

EXHIBIT A

Court requesting Sheriff to make law library available for defendant for defendant is pro se.
Petitioner believed if he went forward as a pro se defendant that law library would be available.

WAYNE BRIDEWELL,
DISTRICT JUDGE - 249TH

Exhibit A

DEFENDANT Dennis Griffith CAUSE # F44104

DATE 4/20/2011

DEFENDANT HAS BEEN SENTENCED TO _____ YEARS IN THE INSTITUTIONAL DIVISION
TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE

DEFENDANT HAS BEEN SENTENCED TO _____ YEARS IN THE STATE JAIL DIVISION TEXAS
DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE (SUBJECT TO _____ DAYS SHOCK.)

DEFENDANT HAS BEEN SENTENCED TO _____ YEARS IN THE INSTITUTIONAL DIVISION
TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE; PROBATION IS RECOMMENDED FOR THE TERM
(SUBJECT TO S. A. F. P. E.)

DEFENDANT HAS BEEN SENTENCED TO _____ MONTHS/YEARS IN THE STATE
JAIL DIVISION TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE; PROBATION IS
RECOMMENDED FOR _____ MONTHS/YEARS.

DEFENDANT IS PLACED ON DEFERRED ADJUDICATION FOR _____ YEARS
I. D. T. D. C. J. OR STATE JAIL.

DEFENDANT IS SENTENCED TO S A I P BOOT CAMP FOR NO MORE THAN 180 DAYS OR LESS
THAN 75 DAYS PURSUANT TO ART 42.12 SEC. 8.

DEFENDANT HAS BEEN SENTENCED TO SERVE _____ DAYS IN THE COUNTY JAIL TO BEGIN

	OUT TIME	IN TIME		OUT TIME	IN TIME
MONDAY	_____	_____	SATURDAY	_____	_____
TUESDAY	_____	_____	SUNDAY	_____	_____
WEDNESDAY	_____	_____			
THURSDAY	_____	_____			
FRIDAY	_____	_____			

✓ MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION:

Court requests that the
Sheriff's office make the law library
available for the 1st 7th 11th 13th
15th & 18th

TRANSPORT OFFICER

A CERTIFIED COPY 109
RECEIVING OFFICER/JAILER
ATTEST: 5/21/2011

DATES
WHITE DAVID R. LLOYD
District Clerk Johnson
County, Texas
By 160202

CANARY



WAYNE BRIDEWELL
DISTRICT JUDGE - 249TH

DEPUTY CLERK

PROBATION OFFICER



EXHIBIT B

Information given to pro se defendant during waiver of Counsel Hearing stating that
Dick Turner was to advise pro se defendant during trial (only).

Dick Turner was appointed temporarily as stand by counsel while deciding it I wanted to continue those. Once S. decided I could continue prose he was the ~~Exhibit B~~ ~~appointed as~~ stand by counselor. Courtroom protocol only during trial. The trial court or Appeal Court was assisting me with my legal needs option to trial. Not true. I tried to talk to Mr. Turner concerning my witnesses and a law library and he said he was assist on courtroom protocol during trial. I had no one to turn to. And no one prior to trial.

FORM REVISED ON 7-30-2004

CAUSE NO. F44109

THE STATE OF TEXAS

x

IN THE 18th COURT

VS.

JOHNSON COUNTY, TEXAS

Dennis Ray Griffen, aka Dennis R. Griffen

CHARGE: App. Sexual Assault Child - F

APPOINTMENT OF ATTORNEY

The Court having considered such factors as the Defendant's income, sources of income, property owned, outstanding obligations, necessary expenses, the ages and number of Dependents and spousal income, now finds that the Defendant in the above cause is not represented by Counsel, that the Defendant is too poor to employ counsel, and the Court hereby appoints the below named practicing attorney of this state to represent the Defendant in this case.

APPOINTED TO ADVISE PROSE DEFENDANT DURING TRIAL.

NAME Dick Turner

STATE BAR # 20328300

ADDRESS 101 E. N. Madison, Cleburne, Texas 76031

PHONE 817-558-7000

Signed this 19th day of April, 2011.

BB
JUDGE

FILED
JOHNSON COUNTY
2011 APR 19 PM 2:25
DAVID R. LILES
DISTRICT CLERK

Attachment F

White - Clerk

Yellow - Defendant

Pink - Jail Records

Gold - Attorney

EXHIBIT C

I-60 request form sent to jailer seeking access to phone, the courts, witnesses and legal advisor, legal material/law library

Community Education Centers
Secure Facilities Division

Inmate/Detainee Request for Services



Inmate/Detainee will complete this section

Inmate/Detainee Name: Dennis Griffith	Inmate/Detainee Number: 080446	Housing Location: GER 5	Assignment: 225-2
--	---------------------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------

I am requesting Information or Interview for the following reasons:

I am not getting copies of my request back that I have turned in. I do have copies but would like to have a response
Thank you

Date: **5-6-2011** Inmate/Detainee Signature: **Dennis Griffith**

Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.

You need copies of exactly what

Date: **5-7-11** Staff signature:

(They did not return all my request)



Community Education Centers
Secure Facilities Division

Inmate/Detainee Request for Services

Inmate/Detainee will complete this section

Inmate/Detainee Name: Dennis R Griffith	Inmate/Detainee Number: 080446	Housing Location: GER 5	Assignment: 225-2
--	---------------------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------

I am requesting Information or Interview for the following reasons:

I need to contact Dick Turner (817-558-7000) to ask for a meeting to seek advice about my case. I am representing myself. Thank you. Need to speak as soon as possible to him


Date: **5-5-11 (sent)** Inmate/Detainee Signature: **Dennis Griffith**

Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.

You were taken to court on 05-06-11 to speak with your attorney

Date: **05-09-11** Staff signature: **CB/CR/RD**

I have paper stating Dick Turner would only advise attorney for me. He for some reason had to be present for me to look at state evidence and views. The judge was aware I had no help (read letter)

	Community Education Centers Secure Facilities Division		
	Inmate/Detainee Request for Services		
<small>Inmate/Detainee will complete this section</small>			
Inmate/Detainee Name:	Inmate/Detainee Number:	Housing Location:	Assignment:
Dennis Griffith	060446	GEAS	225-2
<small>I am requesting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> information or <input type="checkbox"/> interview for the following reasons:</small>			
<p>I need to speak with Mallory S. May III Ph.D & Dr. Benjamin phone number (214) 559-0608 or (214) 535 9664 Any Dr. Benjamin, Phone Number (940) 453-8195 or (940) 464-0120 and Tony Beeper (469)-337-8541 Need to speak to these people/person as soon as possible pertaining to my trial.</p>			
Date:	Inmate/Detainee Signature: Dennis Griffith		
<small>Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.</small>			
<p>Your attorney appointed to assist you will need to help you with these matters</p>			
Date:	Staff signature: 		


	Community Education Centers Secure Facilities Division Inmate/Detainee Request for Services		
	Inmate/Detainee will complete this section		
Inmate/Detainee Name: Dennis Griffith	Inmate/Detainee Number: 080446	Housing Location: GEAS	Assignment: 225-2
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information or <input type="checkbox"/> Interview for the following reasons:			
<p>I need Legal information on filing motions, time limits on indictment's time limits in general, information on jury selection, and a legal dictionary.</p>			
Date: 5-7-2011	Inmate/Detainee Signature: Dennis Griffith	Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.	
Date: 05-10-11	Staff signature: OptiCheck		

	Community Education Centers Secure Facilities Division Inmate/Detainee Request for Services		
	Inmate/Detainee will complete this section		
Inmate/Detainee Name: Dennis Griffith	Inmate/Detainee Number: 080446	Housing Location: GEAS	Assignment: 225-2
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information or <input type="checkbox"/> Interview for the following reasons:			
<p>I have turned in a request but have not got a response back. Could you find out why; I haven't got a response back.</p>			
Date: 5-7-2011	Inmate/Detainee Signature: Dennis Griffith	Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.	
Date: 05-10-11	Staff signature: OptiCheck		

Exhibit C

Inmate/Detainee will complete this section			
Inmate/Detainee Name:	Inmate/Detainee Number:	Housing Location:	Assignment
Dennis Griffith	080446	GEAS	225-2
I am requesting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> information or <input type="checkbox"/> interview for the following reasons:			
<p>I need to speak to Judge Neil about a hearing. I am representing myself.</p>			
Date:	Inmate/Detainee Signature:		
4-24-11	Dennis Griffith		
Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.			
<p>YOU WILL HAVE TO WRITE HIM A LETTER. OR HAVE AN OUTSIDE PERSON CALL</p>			
Date:	Staff signature:		
5-1-11	C. M. Allwood		

Copy			
LA SALLE SOUTHEAST CORRECTIONS	Community Education Centers Secure Facilities Division		
	Inmate/Detainee Request for Services		
	Inmate/Detainee will complete this section		
Inmate/Detainee Name:	Inmate/Detainee Number:	Housing Location:	Assignment
Dennis	080446	GEAS	225
I am requesting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> information or <input type="checkbox"/> interview for the following reasons:			
<p>I need access to phone in order to contact Dr Benjamin W. Mallory May III Ph.D & Tony Riper. These are people I need to speak with as soon as possible. Thank you!! I am representing myself. This is pertaining to my case.</p>			
Date:	Inmate/Detainee Signature:		
5-5-11	Dennis Griffith		
Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.			
<p>You can write to those people or have your attorney assist you in those matters</p>			
Date:	Staff signature:		
5-5-11	Cpt C. Brock		

		Community Education Centers Secure Facilities Division Inmate/Detainee Request for Services			
		Inmate/Detainee will complete this section Inmate/Detainee Name: <u>Dennis Griffith</u> Inmate/Detainee Number: <u>080446</u> Housing Location: <u>GER5</u> Assignment: <u>225-2</u> I am requesting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information or <input type="checkbox"/> Interview for the following reasons: <p><i>Need to go speak with judge about hearing on motion. Would like to go in the morning to court to represent myself.</i></p>			
Date: <u>4-25-11</u>		Inmate/Detainee Signature: <u>Dennis Griffith</u> <small>Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.</small>			
Date: <u>04.27.11</u>		Staff signature: <u>C Smith</u> 			

		Community Education Centers Secure Facilities Division Inmate/Detainee Request for Services			
		Inmate/Detainee will complete this section Inmate/Detainee Name: <u>Dennis Griffith</u> Inmate/Detainee Number: <u>080446</u> Housing Location: <u>GER5</u> Assignment: <u>225-2</u> I am requesting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information or <input type="checkbox"/> Interview for the following reasons: <p><i>I need to go to Court so I can ask Judge for hearing, thank you.</i></p>			
Date: <u>4-26-11</u>		Inmate/Detainee Signature: <u>Dennis Griffith</u> <small>Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.</small>			
Date: <u>04.27.11</u>		Staff signature: <u>C Smith</u>			



COMMUNITY EDUCATION CENTERS
SECURE FACILITIES DIVISION
INMATE/DETAINEE REQUEST FOR SERVICES

Exhibit C
1

Inmate/Detainee will complete this section			
Inmate/Detainee Name:	Inmate/Detainee Number:	Housing Location:	Assignment:
Dennis Griffith	080496	GEAS	225-2
I am requesting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> information or <input type="checkbox"/> interview for the following reasons:			
<p>I would like to go to the law library. Thank you</p>			
Date: 4-13-11	Inmate/Detainee Signature: Dennis Griffith	Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.	

We do not have a law library available for County inmates nor does jail standards require we have one for County inmates.

Date: 4/14/11 Staff signature: Cpl. J. Garcia T. had you

Community Education Centers Secure Facilities Division Inmate/Detainee Request for Services			
Inmate/Detainee will complete this section			
Inmate/Detainee Name:	Inmate/Detainee Number:	Housing Location:	Assignment:
Dennis Griffith	080496	GEAS	225-2
I am requesting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> information or <input type="checkbox"/> interview for the following reasons:			
<p>I am requesting to make phone call to Attorney Dick Turner. This is pertaining to my case which I am representing myself.</p>			
Date: 4-21-11	Inmate/Detainee Signature: Dennis Griffith	Inmate/Detainee will not write below this line.	

You had a conference with Dick Turner on 4-21-11

Date: 4-21-11 Staff signature: Cpl. Garcia

Received Back
4-30-11

Inmate/Detainee Name:	Inmate/Detainee Number:	Block:	Assignment:
Connie Griffith	080486	GERS	725-2
I need to use law library to work on my case and I need access to phone to call witnesses & investigator			
Date: 4-23-11	Inmate/Detainee Signature: <u>Venus Griffith</u>	Inmate/Detainee will not write from this in-	
		- received - over -	
Date: 4-28-11	Staff signature: <u>R. Sample</u>	4-30-11	

Law library is not available for County inmates
you need to put a request in to your
investigator that you need to speak with
and we will contact him to come speak
with you.

Put Investigators name

EXHIBIT D

Petitioner was denied access to his DNA expert which denied petitioner any opportunity to challenge testimony of State DNA expert

Exhibit D consist of two articles by DNA experts that could have challenged States DNA expert and could have help establish an alternative to how DNA came to be at crime scene or in or on victim's body.

High-Tech, High-Risk Forensics

by Prof. Osagie K. Obasogie

WHEN THE POLICE ARRIVED LAST November at the ransacked mansion of the millionaire investor Raveesh Kumra, outside of San Jose, California, they found Mr. Kumra had been blindfolded, tied and gagged. The robbers took cash, rare coins and ultimately Mr. Kumra's life; he died at the scene, suffocated by the packaging tape used to stifle his screams. A forensics team found DNA on his fingernails that belonged to an unknown person, presumably one of the assailants. The sample was put into a DNA database and turned up a "hit" – a local man by the name of Lukis Anderson.

Bingo. Mr. Anderson was arrested and charged with murder.

There was one small problem: the 26-year-old Mr. Anderson couldn't have been the culprit. During the night in question, he was at the Santa Clara Valley Medical Center, suffering from severe intoxication.

Yet he spent more than five months in jail with a possible death sentence hanging over his head. Once presented with Mr. Anderson's hospital records, prosecutors struggled to figure out how an innocent man's DNA could have ended up on a murder victim.

Late last month, prosecutors announced what they believe to be the answer: the paramedics who transported Mr. Anderson to the hospital were the very same individuals who responded to the crime scene at the mansion a few hours later. Prosecutors now conclude that at some point, Mr. Anderson's DNA must have been accidentally transferred to Mr. Kumra's body – likely by way of the paramedics' clothing or equipment.

This theory of transference is still under investigation. Nevertheless, the certainty with which prosecutors charged Mr. Anderson with murder highlights the very real injustices that can occur when we place too much faith in DNA forensic technologies.

In the end, Mr. Anderson was lucky. His alibi was rock solid; prosecutors were forced to concede that there must have been some other explanation. It's hard to believe that, out of the growing number of convictions based largely or exclusively on DNA evidence, there haven't been any similar mistakes.

In one famous case of crime scene contamination, German police searched for around 15 years for a serial killer they called the "Phantom of Heilbronn" – an unknown female linked by traces of DNA to six murders across Germany and Austria. In 2009, the police found their "suspect": a worker at a factory that produced the cotton swabs police used in their investigations had been accidentally contaminating them with her own DNA.

Contamination is not the only way DNA forensics can lead to injustice. Consider the frequent claim that it is highly unlikely, if not impossible, for two DNA profiles to match by coincidence. A 2005 audit of Arizona's DNA database showed that, out of some 65,000 profiles, nearly 150 pairs matched at a level typically considered high enough to identify and prosecute suspects. Yet these profiles were clearly from different people.

There are also problems with the way DNA evidence is interpreted and presented to juries. In 2008, John Puckett – a California man in his 70s with a sexual assault record – was accused of a 1972 killing, after a trawl of the state database partially linked his DNA to crime scene evidence. As in the Anderson case, Mr. Puckett was identified and implicated primarily by this evidence. Jurors – told that there was only a one-in-1.1 million chance that this DNA match was pure coincidence – convicted him. He is now serving a life sentence.

But that one-in-1.1 million figure is misleading, according to two different expert committees, one convened by the FBI, the other by the National Research Council. It reflects the chance of a coincidental match in relation to the size of the general population (assuming that the suspect is the only one examined and is not related to the real culprit). Instead of the general population, we should be looking at only the number of profiles in the DNA database. Taking the size of the database into account in Mr. Puckett's case (and, again, assuming the real culprit's profile is not in the database) would have led to a dramatic change in the estimate, to one in three.

One juror was asked whether this figure would have affected the jury's deliberations.

"Of course it would have changed things," he told reporters. "It would have changed a lot of things."

DNA forensics is an invaluable tool for law enforcement. But it is most useful when it corroborates other evidence pointing to a suspect, or when used to determine whether any two individual samples match, like in the exonerations pursued by the Innocence Project.

But when the government gets into the business of warehousing millions of DNA profiles to seek "cold hits" as the primary basis for prosecutions, much more oversight by and accountability to the public is warranted. For far too long, we have allowed the myth of DNA infallibility to chip away at our skepticism of government's prosecutorial power, undoubtedly leading to untold injustices.

In the Anderson case, thankfully, prosecutors acknowledged the obvious: their suspect could not have been in two places at once. But he was dangerously close to being on his way to death row because of that speck of DNA. That one piece of evidence – obtained from a technology with known limitations, and susceptible to human error and prosecutorial misuse – might mistakenly lead to execution at the hands of the state should send chills down every one of our spines. The next Lukis Anderson could be you. Better hope your alibi is as well documented as his. █

Osagie K. Obasogie, a professor of law at the University of California, Hastings, and a senior fellow at the Center for Genetics and Society, is the author of the forthcoming book "Blinded by Sight: Seeing Race Through the Eyes of the Blind." This article was originally published in the New York Times on July 24, 2013; it is reprinted with permission of the author. For more on the shortcomings of DNA evidence, see: PLN, Aug. 2013, p.40; Oct. 2010, p.1 and Jan. 2009, p.24.

Roget's Thesaurus

Can't think of the right word?
Let Roget's help you! Over 11,000
words listed alphabetically.
See page 61 for more information.

Austin
Pre 13 Feb

Exhibit D

DNA Is Not Always the Last Word

We read and hear a lot about DNA discovered at a crime scene. Felons, convicted of violent crimes, are innocent based upon DNA. Suspects are convicted solely upon DNA. Barry Scheck, co-founder of the Innocence Project, in his zeal for exoneration wrote, "DNA testing is a simple and reliable way to prove innocence and confirm guilt." These highly publicized results of DNA profiling lead many to believe that DNA evidence is an infallible indication of guilt or innocence. It is not uncommon to hear, when all other corroborative evidence points away from the suspect, "but they have DNA" This is probably why jurors in Harris County have so readily accepted prosecutorial testimony about DNA matches, although it was recently discovered that these alleged matches were the product of scientific incompetence and prosecutorial arrogance.

Even a competent DNA analysis only use the results from 9-15 locations of 46 chromosomes, leaving billions of code elements unmatched, to report DNA matches in terms of probability for a random, unrelated population. Since we all have biological relatives, no such random population exists. The human population does not occur from spontaneous generation. The ancestral web links the individuals of the human population. Consequently, the occurrence of several matches to the evidentiary DNA profile has a greater probability than would be expected in a population without an ancestral web. In the real-world population as opposed to the random, unrelated population of the forensic analyst, the chances of two siblings having identical forensic DNA profiles at nine locations would be nearly one in 100,000, clearly less than the "one in a quadrillion" frequently claimed by forensic analysts. Hence, calling into doubt the assumption that a DNA match of astronomical statistical probability is an unambiguous link to the perpetrator of the crime.

Furthermore, the presence of a person's DNA at a crime scene does not mean that person committed a crime. A DNA profile obtained from a crime scene does not necessarily provide any reliable information about when or how the DNA was originally deposited. DNA molecules are quite hearty and current laboratory protocols for developing DNA profiles are so robust that even trace amounts of biological material, unrelated to the crime, can be successfully typed and used to link the wrong person to a crime. Many of us have undoubtedly left DNA at future crime scenes and had our DNA transported in stains on clothing and bedding. In other words, a DNA match could be made with an individual who had an innocent reason for being at a crime scene or was never at the crime scene. Blood, semen, saliva, and skin cells deposited on beds, rugs, doorknobs, light switches, ignition keys, or computer keyboards can be re-deposited or transferred to another location by the next person to touch these surfaces. We can also place another person's cells on our own bodies at places that we subsequently rub or scratch. A passionate embrace can transfer DNA from an individual to another just as can a violent struggle.

Erroneous DNA matches can result from human errors with the collection, transport, storage, and analysis of the evidence. One can estimate the probability of a random match, even a match with a close genetic relative, but the probability of human error is more difficult to quantify. Indications of its occurrence can be equally difficult to

detect. Still it should be considered when considering the probability of an accidental or random match. At a laboratory in the Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex, DNA samples of two murder suspects were inadvertently switched. During the trial, the prosecution reported that the DNA from the crime scene matched the DNA of the wrong person.

A zealous analyst can also "force" the results of a DNA profile derived from a piece of evidentiary material or taint the evidence. If the analyst is not satisfied with the first results, the sample run can be repeated several times, "optimizing" conditions until the results are satisfactory to the analyst. Some laboratories only print the chosen profile and do not reveal that other analyses were performed. Thus, the laboratory report will conclude that the suspect's DNA is consistent with the evidence DNA and a police officer, that has no knowledge of molecular biology, genetics, or statistics, believes he has found his perpetrator. Such "forced" matches occur at many forensic laboratories including the FBI laboratory in Washington, DC (See *Tainting Evidence*).

If the evidence is not thoroughly understood and considerable attention given to its origin, the actual perpetrator could be overlooked in favor of a suspect developed from inadvertently placed, unrelated evidence collected at the crime scene or corruption of the criminal justice system. Can we rely on forensic DNA analyses? Only if all of the corroborative evidence is considered, not just the DNA match that links the suspect to the perpetrator of the crime.

IN ORDER TO GET THE INFORMATION

6

EXHIBIT E

Information from Tarrant County, Physical + Sexual Abuse, Medical Protocol stating that alleged victim said no anal contact took place making anal contact charge false

Exhibit C

Key: Y = Yes N = No UNK = Unknown

6. ACTS DESCRIBED BY PATIENT AND / OR OTHER HISTORIAN (Circle All Appropriate)		DESCRIBED BY PATIENT		DESCRIBED BY HISTORIAN		8. SYMPTOMS DESCRIBED BY PATIENT AND / OR OTHER HISTORIAN <input type="checkbox"/> ADULT N/A		DESCRIBED BY PATIENT		DESCRIBED BY HISTORIAN				
VAGINAL CONTACT						PHYSICAL SYMPTOMS								
Penis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	Abdominal / Pelvic Pain	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	
Finger	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	Vulvar Discomfort or Pain	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	
Foreign Object	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UNK	Y	N	UNK	Dysuria	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UNK	
Describe the Object							Urinary Tract Infections	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UNK	
ANAL CONTACT						Enuresis (Daytime or Nighttime)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UNK	
Penis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UNK	Y	N	UNK	Vaginal Itching	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	
Finger	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UNK	Y	N	UNK	Vaginal Discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	
Foreign Object	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UNK	Y	N	UNK	Describe Color, Odor and Amount Below							
Describe Object														
ORAL COPULATION OF GENITALS														
Of Patient by Assailant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	Vaginal Bleeding <i>p event</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	
Of Assailant by Patient	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	Rectal Pain	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	
ORAL COPULATION OF ANUS														
Of Patient by Assailant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UNK	Y	N	UNK	Rectal Bleeding	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	
Of Assailant by Patient	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UNK	Y	N	UNK	Rectal Discharge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	
MASTURBATION														
Of Patient by Assailant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	Constipation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	
Of Assailant by Patient	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	Incontinence of Stool (Daytime or Nighttime)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	
OTHER														
Did ejaculation occur?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	Lapse of Consciousness / Seizure / Ataxia	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	
II yes, describe the location:							Vomiting <i>Nausea</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oral <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vag <input type="checkbox"/> Rectal <input type="checkbox"/> Other: Describe Location:							Physical Injuries, Pain or Tenderness, Describe Below	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	
BEHAVIORAL / EMOTIONAL SYMPTOMS														
Foam, Jelly or Condom Used (circle)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Y	N	UNK	Sleep Disturbances	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK
Lubricant Used	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UNK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Y	N	UNK	Eating Disorders	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK
Frondling, Licking or Kissing (circle)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Y	N	UNK	School	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK
Yes, describe the location on the body:							Sexual Acting Out	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	
<i>Breast</i>							Fear	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	
Assailant Injured? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No							Anger	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	
Describe injury:							Depression	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	
<i>Tried to kick him</i>							Other Symptoms	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK	
Describe:														
Additional Information:														
Type of Surface:														
7. POST-ASSAULT HYGIENE / ACTIVITY		DESCRIBED BY PATIENT		DESCRIBED BY HISTORIAN		<p>H: Pretty Good relations w mom/ dad</p> <p>E: Bm Gsden A/B/C's</p> <p>A: Skate, friends, Cody, matt, watch TV</p> <p>b: tried & like cry, start</p> <p>b: sometimes, tries to mom</p> <p>s: <i>consensual</i></p> <p>(+) ST</p>								
Urinated	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK								
Defecated	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK								
Genital Wipe / Wash	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK								
Bath / Shower	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK								
Douche	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK								
Removed / Inserted Tampon	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK								
Brushed Teeth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK								
Oral Gaggle / Swish	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK								
Changed Clothing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	UNK	Y	N	UNK								

**TARRANT COUNTY
PHYSICAL & SEXUAL ABUSE
MEDICAL PROTOCOL**

(Page 3 of 10)

3826337 MR 1069082 9/27/99
BRANCH, ASHLEY MICHELLE CTM
O F DOB 10/09/85 CB
LAMB, JAN LEAK

No. 00006

D. OBTAIN PATIENT HISTORY. RECORDER SHOULD ALLOW PATIENT OR OTHER PERSON PROVIDING HISTORY TO DESCRIBE INCIDENT(S) TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE AND RECORD THE ACTS AND SYMPTOMS DESCRIBED BELOW. DETERMINE AND USE TERMS FAMILIAR TO THE PATIENT. FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS MAY BE NECESSARY TO COVER ALL ITEMS.

1. CHIEF COMPLAINT(S) IN PATIENT'S OWN WORDS

"I was walking to school, about a block from my house & guy said "Hi". Then he grabbed me. I was by a church. He grabbed my neck and said he would kill me, he knew where I lived, if I didn't stop screaming. He blindfolded me and put me in his vehicle and drove a long way. He took me to a place, like a garage. It was quiet. He made me give him oral sex. He came in my mouth. He raped me from the front. I was on my back. He had made me take my clothes off. He said he would use a rubber so I won't get pregnant but I didn't see if he did. It hurt. He put me back and then drove. He dropped me off and said if I looked back he would kill me".

2. IDENTITY OF ALLEGED PERPETRATOR(S), IF KNOWN <u>Unknown</u>	AGE <u>30-40</u>	SEX <u>m</u>	RACE <u>C</u>	RELATIONSHIP TO PATIENT	NO. OF ASSAILANTS	
3. NAME OF PERSON PROVIDING HISTORY <u>Ashley Branch</u>	RELATIONSHIP TO PATIENT	ADDRESS	CITY	COUNTY	STATE	PHONE WORK HOME

4. CHIEF COMPLAINT(S) OF PERSON PROVIDING HISTORY (IN PERSON'S OWN WORDS)

Family called to Wells Regional Hosp, after daughter was sexually assaulted this morning

5. DATE OF ASSAULT <u>9-27-99</u>	TIME <u>7:30</u>	LOCATION / ADDRESS IF KNOWN
--------------------------------------	---------------------	-----------------------------

TARRANT COUNTY
PHYSICAL & SEXUAL ABUSE
MEDICAL PROTOCOL
(Page 2 of 10)

3826337 MR 1069082 9/27/99
BRANCH, ASHLEY MICHELLE CTM
O F DOB 10/09/85 CB
LAMB, JAN LEAH

EXHIBIT F

Document from Forensic Consultant Services (examination report) indicating the possibility of unknown DNA on stains on front + rear of garment and a long hair that was removed (never tested) needed DNA expert.



Forensic Consultant Services

011259T (u)

P.O. BOX 11668 • FORT WORTH, TX 76110 • FAX (817) 338-0898 • (817) 870-1710

EXAMINATION REPORT

LAB NO.: 99-A-2389 AGENCY NO.: 994002 DATE: 9-29-99

VICTIM/COMPLAINANT/PLAINTIFF: Ashley Branch

DEFENDANT(S):

TYPE CASE: Aggravated Sexual Assault

TO: Cleburne Police Department

LIST OF EVIDENCE:

I. Received 9-27-99, 2:20 p.m. at Lab from C. Moody:

1. Stapled sack containing pair of green panties
2. Stapled sack containing brown/white striped t-shirt
3. Stapled sack containing black sports bra
4. Stapled sack containing:
 - A. Orange hair band
 - B. Pink hair band
 - C. Coarse chain
 - D. Metal rope necklace
 - E. Charm necklace
 - F. Ring with charm
 - G. Ring
 - H. Short chain
 - I. Dime

II. Received 9-29-99, 9:55 a.m. at Lab from C. Moody:

Sealed sack with lavender-print white panties

RESULTS OF EXAMINATIONS:

1. A prominent reddish stain approximately 1" x 2" was observed on the inner surface of the crotch partially soaked through to the exterior surface. Several small specimens were cut from the stained area and tested for prostate specific antigen (PSA), a marker for the presence of semen. Results were positive for semen. No hair was detected in this garment.

2. Several reddish stained areas were detected on the front and rear of this garment. Identity of these stains are unknown. A dark scalp hair approximately 6" long was removed.

3. Whitish stains were detected on the front at the bottom of the bra. A test for semen was positive.

EXHIBIT G

Supplemental Opinion at Griffith v Davis U.S. App. LEXIS 28485 (5th Cir 2017)
Dec 6, 2017)

EXHIBIT H

Judgment from United States District Court, Griffith v. Davis U.S. Dist. LEXIS
22900 (2-17-2017)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

DENNIS GRIFFITH, 01714179,)
Petitioner,)
)
v.) No. 3:15-CV-1282-N
)
LORIE DAVIS, Director, TDCJ-CID,)
Respondent.)

JUDGMENT

The Court has entered its Order Accepting the Findings, Conclusions and
Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge in this case.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the petition is dismissed
with prejudice as barred by the one-year limitation period pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d).

The Clerk shall transmit a true copy of this Judgment, together with a true copy of the
Order accepting the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate
Judge, to the parties.

SIGNED this 17th day of February, 2017.



DAVID C. GODBEY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

EXHIBIT I

Opinion of highest State Court, CCA No. WR-82,719-01, Denied w/o Written
Order 4/1/2015

EXHIBIT I



SHARON KELLER
PRESIDING JUDGE

MIKE KEASLER
BARBARA P. HERVEY
ELSA ALCALA
BERT RICHARDSON
KEVIN P. YEARY
DAVID NEWELL
MARY LOU KEEL
SCOTT WALKER
JUDGES

COURT OF CRIMINAL APP
P.O. BOX 12308, CAPITOL STATION
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711

COURT COUNSEL
(512) 463-1597

January 02, 2018

DENNIS RAY GRIFFITH
Estelle Unit - TDC # 1714179
264 FM 3478
Huntsville, TX 77320-3322

**Re: GRIFFITH, DENNIS RAY
CCA No. WR-82,719-01
Trial Court Case No. F44109A**

IMPORTANT: PLEASE INFORM THIS COURT OF ALL ADDRESS CHANGES IN WRITING.

Your letter has been received. Please be advised:

- To obtain Copies of items requested, contact the State Law Library, Inmate Copy Service, at PO Box 12367, Austin Texas 78711-23267. Please be sure to include your full name and any aliases, TDCJ number, date of conviction, county of conviction, appeal number and complete mailing address.
- Your application for writ of habeas corpus was received on 1/15/2015. The status is: DENIED WITHOUT WRITTEN ORDER on 4/1/2015.

Sincerely,

Deana Williamson, Clerk

Supplemental

EXHIBIT J

~~Supplemental~~ Texas Court of Criminal Appeals of Texas, CCA No WR-82, 719-01, Trial
Court Case No. F44109A, Denied w/o Written Order on 4/1/2015

EXHIBIT K

Order of the United States ~~Supreme Court~~ Dated, Oct 1st, 2018

EXHIBIT K

Supreme Court of the United States
Office of the Clerk
Washington, DC 20543-0001

Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
(202) 479-3011

October 1, 2018

Mr. Dennis Griffith
Prisoner ID #1714179
Estelle Unit
264 FM 3478
Huntsville, TX 77320

Re: Dennis Griffith
v. Lori Davis, Director, Texas Department of Criminal Justice,
Correctional Institutions Division
No. 17-9542

Dear Mr. Griffith:

The Court today entered the following order in the above-entitled case:

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

Sincerely,



Scott S. Harris, Clerk

EXHIBIT L

Supplemental: Highest State Court to Decide my case was on this date:
4-1-2015, See CCA No. WR-82, 719-01

UNSWORN DECLARATION

I Dennis Griffith

TDCJ NUMBER 1714179

Being presently incarcerated at the Estelle Unit, 264 FM 3478, Huntsville, Tx
in Walker County, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing
statement is true and correct.

AIG Law Group out of Houston Tx, was hired to assist with the appeals process and was
paid \$200.00. They were given transcripts that I got from Direct Appeals Attorney. They kept in touch
for a while but eventually disappeared with transcripts and exhibits and never heard from
again. I turned them into Zara Muñoz Legal Assistance, Unauthorized Practice of Law
Committee, 1414 Colorado, Ste 2001, Austin Texas 78707. Nothing ever came of it.

Then David Bolton (Texas Wit Works) was hired for I believe for \$500.00 to begin with
and was given money to buy transcripts which he was suppose to copy and send to me.
He did 1107 application with hardly any mention of what transcripts had to offer. He
did no memorandum of ^{law} because he said his hand got hurt in car accident. He scared
me 1107 for me because we were almost out of time and mailed it from his office.
This got me time barred due to mailbox rule. He kept wanting more money but
eventually I said I just want my transcripts back and my exhibits. He never
contacted me nor did he ever send me my transcripts and exhibits back to me.

So this is first time I have been able to argue my case with the transcripts
which my sister purchased for me.

Executed on 3 day of July
2025 year by Dennis Griffith / Dennis Griffith
TDCJ # 1714179