

No. 24-929

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

CHRISTINE SOLEM,

Petitioner,

v.

SARAH TAYLOR,

Respondent.

**PETITION FOR REHEARING OF ORDER
DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF
CERTIORARI
TO THE SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA**

**Christine Solem,
Pro Se
1836 Polo Grounds Road
Charlottesville, Virginia 22911
(434) 973-6505**

RECEIVED

MAY 20 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF
THE UNITED STATES

CHRISTINE SOLEM,
Petitioner,

v.

SARAH TAYLOR,
Respondent.

PETITION FOR REHEARING OF ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT
OF CERTIORARI

Christine Solem respectfully petitions for a rehearing of the
Supreme Court of the United States Order of May 5, 2025 denying Solem's
petition for a writ of certiorari.

The Supreme Court of the United States ruled on April 7, 2025 in a Per Curiam opinion, 604 U.S. ____ (2025), No. 24A931, Donald J. Trump, President of the United States, ET AL. v. J.G.G., ET AL. concerning due process claims.

The matter concerned the detention and removal of Venezuelan nationals believed to be members of Tren de Aragua (TdA), an entity that the State Department has designated as a foreign terrorist organization.

The Supreme Court ruled on page 3 of the Per Curiam opinion that "the detainees subject to removal orders under the AEA" (Alien Enemies Act) "are entitled to notice and an opportunity to challenge their removal."

Petitioner/Solem became extremely disheartened when she learned of this case. So, a possible terrorist and non-citizen of the U.S. gets to use due process claims addressed by the Court, but Petitioner/Solem, a law-abiding and concerned citizen in an effort to address legitimate concerns about freedom, due process and the health care industry, does not?

This Court is certainly aware that problems exist in the nursing home industry, and the Courts need to continue to step up to address these issues. The Supreme Court did so in 2023 in Health and Hospital Corporation of Marion County Et Al. v. Talevski, decided June 8, 2023, and may have to do so in the coming years with respect to different scenarios.

Certainly Petitioner/Solem's due process claims are well-addressed in her Petition for a writ of certiorari and yet they were ignored in

the Courts below as well as in addition to the panel of the Supreme Court of Virginia.

CONCLUSION

It is understandable that the Supreme Court of the United States is very busy and cannot possibly take all the cases that are presented for consideration. However, Petitioner/Solem respectfully requests that in this case, particularly because of the due process issue, that the Supreme Court should send Solem's case back to the Supreme Court of Virginia for the whole Court to hear, not just a panel. Many thanks for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Christine Solem

Christine Solem, pro se
1836 Polo Grounds Road
Charlottesville, Va. 22911
(434) 973-6505

CERTIFICATE

CERTIFICATE AS REQUIRED BY RULE 44, PARAGRAPH NO. 2.

Petitioner/Christine Solem, pro se, hereby certifies that her
Petition for Rehearing is restricted to the grounds specified in Rule
44 paragraph 2, and is presented in good faith and not for delay.

Christine Solem, pro se
Christine Solem

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

No. 24-929

CHRISTINE SOLEM

Petitioner,

v.


SARAH TAYLOR

Respondent,

As required by Supreme Court Rule 33.1(h), I certify that *Solem's Petition to Rehear* Her writ of certiorari contains 268 words, excluding the parts of the petition that are exempted by Supreme Court Rule 33.1(d).

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 16, 2025.


Christine Solem, pro se
1836 Polo Grounds Rd.
Charlottesville, Va. 22911
(434) 973-6505

PROOF OF SERVICE /

No. 24-929

CHRISTINE SOLEM

Petitioner,

v.


SARAH TAYLOR

Respondent.

I hereby certify that on the 16th day of May, 2025 I hand-delivered three true booklet copies of the foregoing Solem's Petition to Rehear her Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court of the United State in the above referenced case to all opposing Counsel, namely, Michael E. Derdevyn and Ashley T. Hart. 530 East Main St. P.O. Box 2057, Charlottesville, Va. 22902.

All parties required to be served have been served.
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 16, 2025.


Christine Solem, pro se
1836 Polo Grounds Road
Charlottesville, Va. 22911
(434) 973-6505

