## (ORDER LIST: 564 U.S.)

## TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 27, 2011

## ORDERS IN PENDING CASES

- 09-958 ) DOUGLAS, TOBY V. INDEP. LIVING CENTER OF S. CA
- 09-1158 ) DOUGLAS, TOBY V. CA PHARMACISTS ASSN., ET AL.
- 10-283 ) DOUGLAS, TOBY V. SANTA ROSA MEMORIAL HOSPITAL

The motion of the Solicitor General for leave to participate in oral argument as *amicus curiae* and for divided argument is granted.

10-507 PACIFIC OPERATORS OFFSHORE V. VALLADOLID, LUISA L., ET AL.

10-553 HOSANNA-TABOR CHURCH V. EEOC, ET AL.

The motions of the Solicitor General for divided argument are granted.

10-945 FLORENCE, ALBERT W. V. BOARD OF CHOSEN FREEHOLDERS, ETC., ET AL. The motion of the Solicitor General for leave to participate in oral argument as *amicus curiae* and for divided argument is granted. The motion of respondents for divided argument is denied.

10-1001 MARTINEZ, LUIS M. V. RYAN, DIR., AZ DOC

The motion of the Solicitor General for leave to participate in oral argument as *amicus curiae* and for divided argument is granted.

10-1024 FAA, ET AL. V. COOPER, STANMORE C.

The motion of the Solicitor General to dispense with printing the joint appendix is granted. Justice Kagan took no part in the consideration or decision of this motion.

1

## **CERTIORARI GRANTED**

- 10-1018 FILARSKY, STEVE A. V. DELIA, NICHOLAS B.
- 10-1211 VARTELAS, PANAGIS V. HOLDER, ATT'Y GEN.

The petitions for writs of certiorari are granted.

10-1399 ROBERTS, DANA V. SEA-LAND SERVICES, ET AL.

The petition for a writ of certiorari is granted limited to Question 1 presented by the petition.

10-1472 TANIGUCHI, KOUICHI V. KAN PACIFIC SAIPAN, LTD.

The petition for a writ of certiorari is granted.

- 10-1542 ) HOLDER, ATT'Y GEN. V. GUTIERREZ, CARLOS M.
- 10-1543 ) HOLDER, ATT'Y GEN. V. SAWYERS, DAMIEN A.

The petitions for writs of certiorari are granted. The cases are consolidated and a total of one hour is allotted for oral argument.

10-9995 WOOD, PATRICK V. MILYARD, WARDEN, ET AL.

The motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma* pauperis is granted. The petition for a writ of certiorari is granted limited to the following questions: 1) Does an appellate court have the authority to raise *sua sponte* a 28 U.S.C. §2244(d) statute of limitations defense? 2) Does the State's declaration before the district court that it "will not challenge, but [is] not conceding, the timeliness of Wood's habeas petition," amount to a deliberate waiver of any statute of limitations defense the State may have had?

11-139 UNITED STATES V. HOME CONCRETE & SUPPLY, ET AL.

The petition for a writ of certiorari is granted.

2