

EXHIBIT A

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

SUPREME COURT

In Case No. 2024-0369, Mary Feeney v. Karyn Kelley; Karyn Kelley & a. v. Mary Feeney & a., the court on April 24, 2025, issued the following order:

Supreme Court Rule 22(2) provides that a party filing a motion for rehearing or reconsideration shall state with particularity the points of law or fact that the party claims the court has overlooked or misapprehended.

We have reviewed the claims made in the motion to reconsider and conclude that no points of law or fact were overlooked or misapprehended in our decision. Accordingly, upon reconsideration, we affirm our March 25, 2025 decision and deny the relief requested in the motion.

Relief requested in motion to reconsider denied.

MacDonald, C.J., and Bassett, Donovan, and Countway, JJ., concurred.

**Timothy A. Gudas,
Clerk**

Distribution:

Hillsborough County Superior Court North, 216-2010-EQ-00193

Honorable David A. Anderson

✓ Karyn Kelley

Seth J. Hipple, Esq.

John F. Bisson, Esq.

Charles A. Russell, Esq.

Giovanni Verani

Timothy E. Britain, Esq.

Jacob M. Rhodes, Esq.

Michael R. Fcniger, Esq.

William J. Amann, Esq.

Charles Kirk

Sherri L. Miscio, Supreme Court

File

EXHIBIT B

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

SUPREME COURT

In Case No. 2024-0369, Mary Feeney v. Karyn Kelley; Karyn Kelley & a. v. Mary Feeney & a., the court on March 25, 2025, issued the following order:

The court has reviewed the written arguments and the record submitted on appeal, and has determined to resolve the case by way of this order. See Sup. Ct. R. 20(3). Karyn Kelley, individually and as trustee of The Karyn M. Kelley Revocable Trust Agreement of July 13, 2016, appeals orders of the Superior Court (Anderson, J.) that, among other things, dismissed her action to quiet title to certain property previously partitioned and sold pursuant to final orders affirmed by this court, and ordered sanctions and injunctive relief against her. As the appealing party, Karyn Kelley has the burden of demonstrating reversible error. Gallo v. Traina, 166 N.H. 737, 740 (2014). Based upon our review of the trial court's orders, Karyn Kelley's challenges to them, the relevant law, and the record submitted on appeal, we conclude that Karyn Kelley has not demonstrated reversible error and affirm the court's decisions. See id.; Sup. Ct. R. 25(8).

The request in the brief filed by Mary Feeney that we issue "Orders of Contempt" is denied. This order is without prejudice to any of the appellees filing a properly-supported motion, in accordance with Supreme Court Rule 23, requesting an award of reasonable costs and attorney's fees incurred in defending this appeal.

Affirmed.

MacDonald, C.J., and Bassett, Donovan, and Countway, JJ., concurred.

**Timothy A. Gudas,
Clerk**

Distribution:

Hillsborough County Superior Court North, 216-2010-EQ-00193

~~Honorable David A. Anderson~~

✓ Karyn Kelley

Daniel C. Proctor, Esq.

John F. Bisson, Esq.

Charles A. Russell, Esq.

Giovanni Verani

Timothy E. Britain, Esq.

Jacob M. Rhodes, Esq.

Michael R. Feniger, Esq.

William J. Amann, Esq.

Charles Kirk

Francis C. Fredericks, Supreme Court

Sherri L. Miscio, Supreme Court

File