

In the
Supreme Court of the United States

DOUGLAS HUMBERTO URIAS-ORELLANA;
SAYRA ILIANA GAMEZ-MEJIA; AND G.E.U.G.,
Petitioners,

v.

PAMELA BONDI, U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL,
Respondent.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIRST CIRCUIT

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO DISPENSE
WITH PREPARATION OF A JOINT APPENDIX**

Under Supreme Court Rule 26.8, Petitioners respectfully move for leave to dispense with the requirement of a joint appendix in this case. Respondent consents to this motion.

This case presents a purely legal question: Whether a federal court of appeals must defer to the judgment of the Board of Immigration Appeals that a given set of undisputed facts does not demonstrate mistreatment severe enough to constitute “persecution” under 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(42). The opinions and relevant orders of the agency and lower court are reproduced in the appendix to the petition for a writ of certiorari. The parties agree that no other portion of the record merits special attention warranting the preparation and expense of a joint appendix, and that

preparation of a joint appendix would not materially assist the Court in its consideration of the case.

July 24, 2025

Respectfully submitted,



GREGORY G. GARRE
CHRISTINE C. SMITH
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
555 Eleventh Street, NW
Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20004

NICHOLAS ROSELLINI
Counsel of Record
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
505 Montgomery Street
Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94111
415.395.8165
nick.rosellini@lw.com

KRISTIAN R. MEYER
KEVIN P. MACMURRAY
MACMURRAY & ASSOCIATES
Two Center Plaza
Suite 605
Boston, MA 02108

S.Y. JESSICA HUI
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
1271 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10020

Counsel for Petitioners