## SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

James A. Allen,

Petitioner(s)



٧.

Case Number: SC2024-0316

Secrelary, Dept. of Corrections, Respondent(s)

## PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE WRIT OF CERTIORARI

THE PETITIONER, JAMES A. ALLEN, PRO SE, PURSUANT TO FLA.R.

APP. P. 9.330(A) MOVES THE COURT FOR AN ORDER EXTENDING

THE TIME TO FILE THE WRIT OF CERTIORARI FOR A PERIOD OF

30 DAYS. IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION, PETITIONER STATES:

- 1.) THE PETITIONER DESIRES TO FILE A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO ADEQUATELY APPRISE THIS COURT OF THE ERRORS OCCURRING IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA.
- 2.) THE PETITIONER IS REPRESENTING HIMSELF IN THIS PROCEEDING
  AS A PRISONER IN THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, HE
  HAS LIMITED ACCESS TO THE LAW LIBRARY AND THE ASSISTANCE
  OF OTHERS TO EFFECTIVELY RESEARCH AND PREPARE THE
  ARGUMENTS DUE TO, THE PETITIONER IS CURRENTLY ON CLOSE
  MANAGEMENT. (SEE) GENERALLY, HENDERSON V. CROSBY, 883 SO. 2d
  847 (FLA. 15T DCA 2004) (DISCUSSING D.O.C. LAW LIBRARY
  SYSTEM). ACCORDINGLY, THE PETITIONER NEEDS AN EXTENSION
  OF TIME IN ORDER TO ALLOW ALLOW HIM A REASONABLE
  OPPORTUNITY TO UTILIZE THE LAW LIBRARY TO PREPARE HIS WRIT
  OF CERTIORARI. CF; DANIELS V. STATE, 842 SO. 2d 526, 527 (FLA.
  1ST DCA 2004) ("NEED TO SCHEDULE TIME IN THE PRISON RE

LIBRARY AND TO OBTAIN ASSISTANCE OF INMATE LAW CLERK

RECEIVED AUG -8 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

STATE GOOD CAUSE FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME").

3.) THE PETITIONER IS A MENTALLY ILL INDIVIDUAL THAT IS CURRENTLY ON MEDICATIONS ACTING PROSE THATS DEPENDENT UPON LEGAL ASSISTANCE FROM OTHERS.

151 James A. Allen x

JAMES A. ALLEN, DC# R12787 SANTA ROSA CORR. INST... 5850 EAST MILTON RD. MILTON, FL 32583

## Supreme Court of Florida

WEDNESDAY, MAY 1, 2024

James A. Allen,

SC2024-0316

Petitioner(s)

Lower Tribunal No(s).:

V.

521999CF017135AXXXNO

Secretary, Dept. of Corrections,

Respondent(s)

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is hereby denied as procedurally barred. A petition for extraordinary relief is not a second appeal and cannot be used to litigate or relitigate issues that were or could have been raised on direct appeal or in prior postconviction proceedings. See Denson v. State, 775 So. 2d 288, 290 (Fla. 2000); Breedlove v. Singletary, 595 So. 2d 8, 10 (Fla. 1992). No motion for rehearing will be entertained by this Court.

CANADY, COURIEL, GROSSHANS, FRANCIS, and SASSO, JJ., concur.

A True Copy Test:

John A. Tomasino

Clerk, Supreme Court

16 5/1/2024

SC2024-0316 5/1/2024

KS

Served:

JAMES A. ALLEN

PINELLAS CLERK

GENERAL COUNSEL DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

CASE NO.: SC2024-0316

Page Two

MARILYN FRANCES MUIR