

25-5069

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

JUN 25 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ARNOLD ANDERSON — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

TERRY ROYAL — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

RENO FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT IN RENO NV.  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

ARNOLD ANDERSON  
(Your Name)

P.O. Box 1989  
(Address)

ELI NV 89301  
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A  
(Phone Number)

## QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- ① IS IT A CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION FOR A REDUNDANT CONVICTION?
- ② IS IT A CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION FOR THE PROSECUTOR TO PRESENT LIES TO THE JURY?
- ③ IS IT A CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION FOR THE PROSECUTOR TO HAVE HIS INVESTIGATOR LIE AND SAY SOMEONE TOLD HIM SOMETHING AND THIS PERSON IN QUESTION IS NOT AT TRIAL AND DID NOT HAVE A PRIOR OPPORTUNITY TO CROSS EXAMINE THIS HEARSAY?
- ④ IS IT A CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION TO REMOVE AFRICAN AMERICANS FROM VOIR DIRE WITHOUT MAKING A RECORD OR REMOVE MINORITIES FROM THE JURY POOL WITHOUT MAKING A RECORD?
- ⑤ IS IT A CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION FOR THE PROSECUTOR TO PROSECUTE A DIFFERENT STORY OF ALLEGATIONS THAT DO NOT MATCH THE POLICE REPORT?
- ⑥ IS IT A CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION TO FORCE ME TO TRIAL ON SUICIDE WATCH?
- ⑦ IS IT A CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION TO WATCH A JUROR DURING TRIAL SHRUG HIS SHOULDERS DURING MY CLOSING ARGUMENTS?
- ⑧ IS IT A CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION FOR THE JUDGE TO SAY THE HEARSAY COMING IN THROUGH THE PROSECUTOR'S INVESTIGATOR IS PREJUDICIAL AND ALLOWED IT ANYWAY WAS THAT WRONG?
- ⑨ IS IT A CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION FOR THE PROSECUTOR TO NOT BRING IN A DOCTOR OR USE A MEDICAL REPORT FOR TRIAL OR PRELIMINARY HEARING TO PROVE ANY INJURIES TO THE ALLEGED VICTIM.
- ⑩ DO ANY OF THESE QUESTIONS STAND OUT TO SAY I RECEIVED A FAIR TRIAL?

## LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## RELATED CASES

CRAWFORD V WASHINGTON 541 US 36 CONFRONTATION CLAUSE  
BLOCKBURNER V UNITED STATES. 284-299 MULTIPLE PUNISHMENTS FOR ALLEGATIONS  
OF THE SAME OFFENSE  
NAPUE V ILLINOIS 360 US 264. PRESENTING LIES TO THE JURY.  
SIMPSON V US 435 US 56 55 LED. DOUBLE JEOPARDY  
NEIL WALLACH V STATE OF NV 106 NV 470 796. P2d. 224. ALLEGED VICTIM  
CHANGING THEIR STORY.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  |   |
|--|---|
| OPINIONS BELOW .....                                   | 1 |
| JURISDICTION.....                                      |   |
| CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED ..... |   |
| STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....                            |   |
| REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....                    |   |
| CONCLUSION.....  |   |

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT DENYING WRIT.

APPENDIX B NINTH CIRCUIT DENYING WRIT/OTHER MOTIONS  
FOR REHEARING.

APPENDIX C DENYING STATE WRIT OF THE TRIAL COURT.

APPENDIX D REMITTITUR ON NEVADA SUPREME COURT  
DENYING WRIT.

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

| CASES  | PAGE NUMBER |
|--|-------------|
| 1. CRAWFORD V WASHINGTON 541 US 36                               |             |
| 2. BLOCKBURGER V UNITED STATES 284-299                           |             |
| 3. NAPUE V ILLINOIS 360 US 264                                   |             |
| 4. SIMPSON V US 435 US 55 C&D                                    |             |
| 5. NEIL WALLACH V STATE OF NV 106 NEV 470 796 <del>RED</del> 224 |             |

\*

### STATUTES AND RULES

RULES 10-14

RULE 39

2254 BECAUSE I'M BEING HELD IN VIOLATION OF  
THE CONSTITUTION.

28 USC § 1254 (1)

### OTHER

ALL STATUTE AND RULES THAT GIVE  
THIS HONORABLE COURT JURISDICTION  
TO HEAR MY CLAIMS.

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at UNKNOWN; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at UNKNOWN; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix D to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the DISTRICT COURT ON NEVADA TRIAL COURT court appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☒ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 1-8-25.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 1-8-25, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 11-30-2021.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix 9.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: APR 1-10-22, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1. THE 6<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT RIGHT TO CONFRONT A WITNESS.
2. 14<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS
3. RULE 802 THE FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE INADMISSIBLE HEARSAY
4. NRS. 50.085 & NRS 50.135
5. 6<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT RIGHT TO A FAIR TRIAL.
6. 14<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS VIOLATION
7. RACIAL PROFILING
8. BLOMBERGER V UNITED STATES 284-299
9. NEIL WALLACE V STATE OF NV 106 NEV 470 796 P2d 224
10. CRAWFORD V WASHINGTON 541 US 36
11. NAPIE V ILLINOIS 360 US 264
12. SIMPSON V US 435 US 6

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

AN ALLEGED VICTIM STATED HE OWED A DRUG DEALER 200 DOLLARS FOR DRUGS (IN THE POLICE REPORT) HE CHANGED HIS STORY AND SAID HE OWED SOMEONE 200 DOLLARS FOR A HOTEL.

MY CAR WAS STOPPED 2 WEEKS AFTER THIS ALLEGED INCIDENT DURING PRELIMINARY HEARING AND TRIAL NOT ONE DOCTOR TESTIFIED TO HIS ALLEGED INJURIES THE INJURIES GREW FROM SHOT ① TIME ③ TIMES TO ⑤ TIMES (ZERO EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT IT).  
I WAS UNLAWFULLY CONVICTED OF A REDUNDANT CONVICTION

COUNT ① ATTEMPT MURDER WITH DEADLY WEAPON

COUNT ③ BATTERY WITH DEADLY WEAPON CAUSING SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM.

THE JURY GOT IT WRONG CONVICTING ME, THE JURY INSTRUCTIONS WERE MISLEADING. I'M INNOCENT

A CONVICTION BASED ON MULTIPLE PUNISHMENTS FOR ALLEGATIONS OF ONE OFFENSE IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL. THIS CONVICTION MUST BE REVERSED. THIS UNLAWFUL CONVICTION IS IN VIOLATION OF THE CONSTITUTION.

THE STATE VIOLATED THE CONFRONTATION CLAUSE INADMISSIBLE HEARSAY. BY ALLOWING HIS PRIVATE INVESTIGATOR TO SAY SOMEONE TOLD HIM 2 SHOTS WERE ~~EXP~~ FIRED AND LIED TO THE JURY STATING BRAINS WERE ON THE GROUND

I WAS FORCED TO TRIAL ON SUICIDE WATCH.

### REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I DID NOT RECEIVE A TRIAL I'M UNLAWFULLY CONVICTED  
I RECEIVED MULTIPLE PUNISHMENTS FOR ALLEGATIONS  
OF THE SAME OFFENSE THE JURY KNEW I  
WAS IN JAIL DURING TRIAL PLUS THERE'S A  
BATSON VIOLATION, MULTIPLE CONSTITUTIONAL  
VIOLATIONS. BEFORE TRIAL STARTED THE JUDGE SAID  
SHE WOULD NOT SENTENCE ME TO BOTH  
COUNTS BEFORE TRIAL STARTED SHE ALLOWED  
A JAIL PHONE CALL TO BE PLAYED DURING  
TRIAL SHE STATED ITS PREJUDICIAL  
AND ALLOWED IT ANYWAY SHE DENIED  
MY OUT OF STATE WITNESSES NOT TO  
TESTIFY BY VIDEO THE JUDGE WOULD  
NOT ANSWER JUROR'S QUESTIONS. APPEAL  
COUNSEL LIED DURING MY APPEAL  
I HAVE HER LETTERS TO PROVE SHE  
LIED AND MISSTATED THE RECORD  
TO SABOTAGE MY APPEAL. A RIGHT  
TO A FAIR TRIAL WAS VIOLATED MY  
6TH AMENDMENT RIGHT. MY 14TH

A MENDMENT RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS  
WAS VIOLATED THE SENTENCE I RECEIVED  
DO NOT COMPORT TO LEGISLATIVE INTENT  
FOR THE ACCUSED TO RECEIVE MULTIPLE  
PUNISHMENTS FOR ALLEGATIONS OF ONE OFFENSE.  
I RECEIVED A MISCARRIGE OF JUSTICE, THIS  
CONVICTION MUST BE REVERSED. I HAVE THE  
RECORD AND MY OWN EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT  
MY CLAIM.

**CONCLUSION**

MY 6TH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO A FAIR WAS VIOLATED. MY 14TH  
AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS WAS VIOLATED, 5TH AMENDMENT RACIAL  
PROFILING VIOLATED WARRANTLESS ARREST 4TH AMENDMENT RIGHT WAS  
VIOLATED I RECEIVED A MISCARRIGE OF JUSTICE. PLEASE REVERSE THIS  
CONVICTION.  
The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.  
FOR A NEW  
TRIAL

Respectfully submitted,

ald and see

Date: 6-25-25