

No. 24-924

---

**In the Supreme Court of the United States**

---

WINSTON TYLER HENCELY,  
*Petitioner,*

*v.*

FLUOR CORPORATION; FLUOR ENTERPRISES, INC.;  
FLUOR INTERCONTINENTAL, INC.; FLUOR GOVERNMENT  
GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC.,  
*Respondents.*

---

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES  
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO DISPENSE WITH  
PREPARATION OF A JOINT APPENDIX**

---

James E. Butler, Jr.  
Daniel E. Philyaw  
Allison Brennan Bailey  
BUTLER PRATHER LLP  
P.O. Box 2962  
105 13th Street  
Columbus, GA 31901  
(404) 321-1700

W. Andrew Bowen  
Paul W. Painter III  
Stephen D. Morrison, III  
BOWEN PAINTER, LLC  
308 Commercial Dr.,  
Ste 100  
Savannah, GA 31406  
(912) 335-1909  
(continued on next page)

Tyler R. Green  
*Counsel of Record*  
CONSOVOY MCCARTHY PLLC  
222 S. Main St., 5th Fl.  
Salt Lake City, UT 84101  
(703) 243-9423  
tyler@consovoymccarthy.com

Frank H. Chang  
Thomas R. McCarthy  
Taylor A.R. Meehan  
Cody Ray Milner  
CONSOVOY MCCARTHY PLLC  
1600 Wilson Blvd., Ste. 700  
Arlington, VA 22209  
(703) 243-9423

July 18, 2025

---

Robert H. Snyder, Jr.  
CANNELLA SNYDER LLC  
315 W. Ponce de Leon Ave.,  
Suite 885  
Decatur, GA 30030  
(404) 800-4828

D. Josev Brewer  
LAW OFFICE OF D. JOSEV  
BREWER  
650 E. Washington Street  
Greenville, SC 29601  
(864) 383-5250

Beattie Ashmore  
BEATTIE B. ASHMORE, P.A.  
650 E. Washington Street  
Greenville, SC 29601  
(864) 467-1001

*Counsel for Petitioner*

In accordance with this Court's Rule 26.8, Petitioner respectfully seeks leave to dispense with the requirement of a joint appendix. Having conferred, counsel for Respondents agrees that a joint appendix is unnecessary.

The Court granted certiorari to consider the following question of law: Should *Boyle v. United Technologies Corp.* be extended to allow federal interests emanating from the Federal Tort Claims Act's combatant-activities exception to preempt state tort claims against a government contractor for conduct that breached its contract and violated military orders? Rule 26.1 provides that the Joint Appendix need not include any items that are already reproduced in the appendix to the petition for writ of certiorari. In this case, that appendix includes the relevant materials from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit and from the U.S. District Court of South Carolina. In Petitioner's view, no other portion of the record merits special attention such as would warrant the preparation and expense of a joint appendix, and preparation of a joint appendix would not materially assist the Court's consideration of the case.

For the foregoing reasons, the motion to dispense with the requirement of a joint appendix should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Tyler R. Green

*Counsel of Record*

CONSOVOY MCCARTHY PLLC

222 S. Main St., 5th Fl.

Salt Lake City, UT 84101

(703) 243-9423

tyler@consovoymccarthy.com

July 18, 2025

*Counsel for Petitioner*