

No.

In the
Supreme Court of the United States

ADAM COLE SHRYOCK,

PETITIONER,

v.

STATE OF COLORADO, EX REL
PHILLIP J. WEISER, ATTORNEY
GENERAL,

RESPONDENT.

**On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the
Supreme Court for the State of Colorado**

**APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO
FILE PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

Robert L. Sirianni, Jr., Esquire
Counsel of Record
BROWNSTONE, P.A.
P.O. Box 2047
Winter Park, Florida 32790-2047
robertsirianni@brownstonelaw.com
(o) 407-388-1900
(f) 407-622-1511
Counsel for Petitioner

To The Honorable Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States

Pursuant to Rule 30.3 of this Court, Petitioner respectfully requests a 90-day extension of time, to and including April 2025, within which to file a petition for writ of certiorari to review the judgment of the Colorado Supreme Court and Colorado Court of Appeals. Absent an extension, Petitioner's petition would be due 90-days from the Final Judgment from the Supreme Court of Colorado, which is January 13, 2025, as January 12, 2025, falls on a Sunday.

Basis for Jurisdiction in the Supreme Court

This Court has jurisdiction to grant an application for a writ of certiorari in this case pursuant to Art. III, Sec. 2, Clause 2, as Petitioner seeks review of the judgment of the Colorado Supreme Court.

Opinion and Order

On October 14, 2024, the Colorado Supreme Court denied Petition for Certiorari on the previously affirmed conviction by the Colorado Court of Appeals dated March 7, 2024. *Adam Shryock. v. State of Colorado, ex rel. Philip J. Weiser, Attorney General*, Case No. 2024SC355 (Oct. 14, 2024); *State of Colorado, ex rel. Philip J. Weiser, Attorney General v. Adam Shryock*, Case No. 2022CA2254 (Mar. 7, 2024).

Judgment Sought to be Reviewed

The Court's review is warranted to resolve significant issues of law on which the decision below departs from this Court's precedents. Respondent did not bring the action within the applicable statute of limitations, under any interpretation of

what limitation applies. Adam Shryock reported all this business activity to an entity of the State. Notably, the division which brought this citation was the Corporate Division of the Attorney General's office. Mr. Shryock's business activity was being reported for quite some time and the State should have known about all his activity dating back to 2015. Such failure to bring the case in a timely manner deprived the trial court of jurisdiction and implicated due process notice requirements. The lower courts' adjudication is abrasive to this nation's judicial norms as it related to notice, due process, and fairness.

Reasons for Extension of Time


The additional time is warranted due to significant professional obligations in pending appellate matters and the need to consult with documentary evidence.

Petitioner believes an extension will result in no prejudice to Respondent.

CONCLUSION

Petitioner's request is intended to ensure that Petitioner and counsel have adequate opportunity to discuss the merits of their claims, review all prior records, and provide complete and effective assistance of counsel.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert L. Sirianni, Jr., Esquire
Counsel of Record
BROWNSTONE, P.A.
P.O. Box 2047
Winter Park, Florida 32790-2047
(o) 407-388-1900
robertsirianni@brownstonelaw.com
Counsel for Petitioner

Dated: January 6, 2025.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Robert L. Sirianni, Jr., hereby certify that an original and 2 copies of the foregoing Application for Extension of Time for the matter of *Adam Shryock. v. State of Colorado, ex rel. Philip J. Weiser, Attorney General* were sent via Next Day Service to the U.S. Supreme Court, and 1 copy was sent Next Day Service and email to the following parties listed below, this 6th day January 2025.

BRADY J GRASSMEYER
brady.grassmeyer@coag.gov
300 Broadway, 10th Floor
Denver, CO 80203
Telephone: (720) 508-6000
FAX: (720) 508-6040



Robert L. Sirianni, Jr., Esquire