

EXHIBIT A

Court requesting Sheriff to make law library available for defendant for defendant is pro se.
Petitioner believed if he went forward as a pro se defendant that law library would be available.

DEFENDANT Dennis Griffith CAUSE # F44109 DATE 4-20-2011

DEFENDANT HAS BEEN SENTENCED TO _____ YEARS IN THE INSTITUTIONAL DIVISION
TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE

DEFENDANT HAS BEEN SENTENCED TO _____ YEARS IN THE STATE JAIL DIVISION TEXAS
DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE (SUBJECT TO _____ DAYS SHOCK.)

DEFENDANT HAS BEEN SENTENCED TO _____ YEARS IN THE INSTITUTIONAL DIVISION
TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE; PROBATION IS RECOMMENDED FOR THE TERM
(SUBJECT TO S. A. F. P. F.)

DEFENDANT HAS BEEN SENTENCED TO _____ MONTHS/YEARS IN THE STATE
JAIL DIVISION TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE; PROBATION IS
RECOMMENDED FOR _____ MONTHS/YEARS.

DEFENDANT IS PLACED ON DEFERRED ADJUDICATION FOR _____ YEARS
I. D. T. D. C. J. OR STATE JAIL.

DEFENDANT IS SENTENCED TO S A I P BOOT CAMP FOR NO MORE THAN 180 DAYS OR LESS
THAN 75 DAYS PURSUANT TO ART 42.12 SEC. 8.

DEFENDANT HAS BEEN SENTENCED TO SERVE _____ DAYS IN THE COUNTY JAIL TO BEGIN

	OUT TIME	IN TIME		OUT TIME	IN TIME
MONDAY	_____	_____	SATURDAY	_____	_____
TUESDAY	_____	_____	SUNDAY	_____	_____
WEDNESDAY	_____	_____			
THURSDAY	_____	_____			
FRIDAY	_____	_____			

MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION:

Count requests that the
Sheriff's office make the law library
available for the dt. The dt is
Pro Se


WAYNE BRIDWELL
DISTRICT JUDGE - 249TH

DEPUTY CLERK

PROBATION OFFICER

PINK

DISTRICT CLERK



CANARY

EXHIBIT



TRANSPORT OFFICER

A CERTIFIED COPY

RECEIVING OFFICER/JAILER

ATTEST: S. 201 • 2012

DATES

WHITE DAVID R. LLOYD

District Clerk Johnson
County, Texas

By: Geary

EXHIBIT B

Information given to pro se defendant during waiver of Counsel Hearing stating that
Dick Turner was to advise pro se defendant during trial (only).

FORM REVISED ON 7-30-2004

Document 3


EXHIBIT C

I:60 request form sent to jailer seeking access to phone, the courts, witnesses and legal advisor, legal material/law library

Copy 7

Time: 2:45 pm

Received 5-7-2011


		Community Education Centers Secure Facilities Division	
Inmate/Detainee Request for Services			
Inmate/Detainee will complete this section			
Inmate/Detainee Name:	Inmate/Detainee Number:	Housing Location:	Assignment:
Dennis Griffith	080446	GER5	225-2
I am requesting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information or <input type="checkbox"/> Interview for the following reasons:			
I am not getting copies of my request back that I have turned in. I do have copies but would like to have a response. Thank you.			
Date:	Inmate/Detainee Signature:		
5-6-2011	Dennis Griffith		
Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.			
You need copies of exactly what.			
Date:	Staff signature:		
5-7-11			

(they did not return all my request)


Copy 9

Time: 2:45 pm

Received 5-10-2011


		Community Education Centers Secure Facilities Division	
Inmate/Detainee Request for Services			
Inmate/Detainee will complete this section			
Inmate/Detainee Name:	Inmate/Detainee Number:	Housing Location:	Assignment:
Dennis R Griffith	080446	GER5	225-2
I am requesting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information or <input type="checkbox"/> Interview for the following reasons:			
I need to contact Dick Turner (817-558-7000) to ask for a meeting to seek advice about my case. I am representing myself. Thank you. Need to speak as soon as possible to him.			
Date:	Inmate/Detainee Signature:		
5-5-11 (sent)	Dennis Griffith		
Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.			
You were taken to court on 05-06-11 to speak with your attorney.			
Date:	Staff signature:		
05-09-11	[Signature]		

I have paper stating Dick Turner would only advise during trial. He for some reason had to be present for me to look at state evidence and video. The judge was aware I had no help (read letter to judge)

	Community Education Centers Secure Facilities Division		
	Inmate/Detainee Request for Services		
Inmate/Detainee will complete this section			
Inmate/Detainee Name:	Inmate/Detainee Number:	Housing Location:	Assignment:
Dennis Griffith	060446	GEAS	225-2
I am requesting <u>information</u> or <u>interview</u> for the following reasons:			
<p>I need to speak with Mallory S. May III Ph.D & Dr. Benjamin ^{Phone number} (214) 555-0609 or (214) 535 9664 and Dr. Benjamin, Phone Number (940) 453-8195 or (940) 464-0120 and Tony Peeper (469)-337-8541 Need to speak to these people/person as soon as possible pertaining to my trial.</p>			
Date:	Inmate/Detainee Signature:		
5-6-2011	Dennis Griffith		
Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.			
<p>Your attorney appointed to assist you will need to help you with these matters</p>			
Date:	Staff signature:		
05-09-11	[Signature]		

time 4:45 PM
received 5-10-2011

Exhibit C

	Community Education Centers Secure Facilities Division		
	Inmate/Detainee Request for Services		
Inmate/Detainee will complete this section			
Inmate/Detainee Name:	Inmate/Detainee Number:	Housing Location:	Assignment:
Dennis Griffith	080446	GER5	225-2
I am requesting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> information or <input type="checkbox"/> interview for the following reasons:			
I need legal information on filing motions, time limits on indictments time limits in general, information on jury selection, and a legal dictionary.			
Date: 5-7-2011			
Inmate/Detainee Signature: Dennis Griffith			
Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.			
You appointed attorney can help you with legal matters Books can be received through the mail directly from bookstore			
Date: 05-10-11	Staff signature: [Signature]		


	Community Education Centers Secure Facilities Division		
	Inmate/Detainee Request for Services		
Inmate/Detainee will complete this section			
Inmate/Detainee Name:	Inmate/Detainee Number:	Housing Location:	Assignment:
Dennis Griffith	080446	GER5	225-2
I am requesting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> information or <input type="checkbox"/> interview for the following reasons:			
I have turned ²²⁻⁰⁰⁰¹ in a request but have not got a response back. Could you find out why I haven't got a response back.			
Date: 5-7-2011			
Inmate/Detainee Signature: Dennis Griffith			
Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.			
I have answered at least 1/2 dozen requests What is your question?			
Date: 05-10-11	Staff signature: [Signature]		

Exhibit C

SOUTHWEST CORRECTIONS		Inmate/Detainee Request for Services	
Inmate/Detainee will complete this section			
Inmate/Detainee Name:	Inmate/Detainee Number:	Housing Location:	Assignment:
Dennis Griffith	080446	GEARS	225-2
I am requesting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> information or <input type="checkbox"/> interview for the following reasons:			
I need to speak to judge Neil about a hearing. I am representing my self.			
Date: 4-28-11		Inmate/Detainee Signature: Dennis Griffith	
Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.			
You will have to write him a letter. OR have an outside person call			
Date: 5-1-11		Staff signature: S. Manwood	

Copy

LASALLE SOUTHWEST CORRECTIONS		Community Education Centers Secure Facilities Division	
Inmate/Detainee Request for Services			
Inmate/Detainee will complete this section			
Inmate/Detainee Name:	Inmate/Detainee Number:	Housing Location:	Assignment:
Dennis	080446	GEARS	225
I am requesting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> information or <input type="checkbox"/> interview for the following reasons:			
I need access to phone in order to contact Dr Benjamin & Malory May III Phil & Tony Riper. These are people I need to speak with as soon as possible. Thankyou!! I am representing myself. This is pertaining to my case			
Date: 5-5-11		Inmate/Detainee Signature: Dennis Griffith	
Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.			
You can write to those people or have your attorney assist you in those matters			
Date: 05-09-11		Staff signature: Cpt. Brock	

Exhibit C

LA SALLE SOUTH WEST CORRECTIONS		Community Education Centers Secure Facilities Division	
		Inmate/Detainee Request for Services	
Inmate/Detainee will complete this section			
Inmate/Detainee Name:	Inmate/Detainee Number:	Housing Location:	Assignment:
Dennis Griffith	080446	GER5	225-7
I am requesting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> information or <input type="checkbox"/> interview for the following reasons:			
Need to go speak with judge about hearing on motion. Would like to go in the morning ^{to court} house can representing myself			
Date: 4-25-11		Inmate/Detainee Signature: Dennis Griffith	
Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.			
You will have to Request that thru your Attorney			
Date: 04.27.11	Staff signature: C Smith		

COPY

LA SALLE SOUTH WEST CORRECTIONS		Community Education Centers Secure Facilities Division	
		Inmate/Detainee Request for Services	
Inmate/Detainee will complete this section			
Inmate/Detainee Name:	Inmate/Detainee Number:	Housing Location:	Assignment:
Dennis Griffith	080446	GER5	225-7
I am requesting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> information or <input type="checkbox"/> interview for the following reasons:			
Need to Go to Court so I can ask Judge for hearing. Thank You			
Date: 4-26-11		Inmate/Detainee Signature: Dennis Griffith	
Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.			
We dont schedule Court dates they come from the COURT house.			
Date: 04.27.11	Staff signature: C Smith		

Exhibit C
①

LA SALLE SOUTHWEST CORRECTIONS		Community Education Centers Secure Facilities Division	
Inmate/Detainee Request for Services			
Inmate/Detainee will complete this section			
Inmate/Detainee Name:	Inmate/Detainee Number:	Housing Location:	Assignment:
Dennis Griffith	080446	GEAS	225-2
I am requesting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information or <input type="checkbox"/> Interview for the following reasons:			
I would like to go to the law library. Thankyou			
Date: 4-13-11		Inmate/Detainee Signature: Dennis Griffith	
Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.			
We do not HAVE A LAW LIBRARY AVAILABLE FOR COUNTY INMATES NOR DOES JAIL STANDARDS REQUIRE WE HAVE ONE FOR County Inmates			
Date: 4/14/11		Staff signature: Cpl. S. Francis Thankyou	

LA SALLE SOUTHWEST CORRECTIONS		Community Education Centers Secure Facilities Division	
Inmate/Detainee Request for Services			
Inmate/Detainee will complete this section			
Inmate/Detainee Name:	Inmate/Detainee Number:	Housing Location:	Assignment:
Dennis Griffith	080446	GEAS	225-2
I am requesting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information or <input type="checkbox"/> Interview for the following reasons:			
I am requesting to make phone call to Attorney Dick Turner. This is pertaining to my case which I am representing myself			
Date: 4-21-11		Inmate/Detainee Signature: Dennis Griffith	
Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.			
You had a conference with Dick Turner on 4-21-11			
Date: 4-27-11		Staff signature: Cpl. R. R. R.	

Received Back
4-30-11

Inmate/Details Name:	Inmate/Details Number:	Assignment:
Ernie Griffith	080446	0ERS 225-2
I need to use law library to work on my case and I need access to phone to call witnesses & investigator		
Date:	Inmate/Details Signature:	
4-23-11	Ernie Griffith	
Inmate/Details will not write upon this int.		
- relieved - over -		
4-30-11		
Date:	Staff signature:	
4-28-11	R. Sample	

Law library is not available for County Inmate's
 you need to put a request in to your
 investigator that you need to speak with
 and we will contact him to come speak
 with you.

Put Investigator's name

EXHIBIT D

Petitioner was denied access to his DNA expert which denied petitioner any opportunity to challenge testimony of State DNA expert

Exhibit D consist of two articles by DNA experts that could have challenged States DNA expert and could have help establish an alternative to how DNA came to be at crime scene or in or on victims body.

Exhibit D

High-Tech, High-Risk Forensics

by Prof. Osagie K. Obasogie

WHEN THE POLICE ARRIVED LAST November at the ransacked mansion of the millionaire investor Raveesh Kumra, outside of San Jose, California, they found Mr. Kumra had been blindfolded, tied and gagged. The robbers took cash, rare coins and ultimately Mr. Kumra's life; he died at the scene, suffocated by the packaging tape used to stifle his screams. A forensics team found DNA on his fingernails that belonged to an unknown person, presumably one of the assailants. The sample was put into a DNA database and turned up a "hit" – a local man by the name of Lukis Anderson.

Bingo. Mr. Anderson was arrested and charged with murder.

There was one small problem: the 26-year-old Mr. Anderson couldn't have been the culprit. During the night in question, he was at the Santa Clara Valley Medical Center, suffering from severe intoxication.

Yet he spent more than five months in jail with a possible death sentence hanging over his head. Once presented with Mr. Anderson's hospital records, prosecutors struggled to figure out how an innocent man's DNA could have ended up on a murder victim.

Late last month, prosecutors announced what they believe to be the answer: the paramedics who transported Mr. Anderson to the hospital were the very same individuals who responded to the crime scene at the mansion a few hours later. Prosecutors now conclude that at some point, Mr. Anderson's DNA must have been accidentally transferred to Mr. Kumra's body – likely by way of the paramedics' clothing or equipment.

This theory of transference is still under investigation. Nevertheless, the certainty with which prosecutors charged Mr. Anderson with murder highlights the very real injustices that can occur when we place too much faith in DNA forensic technologies.

In the end, Mr. Anderson was lucky. His alibi was rock solid; prosecutors were forced to concede that there must have been some other explanation. It's hard to believe that, out of the growing number of convictions based largely or exclusively on DNA evidence, there haven't been any similar mistakes.

In one famous case of crime scene contamination, German police searched for around 15 years for a serial killer they called the "Phantom of Heilbronn" – an unknown female linked by traces of DNA to six murders across Germany and Austria. In 2009, the police found their "suspect": a worker at a factory that produced the cotton swabs police used in their investigations had been accidentally contaminating them with her own DNA.

Contamination is not the only way DNA forensics can lead to injustice. Consider the frequent claim that it is highly unlikely, if not impossible, for two DNA profiles to match by coincidence. A 2005 audit of Arizona's DNA database showed that, out of some 65,000 profiles, nearly 150 pairs matched at a level typically considered high enough to identify and prosecute suspects. Yet these profiles were clearly from different people.

There are also problems with the way DNA evidence is interpreted and presented to juries. In 2008, John Puckett – a California man in his 70s with a sexual assault record – was accused of a 1972 killing, after a trawl of the state database partially linked his DNA to crime scene evidence. As in the Anderson case, Mr. Puckett was identified and implicated primarily by this evidence. Jurors – told that there was only a one-in-1.1 million chance that this DNA match was pure coincidence – convicted him. He is now serving a life sentence.

But that one-in-1.1 million figure is misleading, according to two different expert committees, one convened by the FBI, the other by the National Research Council. It reflects the chance of a coincidental match in relation to the size of the general population (assuming that the suspect is the only one examined and is not related to the real culprit). Instead of the general population, we should be looking at only the number of profiles in the DNA database. Taking the size of the database into account in Mr. Puckett's case (and, again, assuming the real culprit's profile is not in the database) would have led to a dramatic change in the estimate, to one in three.

One juror was asked whether this figure would have affected the jury's deliberations.

"Of course it would have changed things," he told reporters. "It would have changed a lot of things."

DNA forensics is an invaluable tool for law enforcement. But it is most useful when it corroborates other evidence pointing to a suspect, or when used to determine whether any two individual samples match, like in the exonerations pursued by the Innocence Project.

But when the government gets into the business of warehousing millions of DNA profiles to seek "cold hits" as the primary basis for prosecutions, much more oversight by and accountability to the public is warranted. For far too long, we have allowed the myth of DNA infallibility to chip away at our skepticism of government's prosecutorial power, undoubtedly leading to untold injustices.

In the Anderson case, thankfully, prosecutors acknowledged the obvious: their suspect could not have been in two places at once. But he was dangerously close to being on his way to death row because of that speck of DNA. That one piece of evidence – obtained from a technology with known limitations, and susceptible to human error and prosecutorial misuse – might mistakenly lead to execution at the hands of the state should send chills down every one of our spines. The next Lukis Anderson could be you. Better hope your alibi is as well documented as his. ■

Osagie K. Obasogie, a professor of law at the University of California, Hastings, and a senior fellow at the Center for Genetics and Society, is the author of the forthcoming book "Blinded by Sight: Seeing Race Through the Eyes of the Blind." This article was originally published in the New York Times on July 24, 2013; it is reprinted with permission of the author. For more on the shortcomings of DNA evidence, see: PLN, Aug. 2013, p.40; Oct. 2010, p.1 and Jan. 2009, p.24.

Roget's Thesaurus

Can't think of the right word?
Let Roget's help you! Over 11,000
words listed alphabetically.
See page 61 for more information.

DNA Is Not Always the Last Word

We read and hear a lot about DNA discovered at a crime scene. Felons, convicted of violent crimes, are innocent based upon DNA. Suspects are convicted solely upon DNA. Barry Scheck, co-founder of the Innocence Project, in his zeal for exoneration wrote, "DNA testing is a simple and reliable way to prove innocence and confirm guilt." These highly publicized results of DNA profiling lead many to believe that DNA evidence is an infallible indication of guilt or innocence. It is not uncommon to hear, when all other corroborative evidence points away from the suspect, "but they have DNA." This is probably why jurors in Harris County have so readily accepted prosecutorial testimony about DNA matches, although it was recently discovered that these alleged matches were the product of scientific incompetence and prosecutorial arrogance.

Even a competent DNA analysis only use the results from 9-15 locations of 46 chromosomes, leaving billions of code elements unmatched, to report DNA matches in terms of probability for a random, unrelated population. Since we all have biological relatives, no such random population exists. The human population does not occur from spontaneous generation. The ancestral web links the individuals of the human population. Consequently, the occurrence of several matches to the evidentiary DNA profile has a greater probability than would be expected in a population without an ancestral web. In the real-world population as opposed to the random, unrelated population of the forensic analyst, the chances of two siblings having identical forensic DNA profiles at nine locations would be nearly one in 100,000, clearly less than the "one in a quadrillion" frequently claimed by forensic analysts. Hence, calling into doubt the assumption that a DNA match of astronomical statistical probability is an unambiguous link to the perpetrator of the crime.

Furthermore, the presence of a person's DNA at a crime scene does not mean that person committed a crime. A DNA profile obtained from a crime scene does not necessarily provide any reliable information about when or how the DNA was originally deposited. DNA molecules are quite hearty and current laboratory protocols for developing DNA profiles are so robust that even trace amounts of biological material, unrelated to the crime, can be successfully typed and used to link the wrong person to a crime. Many of us have undoubtedly left DNA at future crime scenes and had our DNA transported in stains on clothing and bedding. In other words, a DNA match could be made with an individual who had an innocent reason for being at a crime scene or was never at the crime scene. Blood, semen, saliva, and skin cells deposited on beds, rugs, doorknobs, light switches, ignition keys, or computer keyboards can be re-deposited or transferred to another location by the next person to touch these surfaces. We can also place another person's cells on our own bodies at places that we subsequently rub or scratch. A passionate embrace can transfer DNA from an individual to another just as can a violent struggle.

Erroneous DNA matches can result from human errors with the collection, transport, storage, and analysis of the evidence. One can estimate the probability of a random match, even a match with a close genetic relative, but the probability of human error is more difficult to quantify. Indications of its occurrence can be equally difficult to

Exhibit D

detect. Still it should be considered when considering the probability of an accidental or random match. At a laboratory in the Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex, DNA samples of two murder suspects were inadvertently switched. During the trial, the prosecution reported that the DNA from the crime scene matched the DNA of the wrong person.

A zealous analyst can also "force" the results of a DNA profile derived from a piece of evidentiary material or taint the evidence. If the analyst is not satisfied with the first results, the sample run can be repeated several times, "optimizing" conditions until the results are satisfactory to the analyst. Some laboratories only print the chosen profile and do not reveal that other analyses were performed. Thus, the laboratory report will conclude that the suspect's DNA is consistent with the evidence DNA and a police officer, that has no knowledge of molecular biology, genetics, or statistics, believes he has found his perpetrator. Such "forced" matches occur at many forensic laboratories including the FBI laboratory in Washington, DC (See *Tainting Evidence*).

If the evidence is not thoroughly understood and considerable attention given to its origin, the actual perpetrator could be overlooked in favor of a suspect developed from inadvertently placed, unrelated evidence collected at the crime scene or corruption of the criminal justice system. Can we rely on forensic DNA analyses? Only if all of the corroborative evidence is considered, not just the DNA match that links the suspect to the perpetrator of the crime.

10 010 10 10 10

EXHIBIT E

Information from Tarrant County, Physical + Sexual Abuse, Medical Protocol stating that allege victim said no anal contact took place making anal contact charge false

Exhibit E

Key: Y = Yes N = No UNK = Unknown

6. ACTS DESCRIBED BY PATIENT AND / OR OTHER HISTORIAN (Circle All Appropriate)			DESCRIBED BY PATIENT			DESCRIBED BY HISTORIAN			8. SYMPTOMS DESCRIBED BY PATIENT AND / OR OTHER HISTORIAN <input type="checkbox"/> ADULT N/A			DESCRIBED BY PATIENT			DESCRIBED BY HISTORIAN		
VAGINAL CONTACT									PHYSICAL SYMPTOMS								
Penis									Abdominal / Pelvic Pain								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK									<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK								
Finger									Vulvar Discomfort or Pain								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK									<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK								
Foreign Object									Dysuria								
<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK									<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK								
Describe the Object									Urinary Tract Infections								
ANAL CONTACT									Enuresis (Daytime or Nighttime)								
Penis									<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK								
<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK									<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK								
Finger									Vaginal Itching								
<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK									<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK								
Foreign Object									Vaginal Discharge								
<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK									<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK								
Describe Object									Describe Color, Odor and Amount Below								
ORAL COPULATION OF GENITALS																	
Of Patient by Assailant									Vaginal Bleeding <i>p event</i>								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK									<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK								
Of Assailant by Patient									Rectal Pain								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK									<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK								
ORAL COPULATION OF ANUS									Rectal Bleeding								
Of Patient by Assailant									<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK								
<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK									<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK								
Of Assailant by Patient									Rectal Discharge								
<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK									<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK								
MASTURBATION									Constipation								
Of Patient by Assailant									<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK									<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK								
Of Assailant by Patient									Incontinence of Stool (Daytime or Nighttime)								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK									<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK								
OTHER									Lapse of Consciousness / Seizure / Ataxia								
Did ejaculation occur?									<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK									<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK								
If yes, describe the location:									Vomiting <i>nausea</i>								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oral <input type="checkbox"/> Vag <input type="checkbox"/> Rectal <input type="checkbox"/> Other: Describe Location:									<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK								
Foam, Jelly or Condom Used (circle)									Physical Injuries, Pain or Tenderness, Describe Below								
<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNK									<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK								
Lubricant Used																	
<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK																	
Fondling, Licking or Kissing (circle)																	
<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK																	
If yes, describe the location on the body:																	
<i>Breast</i>																	
Assailant injured? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No																	
Describe injury:																	
<i>Tried to locate him</i>																	
Type of Surface:																	
7. POST-ASSAULT HYGIENE / ACTIVITY																	
<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable if Over 72 hours																	
Urinated									<i>H: Pretty Good relations to mom / dad</i>								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK									<i>E: 8th Grader A/B/C's</i>								
Defecated									<i>A: skate, friends, cody, mall</i>								
<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK									<i>watch TV</i>								
Genital Wipe / Wash									<i>B: tried to like cody, stori</i>								
<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK									<i>D: sometimes, talks to mom</i>								
Bath / Shower									<i>Sx: consensual</i>								
<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK									<i>SA</i>								
Douchie									<i>SA</i>								
<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK																	
Removed / Inserted Tampon																	
<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK																	
Brushed Teeth																	
<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK																	
Oral Gargle / Swish																	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK																	
Changed Clothing																	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> UNK																	

D. OBTAIN PATIENT HISTORY. RECORDER SHOULD ALLOW PATIENT OR OTHER PERSON PROVIDING HISTORY TO DESCRIBE INCIDENT(S) TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE AND RECORD THE ACTS AND SYMPTOMS DESCRIBED BELOW. DETERMINE AND USE TERMS FAMILIAR TO THE PATIENT. FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS MAY BE NECESSARY TO COVER ALL ITEMS.

1. CHIEF COMPLAINT(S) IN PATIENT'S OWN WORDS

"I was walking to school, about a block from my house a guy said "Hi". Then he grabbed me. I was by a church. He grabbed my neck and said he would kill me, he knew where I lived, if I didn't stop screaming. He blindfolded me and put me in his vehicle and drove a long way. He took me to a place, like a garage. It was quiet. He made me give him oral sex. He came in my mouth. He raped me from the front. I was on my back. He had made me take my clothes off. He said he would use a rubber so I won't get pregnant but I didn't see if he did. It hurt. He put me back and then drove. He dropped me off and said if I looked back he would kill me".

2. IDENTITY OF ALLEGED PERPETRATOR(S), IF KNOWN <u>Unknown</u>	AGE <u>30-40</u>	SEX <u>M</u>	RACE <u>C</u>	RELATIONSHIP TO PATIENT	NO. OF ASSAILANTS
3. NAME OF PERSON PROVIDING HISTORY <u>Ashley Branch</u>	RELATIONSHIP TO PATIENT	ADDRESS	CITY	COUNTY	STATE
				PHONE WORK	HOME

4. CHIEF COMPLAINT(S) OF PERSON PROVIDING HISTORY (IN PERSON'S OWN WORDS)

Family called to Wills Regional Hosp, after daughter was sexually assaulted this morning

5. DATE OF ASSAULT <u>9-27-99</u>	TIME <u>730</u>	LOCATION / ADDRESS IF KNOWN
--------------------------------------	--------------------	-----------------------------

TARRANT COUNTY
PHYSICAL & SEXUAL ABUSE
MEDICAL PROTOCOL

(Page 2 of 10)

3826337 MR 1069082 9/27/99
BRANCH, ASHLEY MICHELLE CTM
O F DOB 10/09/85 CB
LAMB, JAN LEAH /

EXHIBIT F

Document from Forensic Consultant Services (examination report) indicating the possibility of unknown DNA on stains on front + rear of garment and a long hair that was removed (never tested) needed DNA expert.

*Forensic Consultant Services*

011259T (u)

P.O. BOX 11668 • FORT WORTH, TX 76110 • FAX (817) 338-0808 • (817) 870-1710

EXAMINATION REPORT**LAB NO.:** 99-A-2389**AGENCY NO.:** 994002**DATE:** 9-29-99**VICTIM/COMPLAINANT/PLAINTIFF:** Ashley Branch**DEFENDANT(S):****TYPE CASE:** Aggravated Sexual Assault**TO:** Cleburne Police Department**LIST OF EVIDENCE:****I. Received 9-27-99 , 2:20 p.m. at Lab from C. Moody:**

1. Stapled sack containing pair of green panties
2. Stapled sack containing brown/white striped t-shirt
3. Stapled sack containing black sports bra
4. Stapled sack containing:
 - A. Orange hair band
 - B. Pink hair band
 - C. Coarse chain
 - D. Metal rope necklace
 - E. Charm necklace
 - F. Ring with charm
 - G. Ring
 - H. Short chain
 - I. Dime

II. Received 9-29-99, 9:55 a.m. at Lab from C. Moody:

Sealed sack with lavender-print white panties

RESULTS OF EXAMINATIONS:

1. A prominent reddish stain approximately 1" x 2" was observed on the inner surface of the crotch partially soaked through to the exterior surface. Several small specimens were cut from the stained area and tested for prostate specific antigen (PSA), a marker for the presence of semen. Results were positive for semen. No hair was detected in this garment.

2. Several reddish stained areas were detected on the front and rear of this garment. Identity of these stains are unknown. A dark scalp hair approximately 6" long was removed.

3. Whitish stains were detected on the front at the bottom of the bra. A test for semen was positive.

EXHIBIT G

Supplemental Opinion at Griffith v Davis U.S. App. LEXIS 28485 (Sth Cir ~~2017~~
Dec 6, 2017)

EXHIBIT H

Judgment from United States District Court, Griffith v. Davis U.S. Dist. LEXIS
22900 (2-17-2017)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

DENNIS GRIFFITH, 01714179,
Petitioner,

v.

LORIE DAVIS, Director, TDCJ-CID,
Respondent.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)

No. 3:15-CV-1282-N

JUDGMENT

The Court has entered its Order Accepting the Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge in this case.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the petition is dismissed with prejudice as barred by the one-year limitation period pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d).

The Clerk shall transmit a true copy of this Judgment, together with a true copy of the Order accepting the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, to the parties.

SIGNED this 17th day of February, 2017.


DAVID C. GODBEY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

EXHIBIT I

Opinion of highest State Court, CCA No. WR-82,719-01, Denied w/o Written
Order 4/1/2015

EXHIBIT I



SHARON KELLER
PRESIDING JUDGE

MIKE KEASLER
BARBARA P. HERVEY
ELSA ALCALA
BERT RICHARDSON
KEVIN P. YEARY
DAVID NEWELL
MARY LOU KEEL
SCOTT WALKER
JUDGES

COURT OF CRIMINAL APP.
P.O. BOX 12308, CAPITOL STATION
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711

GENERAL COUNSEL
(512) 463-1597

January 02, 2018

DENNIS RAY GRIFFITH
Estelle Unit - TDC # 1714179
264 FM 3478
Huntsville, TX 77320-3322

Re: GRIFFITH, DENNIS RAY
CCA No. WR-82,719-01
Trial Court Case No. F44109A

IMPORTANT: PLEASE INFORM THIS COURT OF ALL ADDRESS CHANGES IN WRITING.

Your letter has been received. Please be advised:

- ☐ To obtain Copies of items requested, contact the State Law Library, Inmate Copy Service, at PO Box 12367, Austin Texas 78711-23267. Please be sure to include your full name and any aliases, TDCJ number, date of conviction, county of conviction, appeal number and complete mailing address.
- ☒ Your application for writ of habeas corpus was received on 1/15/2015. The status is: **DENIED WITHOUT WRITTEN ORDER on 4/1/2015.**

Sincerely,

Deana Williamson, Clerk

EXHIBIT J

Supplemental

~~Supplemental~~ Texas Court of Criminal Appeals of Texas, CCA No WB-82,719-01, Trial
Court Case No. F44109A, Denied w/o written Order on 4/1/2015

EXHIBIT K

Order of the United States ~~Court of Appeals (5th Cir.)~~ ^{SUPREME COURT Denied, Oct 1st, 2019} ~~Denial of Cert. Denied~~

**Supreme Court of the United States
Office of the Clerk
Washington, DC 20543-0001**

Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
(202) 479-3011

October 1, 2018

Mr. Dennis Griffith
Prisoner ID #1714179
Estelle Unit
264 FM 3478
Huntsville, TX 77320


Re: Dennis Griffith
v. Lori Davis, Director, Texas Department of Criminal Justice,
Correctional Institutions Division
No. 17-9542

Dear Mr. Griffith:

The Court today entered the following order in the above-entitled case:

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

Sincerely,



Scott S. Harris, Clerk

EXHIBIT
K

EXHIBIT L

Supplemental: Highest State Court to Decide my case was on this date:
4-1-2015, see CCA No. WR-82, 719-01

UNSWORN DECLARATION

I Dennis Griffith

TDCJ NUMBER 1714179

PRINT NAME

Being presently incarcerated at the Estelle Unit, 264 FM 3478, Huntsville, Tx in Walker County, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing statement is true and correct.

AIG Law Group out of Houston Tx, was hired to assist with the appeals process and was paid \$2000.00. They were given transcripts that I got from Direct Appeals Attorney. They kept in touch for a while but eventually disappeared with transcripts and exhibits and never heard from again. I turned them into Zora Muñoz Legal Assistance, Unauthorized Practice of Law Committee, 1414 Colorado, Ste 2001, Austin Texas 78707. Nothing ever came of it.

Then David Bolton (Texas Wit Works) was hired for I believe for \$1500.00 to begin with and was given money to buy transcripts which he was suppose to copy and send to me. He did 1107 application with hardly any mention of what transcripts had to offer. He did no memorandum of ^{show} because he said his hand got hurt in ^{car} accident. He signed me 1107 for me because we were almost out of time and mailed it from his office. This got me time barred due to mailbox rule. He kept wanting more money but eventually I said I just want my transcripts back and my exhibits. He never contacted me nor did he ever send me my transcripts and exhibits back to me.

So this is first time I have been able to argue my case with the transcripts which my sister purchased for me.

Executed on 3 day of July

2025 year

by Dennis Griffith Dennis Griffith

SIGNATURE

TDCJ # 1714179