need help now. I'm not going to say a live supremy court, u.s. much longer here at SHAWNEE CC or I.O.O.C prib n Stephen JUN 17 2024

From: Bobby Tatum Keaung

5HAWNEE CORRECTIONAL CENTER BEG5 State ROUTLIYH ECKT NienM, IIIINOIS, 67945

questions presented 1) whether

6-14 OFFICE OF THE CLERK

it's proper for the court to allowed to kill mel

To: Supreme court of the United States
Office of the Cherk
Washington, OC 20543 - 0001

Re: Bobby Tatom v. Ocuren gamoway, worden

10.23-7545 and related cases Tatom v. C/o Munteretal case no
23-2253 and Tatom v. cricige et al 3:24-or-on83-NTR

injunction transfer to another parson pursuant to, 18 U.S.C.3 36269) it is in public interest to Follow the law of the united state see App. A

Dear: Clerk Scott S. Harris & Writing This review and appendix U.S.C. A Taircuit rusing Thomas Go Monther etas Cose DD 28.2253, Request for Tro-injunctions review which was dany on April 2, 2024 and reheaving en bace was dany on 5.16-2024 been asking the Southwen District of Illinois and the 7th circuit for help because these state officials been champaign of remalations by high nonking officials to stop me for Fitting the actual innocence age no. 23.7545 in your court, which I had got to you in someone else name. During that time Frame I heen dany Law Libery, Legail mail, and Respondent Dance of alloway wavden

me by sticking poision up my back side when that Fixed

they sex val assaver me etc. see: related case no Totom v. Crioge etal 3:24 cv - 01183-NJR, which is on video 8-6-2023, which in Appeal Gotwheretok 23-2233, on 2-26-2024, and 3-26-2024, I report it to 7th cricvit court of Appeals, which on April 2, 2024, they stop the Appeal remand the case back with no directions which forther left me in danger.

in continuate et a 133-2253, sexual assault champaign retionations by Durren gamoway warden in cose no. 23.7545 the District court Failure to enter any order to the effect instead give defendants a doy whisther about the vide o fostage on 86-2023, in which on the 23 or april they

turn off the power for hours.

OZYN-DWD, Appear no. 23 -2253 in which the District court dead and made me Filed a new one case taken change et al 3:24-cv-01183 tusk in which I ask for u.s magic his judye in which NJR refused to Honor my right instead deny tro-injuction trans for reguest them I filed it again Doc. 17 in case no. 3:24-cv-01188 *NJR Plus this court refused to order the video footage of the brutal Attempted murder and repe of me on 8-3-223 at 514 punee CC this court has justification because its has not been 90 along yet prease help I need and emergency order from this court and order to be maded the gonver Attorney general the historic court and I.D.O.C Director knows but everyone sitting hack waiting on the fanily act them to kin me he re in which I was treaten by It. Austin, c/o criuge et at in Jahun v. criage atel. on 6-13-2024 and 6-15-2024

I hope this letter get to you in time before

they kill me.

sollet regaz

see APP A

Dillard, Shannon

From:

ilsd nef@ilsd.uscourts.gov

Sent:

Thursday, May 16, 2024 11:47 AM

To:

ilsd_nef@ilsd.uscourts.gov

Subject:

[External] Activity in Case 3:22-cv-02411-DWD Tatum v. Hunter et al USCA Mandate

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

U.S. District Court

Southern District of Illinois

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 5/16/2024 at 11:46 AM CDT and filed on 5/16/2024

Case Name:

Tatum v. Hunter et al

Case Number:

3:22-cv-02411-DWD

Filer:

Document Number: 52

Docket Text:

MANDATE of USCA as to [25] Notice of Appeal filed by Bobby Tatum (Attachments: # (1) Certified Order, # (2) Certified Denial of a Rehearing)(kdw)

3:22-cv-02411-DWD Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Jennifer Renee Powell jennifer.powell@ilag.gov, gls@ilag.gov, LeaAnn.Crouch@ilag.gov, Marie.Zaiz@ilag.gov, nathan.sloan@ilag.gov, stacy.lukes@ilag.gov

3:22-cv-02411-DWD Parties and Attorneys without registered email addresses requiring notice by other means:

Bobby Tatum K69478 SHAWNEE CORRECTIONAL CENTER 6665 Route 146 East Vienna, IL 62995

3-D-12

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description: Main Document **Original filename:** n/a



Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1047403380 [Date=5/16/2024] [FileNumber=5641137-0] [52cb456adc51f64453245e5477550f41395adb94906c71210e0491ff950f3c64608 7de2e9a22dcd42ae212528abda2343e1890c839da3c4eba0825fc3c597c44]]

Document description: Certified Order

Original filename:n/a

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1047403380 [Date=5/16/2024] [FileNumber=5641137-1] [4ccd021754b1a560e8c964e6d0dff4859c4656230123bdfd19541dde496b9d79ba5 b740058bf38bb1a274eeff6877aeed195776ac9dea434baa852e9a1af8f35]]

Document description: Certified Denial of a Rehearing

Original filename:n/a

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1047403380 [Date=5/16/2024] [FileNumber=5641137-2] [21aa4207ca2fe0c19f6f33671ebe1e7f6c3e62c33ca3a1b17b2a4e973810bf86bc5 b7d9280a15ca394fb39f5edf293073d70541293bcd5b82b8a78a8b07b3697]]

Case 3:22-cv-02411-DWD Document 52 Filed 05/16/24 Page 1 of 1 Page ID #300

Case: 23-2253 Document: 00714380232 Filed: 05/16/2024 Pages: 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Everett McKinley Dirksen United States Courthouse Room 2722 - 219 S. Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60604



Office of the Clerk Phone: (312) 435-5850 www.ca7.uscourts.gov

NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF MANDATE

May 16, 2024

To: Monica A. Stump UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Southern District of Illinois East St. Louis, IL 62201-0000

No. 23-2253	BOBBY TATUM, Plaintiff - Appellant
	v.
	CORRECTIONAL OFFICER HUNTER, et al., Defendants - Appellees
Originating Case In	formation:
District Court No: 3:	22-cv-02411-DWD
Southern District of	Illinois
District Judge David	W. Dugan

Herewith is the mandate of this court in this appeal, along with the Bill of Costs, if any. A certified copy of the opinion/order of the court and judgment, if any, and any direction as to costs shall constitute the mandate.

RECORD ON APPEAL STATUS:

No record to be returned

form name: c7_Mandate (form ID: 135)

Case 3:22-cv-02411-DWD Document 52-1 Filed 05/16/24 Page 1 of 2 Page ID #301

Case: 23-2253 Document: 00714380230 Filed: 05/16/2024 Pages: 2

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Everett McKinley Dirksen United States Courthouse Room 2722 - 219 S. Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60604

District Judge David W. Dugan



Office of the Clerk Phone: (312) 435-5850 www.ca7.uscourts.gov

CERTIFIED COPY

of the United State

Seventh Circuit

Court of Appeals for th

Teste:

ORDER

April 2, 2024

Before ILANA DIAMOND ROVNER, Circuit Judge DAVID F. HAMILTON, Circuit Judge MICHAEL Y. SCUDDER, Circuit Judge

BOBBY TATUM, Plaintiff - Appellant No. 23-2253 v. CORRECTIONAL OFFICER HUNTER, et al., Defendants - Appellees Originating Case Information: District Court No: 3:22-cv-02411-DWD Southern District of Illinois

The following is before the court: REQUEST FOR TRO-INJUNCTION BY THIS **COURT**, filed on March 26, 2024, by the pro se appellant.

This court has carefully reviewed the final order of the district court, the record on appeal, and appellant Bobby Tatum's brief and motion for injunctive relief. Based on this review, the court has determined that any issues that could be raised are insubstantial and that further briefing would not be helpful to the court's consideration of the issues. See Taylor v. City of New Albany, 979 F.2d 87, 87 (7th Cir. 1992); Mather v. Village of Mundelein, 869 F.2d 356, 357 (7th Cir. 1989) (court can decide case on motions papers and record where briefing would not assist the court and no member of the panel desires briefing or argument). The district court properly recognized that Tatum's motion for a preliminary injunction was not tailored to the claims it had permitted to proceed after screening, 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. The court appropriately denied the request

Case 3:22-cv-02411-DWD Document 52-1 Filed 05/16/24 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #302 Case: 23-2253 Document: 00714380230 Filed: 05/16/2024 Pages: 2

No. 23-2253 Page 2

without prejudice to renewal in a motion that linked the requested relief to the claims in the second amended complaint and that explained how the requested relief was compatible with the Prison Litigation Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3626(a). Tatum's brief argues that he could obtain evidence to support his request, and his motion for an injunction pending appeal asserts that recent events show a need for extraordinary relief, but regardless of the merit of these claims, Tatum's arguments only further demonstrate that the district court properly denied the original motion as premature. The appropriate forum for Tatum's arguments is in the district court, not this court.

Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that the motion for injunctive relief is **DENIED**, and the judgment of the district court is **SUMMARILY AFFIRMED**.

form name: c7_Order_3J (form ID: 177)

Case 3:22-cv-02411-DWD Document 52-2 Filed 05/16/24 Page 1 of 1 Page ID #303 Case: 23-2253 Document: 00714380231 Filed: 05/16/2024 Pages: 1

United States Court of Appeals

For the Seventh Circuit Chicago, Illinois 60604

May 8, 2024

Before

ILANA DIAMOND ROVNER, Circuit Judge

DAVID F. HAMILTON, Circuit Judge

MICHAEL Y. SCUDDER, Circuit Judge

No. 23-2253

BOBBY TATUM,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

Appeal from the United States District Court

CERTIFIED COPY

Seventh Circum

for the Southern District of Illinois

v.

No. 3:22-cv-02411-DWD

CORRECTIONAL OFFICER HUNTER, et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

David W. Dugan, *Judge*.

ORDER

Plaintiff-Appellant filed a petition for rehearing and rehearing *en banc* on April 22, 2024. No judge in regular active service has requested a vote on the petition for rehearing *en banc*, and all members of the original panel have voted to deny panel rehearing. The petition for rehearing and rehearing *en banc* is therefore **DENIED**.