPUTY 3:8	given 12:11	5:7
attorney 3:84:5 5:5	10:15	dfrederick@khht•••	g06:24	judgment 10:17
5:9 12:18	CHRISTOPHER 3:20	3:17	going 7:3 9:9	jumped 6:22
Attorneys 3: 15,22	City 5:3,96:12,16	direction 12:9	Goldstein 4:156:14	JUSTICE 3:20
4:11,16	CM09:24	discovery 8:20 9:24	6: 14 9:22,22	303 FIGE 3.20
AUSTIN 4:4	collective 10:17	10:2111:5	good 11:13	K
Avenue 4:17	Columbia 3:11	discuss 8:18	gotten 7:21	K3:144:5
a.m 2:15,166:2,2	come 8:19,23 10:3	dissent 11:7	Gottlieb 3:14 6:9	KELLOGG 3:13
В	11:1,11	document 9:23	governing 8:11	know9:12 10:9 11:4
	comes 10:1	drew 8:1	great 10:6	Kristin 1:15 2:15 3:3
back 8:19 10:3 11:11	communicate 11:9	Driscoll 4:10,11 6:21	guess 6:198:910:9	6:19
Banks 5:4 6:1210:4	11:10	6:21	guide9:2	
10:4	Community 4: 12	Duke 4:3 9:21	Gulick 3:21 6:5,12	L
basically 8:17	completion 12:14	duly 12:7	GUMP4:14	L3:9
beginning 2:15	conclude 8: 16	D.C 3:164:65:6	gun 6:23	Law 3:15,224:5,11
Bob 6:10	concrete 8:9	2.0 0.101.00.0	3	4:165:5
Boulevard 5:10	Conference 1:14	E	Н	leave 10:16
Box 3:10	2:14	Edenton 3:22	H 5:9	left 9:5
Boyd 5:96:16,16	continued 4:1 5:1	effectively 8: 19	Hampshire 4:17	light 7:16,22
Brookshire 5:10	11:5	either 11:1	HANSEN 3:13	likewise 9:21
Browning 3:20 6:4,4	Cook 3:86:10,10	email 11:10,11,12	HARTSON 5:4	Linsley 1:152:153:3
7:12,128:13,13 10:13,15	cooperate 8:18	employee 12:18	HAUER4:14	LLC4:3
Building 4: 12,16	correct 6:24	Energy 4:3 9:21	hear 11:8	LLP 3:34:4,145:4
Dunuing 4. 12, 10	couple 7:8 11:4	entirely 9: 16	hearing 11:7	longer 10:23

lot7:39:25	6:7	Road 4:12	Т	4:6,17 5:6
	-	Robert 3:8 4:16	T3:95:4	WATER 4:8
M	Р	Room 3:10	take 9:24	way 10:8
M 1:212:173:15	paragraph 9: 1,12	rules 10:2	take 9.24	West 3:22
machine 12:8	Parkin 3:96:10		talk 8:23	we'll 8:23
management 8: 16	particular 7:6	S		we're 8:20
8:259:1	particularly 8:7	S4:16	Telephonic 1:14 2:14	WHEREOF 12: 19
Master 1:152:153:3	parties 8:59:2	San 3:4	terms 8:1	WITNESS 12:19
6:18,227:14,209:4	party 12:18	satisfactory 9: 18		witnesses 12:6
9: 15, 17 10:6,16,25	people 7:110:9	saying 8:16	testifying 12:7	work 10:3 11:1,12
11:6	period 8:12	schedule 8:24	testimony 12:11	wouldn't 9:6
MICHAEL 3:145:9	pertains 12:12	scheduled 10:11,14	tgoldstein@aking	Wodian to.o
mid 11:2	phase 10:20	Scott 3:147:18	4:18	1
Mike 6:9,16	place 7:25 12:5	second 10:7	thing 6:25 9:5	10003:10
Mission 3:4	Plaintiff 1:5 2:5	see 7:28:23	things 7:69:18	1053201:23
moment 8:21	point 8: 15	Seitz 4:4 6:20,20	think 6:23 7:23 8:5	106021:222:18
month 10:22	pose 8:8	9:20,20	8:14,20,259:5,7	12:25
months 11:5	Post3:10	Senior 5:9	10:1,21	11:002:15 6:2
moving 10:20	present 8:24	sense 9:23 11:10	Thirteenth 5:5	11:092:166:2
MUNGER3:3	presented 9: 11	set 7:16 10:22 12:5	THOMAS 4:15	1143:22
Myles 1:15 2:15 3:3	pretty 10:7	setting 7:4,22	thought 7:23 9:7,8	115204:12
6: 18, 19,22 7: 14,20	prior 12:6	Sheedy 4:10,106:21	Thursday 1:162:16	115493:10
9:4,15,17 10:6,16	proceeding 8:20 9:3	short 7:15	6:1	13334:17
10:25 11:6	proceedings 12:4,6	shortest 10:10	time 9:25 11:2 12:5	1381:62:6
10.20 11.0	12:8,14	shorthand 2:17 12:1	today 10:10	15014:5
N	PROJECT 4:9	12:8	today's 7:11	161:162:166:1
name 12:20	proposal 8:19,24	SIDLEY 4:4	TODD 3:13	16153:15
necessary 9:12	propose 10:25 11:2	sooner 7:24	TOLLES 3:3	13133113
10:18	11:3	sort 7:219:5	Tom 6: 14 9:22	2
need 7:24 8:10,14,21	proposed 4:3,8 11:9	Sounds 11:13	transcribed 12:9	24:129:1
10:9,22	put7:25	South 1:4 2:4 3:7,11	transcript 12:10,13	2004:12
needed 7:3 9:8	P.A4:10	6:9,11 7:10,199:15	12:15	200045:6
needs 8:7	P.L.L.C 3:13	10:1,25	transitional 10:20	200054:6
neither 12:16		Special 1:15 2:14 3:3	Troy 4:15 6:14	200363:164:17
New4:17	Q	6:18,227:14,209:4	true 12:10	20091:162:166:1
North 1:7 2:7 3:19	question 7:21 8:3,4	9:15,17 10:6,16,25	try 10:3	202.326.79003:16
3:20,234:12,13	8:9 10:7	11:6	Twenty-Seventh	202.637.56005:6
5:10 6:5 7:13 8:14	questions 7:8,158:8	stage 9:3	3:4	202.736.82704:6
9:25	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	standpoint 9:19	two 7: 15 10: 19	276033:23
number8:169:1,24	R	started 7:21	U	282165:10
N.W 3:154:5,17 5:5	raise 7:7,10	state 9: 18 12:2	undersigned 12:1	282774:13
	Raleigh 3:23	States 8:17	useful 8:6	29211-15493:11
0	read 7:2	step 8:22	UTILITIES 5:8	
obvious 9:5	really 9:6	Strauss 4:14,16	011111111111111111111111111111111111111	4
obviously 7:4	recall 8:15	Street 3:4,10,15,22	V	4003:15
office 3:10 11:4	reconvene 11:5	4:5 5:5	Virginia 4:46:20	415.512.40003:5
okay 6:19,247:14	record 12:7,10	subparagraph 8:25	9:20	
9:4 11:6	related 8:4	subscribed 12:20	vs 1:62:6	5
OLSON 3:3	relative 12:17	sufficient 9:2		51005:10
operation 9:19	report 7:2	suggested 11:3	W	5193:10
order 8: 1,11,11,16	reported 1:21 7:3	Suite 3:154:12	wait 11:8	5555:5
8:259:2,9,19	Reporter2:1712:2	summer 11:2	waiting 8:2	5603:4
original 12:13	requested 12:15	SUPPLY 4:8	want6:257:110:22	
Orlikowski 3:21 6:6	review 12:14	Susan 4:11 6:21	wanted 7:7	7
O-r-l-i-k-o-w-s-k-i	RIVER4:8	sworn 12:7	Washington 3: 16	78:169:1,24

h			
704.391.51105:11			
8			
803.734.37363:11			
9			
919.716.69003:23			
94105-29073:4			
	l l		