No. 244 996

IN THE

SUPRPEME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

DELORIS PHILLIPS.

Applicant,

FILED
MAR 1 5 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPPLEME COURT, U.S.

V.

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE-DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION, ET AL.,

Respondent.

APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

To the Honorable Samuel A. Alito Jr., Associate Justice of the United States Supreme Court and Circuit Justice for the Fifth Circuit:

I, Petitioner Deloris Phillips requests an extension of time to file petition for Writ of Certiorari. Petitioner requests a 60-day extension of time from the due date, May 18, 2025 until July 17, 2025, of Appealed Order [EXHIBIT 3-1 pgs. 3-4) was entered 02.17.2025. This is dismissal for want of jurisdiction, and dismissal of all pending motions. This application for extension of time is not premature. Petitioner is an in forma pauper pro se, uneducated in law. I, petitioner believes a bona fide, skilled attorney would require an additional 60-day extension, or longer, from the due date of Writ of Certiorari.

Honorable Alito Jr., all my files, records, exhibits have been deleted, erased from my laptop, and USB/Flash drives. This is an ongoing, factual history of sabotaging of justice. On March 30, 2024 my IPhone was targeted and stolen. I wholly, holy,

MAK 1 8 2025

OFFILE CLERK SUPAELE DOU T, U.S. wholeheartedly believe that my phone was targeted and stolen for the records, files, and exhibits regarding this ongoing retaliation. I further believe these USBs, CDs, are targeted and stolen because of the financial information concerning my mom's and dad's financial matters. My USB was targeted, and stolen five days prior to phone. My USB was stolen on March 25, 2024, at a public library. I was gone no longer than 5-minutes from the computer. No one had logged on after me. Someone took my USB from the back of the computer. I did report this matter. OCR dismissed this complaint last week.

Honorable Alito Jr., when I text my stolen iPhone of 5+ years, almost a year later, from the time it was stolen (03.30.2024), and presently, I receive the texts from my stolen IPhone [(469) 671-8941]. If I were in the court of law regarding my stolen phone, the phone records could be presented, in the court of law (justice), to show that I am in possession of the stolen phone. If I am texting my stolen phone, and I am receiving the texts on a new phone (different number), what would the jury believe? The IPhone that was stolen remains on to assist in the investigation into who, how, and why my IPhone of 5+ years was targeted and stolen.

Honorable Judge Alito Jr., attached below, as full support for this application for extension of time, I reiterate Doc. 27 (03.11.2025) Case: 24·11020, as if fully rewritten herein EXHIBIT 1: Appellant's Emergency Motion for Extension to File Brief 24·11020: Appellant Further Motion for Stay of All Appeals Pending Exhaustion of Remedies for Appointment of Counsel Per FRAP 8(2) and Any/All Applicable Fed. R. Civ. P., FRAP, 5th Circ Rules, IOP, 28 U.S.C. 1915, and State, and Federal Statues. Appellant Motion for Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law by Honorable Chief Justice Jennifer Walker Elrod and the Chief Justices' Panel of Associate Justices (21 pgs.).

Case: 24-11102 Document: 39-2 Page: 6 Date Filed: 02/28/2025

United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

No. 24-11102

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

February 17, 2025

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

DELORIS PHILLIPS,

Plaintiff—Appellant,

versus

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE - DIVISION OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION,

Defendant—Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas USDC No. 3:24-CV-2694

UNPUBLISHED ORDER

Before SOUTHWICK, WILLETT, and OLDHAM, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:

This court must examine the basis of its jurisdiction, on its own motion if necessary. Hill v. City of Seven Points, 230 F.3d 167, 169 (5th Cir. 2000). Plaintiff, a sanctioned litigant, filed a pro se notice of appeal from the December 9, 2024 magistrate judge's report and recommendation to deny leave to remove and close the case.



3/10

No. 24-11102

"Federal appellate courts have jurisdiction over appeals only from (1) a final decision under 28 U.S.C. § 1291; (2) a decision that is deemed final due to jurisprudential exception or that has been properly certified as final pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 54(b); and (3) interlocutory orders that fall into specific classes, 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a), or that have been properly certified for appeal by the district court, 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b)." Askanase v. Livingwell, Inc., 981 F.2d 807, 809-10 (5th Cir. 1993). The report and recommendation of a magistrate judge is not a final order, and it does not fall into any of the other categories that would make it appealable. See United States v. Cooper, 135 F.3d 960, 961 (5th Cir. 1998).

Accordingly, the appeal is DISMISSED for want of jurisdiction. All pending motions are DENIED.



No
IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
Delovis Philips - PETITIONER (Your Name)
VS. TDT-DWC et al - RESPONDENT(S)
PROOF OF SERVICE I, do swear or declare that on this date, MUCh S, 2025, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have served the enclosed MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS and MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND MOTION
The names and addresses of those served are as follows: Please. See attacked certificate of Service: There are more parties that Were alsmissed in trial chart (as DE-21-06299).
Executed on March 15, 20 25 (Signature) (Signature)