No. 23A1101

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF UNITED STATES

RICHARD RYNN Petitioner/Appellant

v.

AVONDALE COURT CRAIG JENNINGS, STATE OF ARIZONA, CITY OF AVONDALE, SHAYLEY MATHEWS, PATRICK CAMUNEZ, FIRST TRANSIT Respondents /Appellees

APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI, TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARIZONA SUPREME COURT

> Richard Rynn 1299 E. Marlin Drive Chandler, AZ 85286 (520)510-6370 richardrynn@yahoo.com Petitioner/Appellant Pro Se



Application for Extension of Time to File Petition for Writ of Certiorari

Petitioner-Appellant-Plaintiff Richard Rynn respectfully requests a 60-day extension to file a petition for a writ of certiorari pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 30.4. This extension is sought following the disposition of the appeal by the Arizona Supreme Court on May 14, 2024. The current deadline for filing the petition for certiorari is August 12, 2024. Petitioner requests an extension until October 11, 2024.

On July 16, 2024, Petitioner contacted all Respondents via email and/or mail to ascertain their positions on the requested extension.

Respondents, the State of Arizona and the City of Avondale, have indicated no objection to the extension. To date, there has been no response from First Transit, Patrick Camunez, or Shayley Mathews.

The additional time is necessary due to the following complexities:

- Fraud, perjury, violations of labor laws, and lack of disclosure which have compromised the integrity of multiple state and federal court proceedings involved in this case.
- Newly discovered evidence from 2023 to 2024 concerning new accusations that have not been addressed by any court, including the Arizona Supreme Court. This evidence substantiates grounds for a new trial.
- Discrepancies between state and federal court rulings regarding whether the injunction is based on workplace or non-workplace

- actions. This contradiction voids the injunction and all related judgments.
- Personal involvement of District Court Judge John Tuchi in workplace-related accusations, complicating the case and necessitating further briefing.
- The case involves extensive legal proceedings across multiple state and federal courts, with a growing list of defendants due to alleged fraudulent acts by UHS and others, requiring comprehensive analysis and cross-referencing.
- Impartiality of State Court Judge Compromised by Ex Parte Actions with One Party.

Additionally, multiple motions are pending in related state court cases, and the failure of the originating Superior Court case No. LC2022-000265 to sign an order has delayed the hearing of the Applicant's complaint.

Petitioner needs more time to manage the extensive legal workload, which includes filing multiple briefs and certiorari petitions. This motion is not filed for purposes of delay but to ensure adequate time to prepare a thorough and comprehensive petition.

Jurisdiction exists in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).

Wherefore, Petitioner prays that this Court find good cause to extend the time to file a writ of certiorari to October 11, 2024, and to grant such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

this 27^{th} day of July 2024

 $\mathrm{By}:_$

RICHARD RYNN

APPENDIX TABLE OF CONTENTS

Arizona Supreme Court Case No. CV-24-0032 Filed 5/14/2024 in reference to district court pending motion to vacate in Ninth circuit case Case No.: 23-15607 (D.C. No. 2:18-CV-00414 JJT USDC AZ-PHOENIX)

Arizona Supreme Court Case No. CV-24-0017 Filed 1/30/2024

Arizona Court of Appeals Case No. 1 CA-CV 23-0092 Filed 1/18/2024 denied Rule 60 motion for new trial fraud on the court and motion to show cause.

Filed 1/12/2024 denied motion for clarification

Filed 12/12/2023 affirmed without review of Avondale case

Superior Court case No.CV-2022-011208 Filed 11/10/2022 dismissed without review of Avondale case

Rynn V Avondale court, First Transit, Et Al, Superior Court case No. LC2022-000265 Filed 8/26/2022 Unsigned Ruling transfer case to CV2022-011200 ((ID 7) 8/26/2022

Avondale city court
Craig Jennings workplace injunction
Filed Ex parte without notice and without an opportunity
to participate on May 13, 2019
Filed June 3, 2019 order continuance of ex parte without
notice

SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

) Arizona Supreme Court RICHARD RYNN, No. CV-24-0032-SA Petitioner, W) Court of Appeals Division One V . No. 1 CA-CV 23-0092 HON. CRAIG JENNINGS, JUDGE OF Maricopa County THE AVONDALE CITY COURT, Superior Court Respondent Judge, | No. CV2022-011208 Avondale Municipal Court No. P02019000235 CITY OF AVONDALE, et al., Real Parties in Interest.) FILED 5/14/2024

ORDER

On May 2, 2024, a panel composed of Chief Justice Brutinel, Justice Bolick, Justice Lopez and Justice Montgomery denied Petitioner Rynn's petition for review in this proceeding. On May 13, 2024, Petitioner filed a motion for reconsideration, which the Court dismissed on May 13, 2024 under the Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure Rule 22(f). On May 13, 2024, Petitioner filed a Request for en banc review seeking an order vacating the trial court injunction.

In an earlier proceeding, the Court of Appeals has, however, considered and rejected Petitioner's challenge to the injunction:

Judge Craig Jennings issued an injunction against harassment ("Injunction") against Rynn as a result of his harassment of a coworker at their mutual place of employment, First Transit. The Injunction was upheld after

Arizona Supreme Court No. CV-24-0032-SA Page 2 of 2

a hearing on the merits and Rynn appealed to the Maricopa County Superior Court where he fully litigated the matter.

Rynn has fully litigated his claims related to the Injunction and each has been finally determined. See Rynn v. First Transit, 21-16836, 2022 WL 17176487 (9th Cir. 2022); Rynn v. First Transit, Inc., 2:20-cv-01309-JJT, 2021 WL 3209665 (D. Ariz. 2021); Rynn v. First Transit, Inc., 2:21-cv-01755-DWL, 2021 WL 6050312 (D. Ariz. 2021); Rynn v. First Transit Inc., CV-21-01755-PHX-DWL, 2022 WL 287003 (D. Ariz. 2022).

Rynn v. Avondale Court, 1 CA-CV 23-0092, 2023 WL 8596484, at *2 (App. Dec. 12, 2023). This Court denied review on January 30, 2024 and denied Rynn's Motion for Reconsideration on January 31, 2024 in that proceeding. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED denying the request for en banc review.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED directing the Clerk to accept no further filings in this matter.

DATED this 14th day of May, 2024.

JOHN R. LOPEZ IV
Duty Justice

TO:
Richard Rynn
Stephen M Kemp
Lisa Maxie-Mullins
Brandon James Cartwright
R Shawn Oller
Kimberly Shappley
Hon. Craig L Jennings
Shayley Mathews

Additional material from this filing is available in the Clerk's Office.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A copy of this application was served by U.S. mail to Defendants listed below in accordance with Supreme Court Rule 22.2 and 29.3 or 33.2.

Office of the City Attorney City of Avondale Nicholle Harris, Lisa Maxie-Mullins, Stephen Kemp, Brandon Cartwight representing Avondale court Craig Jennings, and Avondale city 11465 West Civic Center Dr, Avondale, AZ 85323

Eryn M. McCarthy representing State of Arizona 2005 N. Central Ave, Phoenix, Az 85004 eryn.mccarthy@azag.gov

Shayley Mathews 27509 135th Avenue SE Kent, WA 98042 Pro Se

R. Shawn Oller
Kimberly Marie Shappley
Littler Mendelson PC - Phoenix, AZ
2425 E Camelback Rd., Ste. 900
Phoenix, AZ 85016-2907
602-474-3600, 949-705-3000
Email: kshappley@littler.com
Attorney for Defendant First Transit and Patrick Camunez

RESPECTFULLY submitted.

this 27th day of July 2024

RICHARD RYNN