

APPENDIX

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

APPENDIX - OPINION OF THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT, FILED APR. 3, 2025.....	1
APPENDIX - ORDER OF THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT DENYING REHEARING, FILED MAY 9, 2025	3

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 24-1996

Bret Healy

Plaintiff - Appellant

Healy Ranch Partnership

Plaintiff

v.

Supreme Court of South Dakota; Healy Ranch Inc.; Mary Ann Osborne; Barry Healy; Bryce Healy; Albert Steven Fox; Larry Mines; Sheila Mines; Janine M. Kern; Mark E. Salter; Jon C. Sogn; Patricia J. Devaney; Scott P. Myren; Steven R. Jensen

Defendants - Appellees

Appeal from United States District Court
for the District of South Dakota - Southern

Submitted: March 27, 2025

Filed: April 3, 2025

[Unpublished]

Before SMITH, SHEPHERD, and GRASZ, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Bret Healy appeals after the district court¹ dismissed his civil action and imposed sanctions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(b)(1).

After careful review of the record, we conclude the dismissal was proper because Claim 1 was barred by the Rooker-Feldman² doctrine; Claims 2, 3, and 4 were barred by res judicata; and Claim 5 was barred by judicial immunity. See Dalton v. NPC Int'l, Inc., 932 F.3d 693, 695 (8th Cir. 2019) (standard of review); see also Waller v. Goose, 38 F.3d 1007, 1008 (8th Cir. 1994) (per curiam) (affirmance permitted on any grounds supported by record). We also conclude the district court did not abuse its discretion in sanctioning Healy. See Ivy v. Kimbrough, 115 F.3d 550, 553 (8th Cir. 1997) (standard of review).

Accordingly, we affirm the judgment.

¹The Honorable Roberto Lange, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the District of South Dakota.

²Rooker v. Fid. Tr. Co., 263 U.S. 413, 416 (1923); D.C. Ct. of Appeals v. Feldman, 460 U.S. 462, 482 (1983).

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

No: 24-1996

Bret Healy

Appellant

Healy Ranch Partnership

v.

Supreme Court of South Dakota, et al.

Appellees

Appeal from U.S. District Court for the District of South Dakota - Southern
(4:23-cv-04118-RAL)

ORDER

The petition for rehearing en banc is denied. The petition for rehearing by the panel is also denied.

May 09, 2025

Order Entered at the Direction of the Court:
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

/s/ Susan E. Bindler