#### IN THE

### Supreme Court of the United States

#### STEPHEN THALER

Petitioner,

v.

SHIRA PERLMUTTER, REGISTER OF COPYRIGHTS AND DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICE, ET AL., Respondents.

## APPLICATION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN MATTER 1:22-cv-01564-BAH (D.D.C.) / 23-5233 (D.C. CIR.)

Application To the Honorable John Roberts, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States, and Circuit Justice for the District of Columbia Circuit

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 13.5, Applicant Stephen Thaler requests that the time to file its petition for a writ of certiorari be extended for 60 days, up to and including Thursday, October 9, 2025.

1. The decision below is *Thaler v. Perlmutter et al.*, No. Case No: 23-5233 (D.C. Cir. 2025). The D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals issued its opinion on March 18, 2025 (**Exhibit B**) and denied rehearing on May 12, 2025 (**Exhibit A**). Absent an extension of time, the petition would be due on August 10, 2025. The jurisdiction of this Court is based on 28 U.S.C. 1254(1). Applicant is filing this application at least ten days before that date. *See* S. Ct. R. 13.5. This Court's jurisdiction would be

invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1). The United States Government does not object to or oppose this extension request.

- 2. An extension is warranted because this case presents novel, complex, and fundamental issues of copyright law, including the D.C. Circuit's interpretation of Congress' authorship requirement enshrined in the Copyright Act and its application to new technologies. Specifically, this case arises from the D.C. Circuit's denial of Dr. Thaler's copyright registration for a creative work generated by an artificial intelligence (AI) system he developed, owned, and used, holding that AI output is categorically ineligible for copyright protection. The questions presented in Dr. Thaler's petition will have a significant impact on Congress's carefully balanced scheme for protecting the public interest in promoting the generation and dissemination of creative works and ensuring the United States' continued international leadership in the protection of intellectual property. An extension of time will help to ensure that the petition effectively presents the important issues raised by this complex case.
- 3. Good cause exists for granting this Request. Counsel for the Applicant has substantial professional obligations that will interfere with his availability.

  Commitments of counsel of record during the relevant time period include:
- An appeal hearing in Thaler v. Comptroller General, CH-2024-000239 scheduled in London, England July 23-35, 2025;
- Trial scheduled July 31-Aug 13 for JUSTIN YU v. Rari Capital Infrastructure,
   et al., Case No. 22SMCV01367;

Travel to Brazil scheduled August 15–24 for professional speaking including

at the ABPI intentional congress https://2025congresso.abpi.org.br/en/home/

and a lecture to the São Paulo Institute of Attorneys (IASP);

Travel to Singapore and Japan scheduled August 25 - September 2 for

professional speaking including at the Global Forum on Intellectual Property

https://www.ipweek2025.sg/gfip.html;

Obligations as a mediator and arbitrator with JAMS for numerous confidential

matters; and

Obligations as a Professor of Law and Health Sciences at University of Surrey

School of Law and Adjunct Associate Professor of Medicine at the David Geffen

School of Medicine at University of California Los Angeles.

4. For the foregoing reasons, Applicant hereby requests that an extension of

time be granted, up to and including October 9, 2025, within which to file a petition

for writ of certiorari. The requested 60-day extension would cause no prejudice to

Respondents, who have advised that they have no objection to the extension.

/s/Ryan Abbott

Ryan Abbott

Brown, Neri, Smith & Khan, LLP

11601 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 2080 Los Angeles, CA 90025

(310) 593-9890

ryan@bnsklaw.com

Dated: July 17, 2025

3

#### IN THE

## Supreme Court of the United States

#### STEPHEN THALER

Petitioner,

v.

SHIRA PERLMUTTER, REGISTER OF COPYRIGHTS AND DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICE, ET AL., Respondents.

# APPLICATION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN MATTER 1:22-CV-01564-BAH (D.D.C.) / 23-5233 (D.C. CIR.)

Application to the Honorable John G. Roberts, Jr., as Circuit Justice for the Federal Circuit

I, Ryan Abbott, hereby certify that on this 17th day of July, 2025, one copy of the APPLICATION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN MATTER 1:22-cv-01564-BAH (D.D.C.) / 23-5233 (D.C. CIR.) was sent via FedEx and via e-mail, to:

Of Counsel:
SUZANNE V. WILSON
General Counsel and Associate Register of Copyrights
EMILY L. CHAPUIS
Deputy General Counsel
ANDREW FOGLIA
Deputy Director of Policy and
International Affairs
MARK T. GRAY
JOHN R. RILEY Assistant General Counsel
U.S. Copyright Office

BRIAN M. BOYNTON
Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General
DANIEL TENNY
NICHOLAS S. CROWN

Attorneys, Appellate Staff Civil Division, Room 7325 U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20530 All parties required to be served have been served.

### /s/Ryan Abbott

Ryan Abbott BROWN, NERI, SMITH & KHAN, LLP 11601 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 2080 Los Angeles, CA 90025 (310) 593-9890 ryan@bnsklaw.com

Dated: July 17, 2025