

# Supreme Court Of The United States

Anthony Romero Horn, Sr. Re: 24-1054

Vs.


The United States

## Motion For Leave To File Out Of Time:

On June 7, 2024, an email was sent from the Appellant Court with the "Caption": [Docket Text] *"Decision of the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (Affirmed). **Until the {Mandate Issues}, this decision is not final and the appeals court retains jurisdiction. Mandate due by 07/29/2024". [See Attachments]***

Page 1 of the Supreme Court's "Guide for Prospective Indigent Petitioners For Writs Of Certiorari" [3. Time For Filing]: "You must file your petition for a writ of certiorari within **90 days of from the date of the entry of the final judgment in the United States Court of Appeals or highest State court or 90 days from the denial of a timely filed petition for rehearing**".

My dilemma: "Twisting of rules and language with purpose to



deceive a Petitioner/Appellant". I, in reading the Caption of the email from the appellant court, was under the belief that Final Judgment had not been rendered and would be so done with and or at the issuance of the Mandate. I read the caption with thought that I have time, and was awaiting a supposed "final judgment".

If you are looking at the Caption of the email from the court, that states; that ***'UNTIL THE MANDATE ISSUES, THE JUDGMENT IS NOT FINAL'***, so, what does one do?? Especially one, who is representing self and isn't as knowledgeable?? I discovered some things by being blindsided initially, and even by those occurrences, I still am not as knowledgeable of things, but, do my diligence to understand how things are governed and by what rules in place.

I, in my attempt to stay within prescribed circumstances was awaiting the final judgment, but there was nothing granting clarity that the entry given on June 7, 2024 was indeed final. The caption of the email threw me for a loop, and now that I'm typing this, I believe to be done intentionally. BY THIS, is why I am petitioning the Courts and whomever may be reading this motion, to please, understand where the misunderstanding and confusion lies.

I do indeed understand that rules are in place for reasoning, but, I also realize that in all that has occurred, deception is purposed to deny a petitioner of their rights.

I, throughout this time, have been denied fairness in a trial, deceived with trickery. I have been doing everything to abide by the rules, and met with smoke and deterrence at every turn.

Therefore, I can only ask as humbly as I can possibly do, that whomever reading this, will understand that I was not being neglectful and neither am I in no way attempting to give false statements of what occurred. I am simply asking for the very thing I asked for in the beginning of all of this: "Fairness" to present my case and evidence and to address these matters that were done deceptively to deprive me of my privileges and property.


I cannot do anything more than petition you, whomever may be reading this and is of authority, for your understanding and assistance in addressing these matters. I promise you, I am, and have but only tried to abide in fairness to the prescribed requirements. I ask of you, please review this motion with understanding, and know that I am not in any way being malicious, and also, know that had I had the clear understanding that the entry was indeed the final judgment, I would have done my diligence in getting this before you in a timely manner so as not to lose the opportunity to have it addressed.

Please, Officials of the Supreme Court of the United States, I am fighting in fairness to address these matters, but am being met with trickery and deceit to prevent my doing so. Please grant

Me the opportunity to stand and address these matters fairly. I cannot provide for my family because of these matters, matters in which I became aware of being done deceitfully, just last year. I have constantly lost opportunity after opportunity because of the unlawful suspension amongst other unlawful processes.

I "Swear and Affirm", that all of the aforementioned is both 'True and Correct' under penalties of perjury of the laws prescribed the rules of the court.

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_



Anthony Romero Horn, Sr.

This

22nd

day of

October

20

24.

# **Supreme Court Of The United States**

**Re: 24-1054**

**Appendix To Motion For Leave To File Out Of Time:**

*Docket Text of the Appellant Court with intent to deceive.*

*Cover Page*

# **Notice of Electronic Filing**

The following transaction was entered on 6/7/2024 at 11:49 AM EDT and filed on 6/7/2024

**Case Name:** HORN v. USA

**Case Number:** 1:23-cv-00509-MRS

**Filer:**

**WARNING: CASE CLOSED on 09/22/2023**

**Document Number:** 26

**Docket Text:**

**Decision of the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (AFFIRMED). Until the mandate issues, this decision is not final and the appeals court retains jurisdiction. Mandate due by 7/29/2024. (Attachments: # (1) Judgment)(ac7)**

**1:23-cv-00509-MRS Notice has been electronically mailed to:**

ANTHONY ROMERO HORN, SR &nbsp; &nbsp;  
anthonyrhorn31@gmail.com

# United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

---

**ANTHONY ROMERO HORN, SR.,**  
*Plaintiff-Appellant*

v.

**UNITED STATES,**  
*Defendant-Appellee*

---

2024-1054

---

Appeal from the United States Court of Federal Claims  
in No. 1:23-cv-00509-MRS, Judge Molly R. Silfen.

---

## MANDATE

---

In accordance with the judgment of this Court, entered June 7, 2024, and pursuant to Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, the formal mandate is hereby issued.

FOR THE COURT

July 29, 2024  
Date



Jarrett B. Perlow  
Clerk of Court