

No \_\_\_\_\_

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Elbert Gaynor- PETITIONER

VS

Extended Stay - RESPONDENT(S)

**APPLICATION TO AN INDIVIDUAL JUSTICE**

**Petitioner requests application to an Individual Justice**

**Petitioner Elbert Gaynor Application to Extend The Time For The Filing Of Two Petitions  
For Writ of Certiorari**

**To The Honorable John Roberts as Circuit Justice for the United States Court of Appeals  
for the 4th Circuit**

**Background**

Petitioner's petitions are seeking writ of certiorari of a state court of last resort to the United States Supreme Court. This court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. 1331 to review a federal question under the United States Constitution involving due process of proper notice and equal protection during the trial court and appellate process. This court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. 1257 which allows this court to review final judgments of the highest state court. The judgment sought to be reviewed is a denial of a petition for writ of certiorari related to the circuit courts order dismissing an appeal which played a substantial factor in petitioner being prejudiced by a wrongful eviction. Petitioner who is pro se and homeless is dependent on public facilities hours of operation and is seeking to file an extension to file two petitions for writ of certiorari that would be due by May 27,2025.

### **Reasons For Granting Extension of time for filing Petition**

The specific reasons why an extension of time is justified are due to petitioners needing to get acclimated to the supreme court rules and perform legal research properly to prepare for two petitions to meet and exceed requirements stipulated in Supreme Court Rule 10. Other specific reasons why an extension of time is justified is stated in the statute 28 U.S.C. 2101(c) and Supreme Court Rules 13 section 5. Section (c ) and Rule 30 section 2. Extending the deadline would give him the best opportunity to prepare both petitions showing a state court of last resort decision on an important federal question conflicts with the United States Court of appeals and also showing that a state court has decided an important question of federal law that has not been but should be settled by this court and conflicts with relevant prior decisions of this court. Petitioner would show how his petitions are extremely important not only to the petitioner but to others similarly situated and in the nation's public interest.

### **Conclusion**

Petitioner requests this court to extend the time to file his petitions because of the preparation needed for both petitions and the fact his request was made within the 10 day time frame and is deemed appropriate under supreme court rules 13.5,30.2 and statute 28 U.S.C. 2101(c)

**Respectfully Submitted,**

**Elbert Gaynor**

**Address TBA**

**202-300-3874**

**[polymathlaw@gmail.com](mailto:polymathlaw@gmail.com)**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Elbert Gaynor', written in a cursive style.

ELBERT GAYNOR

v.

EXTENDED STAY AMERICA

\*  
IN THE  
\*  
SUPREME COURT  
\*  
OF MARYLAND  
\*  
Petition No. 138  
September Term, 2024  
\*  
(Cir. Ct. No. C-13-CV-23-000701)  
\*

O R D E R

Upon consideration of the Motion for Stay of Enforcement, Motion Application for Reargument, and Request for Waiver of Prepaid Appellate Costs, it is this 26<sup>th</sup> day of February 2025, by the Supreme Court of Maryland,

ORDERED that, as the September 25, 2024 order denying the petition for writ of certiorari was by unanimous vote of the Court, the motion for reargument is denied; and it is further

ORDERED that the motion to stay is denied; and it is further

ORDERED that the Request for Waiver of Prepaid Appellate Costs is granted.



/s/ Matthew J. Fader  
Chief Justice

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Elbert Gaynor- PETITIONER

VS

Extended Stay RESPONDENT(S)

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

I, Elbert Gaynor, swear or declare that on this date, May 13, 2025, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 section 3 and section 5 (a) and Rule 22. I have served a copy of the APPLICATION TO AN INDIVIDUAL JUSTICE on each party's counsel Ms Chic and Mr Harris by personal delivery. Elbert Gaynor certifies under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. The names and addresses of those served are Ms. Chick and Mr Harris at the address of 100 Light Street Suite 1300 Baltimore MD 21202 410-779-3910. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

**Executed on May 13, 2025**



(Signature)