August 12, 2024 Short title: Felder V. United States of America Docket # 23-6948

T Tyron felder writes this Extention request with great honesty. Im not able to file for a con on time because I was in segregation with none of my legal work, Pen, and no access to the legal computer as often to do research. Im asking this court to grant me a extention to file.

Thank you
felder 7 71371-054

RECEIVED
AUG 28 2024
STEFFER OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

S.D.N.Y.-W.P. 22-cv-9926 14-cr-604 Briccetti, J.

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 28th day of February, two thousand twenty-four.

Present:		
Guido Calabresi, Raymond J. Lohier, Jr., Sarah A. L. Merriam, Circuit Judges.		
Tyrone Felder,		_
	Petitioner-Appellant,	
v.		23-6948
United States of America	ı,	
	Respondent-Appellee.	
		_

Appellant, proceeding pro se, moves for a certificate of appealability and in forma pauperis status. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the motions are DENIED and the appeal is DISMISSED because Appellant has not "made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); see also Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 327 (2003).

FOR THE COURT: Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court



Case: 23-6948, 05/30/2024, DktEntry: 23.1, Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS for the SECOND CIRCUIT

At a Stated Term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 30th day of May, two thousand twenty-four,

Present:	
Guido Calabresi, Raymond J. Lohier, Jr., Sarah A. L. Merriam, Circuit Judges.	=>:
Tyrone Felder, Petitioner-Appellant,	ORDER Docket No. 23-6948
\mathbf{v}_{\star}	
United States of America,	
Respondent-Appellee.	_

Appellant Tyrone Felder filed a motion for reconsideration and the panel that determined the motion has considered the request.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the motion is denied.

For The Court: Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court