

---

**APPENDIX I**

---

**IN THE SECOND DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS  
OF THE STATE OF WISCONSIN**

**Case No. 2023AP2368W**

**[Filed March 26, 2024]**

---

State of Wisconsin ex rel. Ryan T.Thornton

v.

Circuit Court of Racine County (L.C. # 2019CF397)

---

**ORDER TO RYAN's 12/20/2023 PETITION FOR  
WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS**

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered  
the following opinion and order:

Before Gundrum, P.J., Neubauer and Grogan, JJ.

The defendant Ryan Thornton, has filed a pro  
se petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to  
State ex rel. Kyles v. Pollard, 2014 WI 38, 354 Wis.  
2d 626, 847 N.W.2d 805 and State v. Knight, 168  
Wis. 2d 509, 484 N.W.2d 540 (1992). He claims that  
his trial attorney was ineffective for failing to timely  
file a notice of appeal in Racine County case No.  
2019CF397 and asks that this court reinstate his  
right to appeal.

Pursuant to WIS. STAT. § 782.01 (2021-22), a  
person restrained of personal liberty may, subject to  
some restrictions, prosecute a writ of habeas corpus  
to obtain relief from such restraint. Thornton,

however, is no longer restrained of his liberty. Electronic circuit court docket entries, of which we may take judicial notice, see OLR v. Hudec, 2019 WI 39, ¶32, 386 Wis. 2d 371, 925 N.W.2d 540, reflect that Thornton completed his jail sentence and has been discharged from his concurrent terms of probation. Thornton's petition fails to identify any other means by which his liberty is currently restrained as a result of 2019CF397. Accordingly, his petition for a writ of habeas corpus has been rendered moot. See State ex rel. Olson v. Litscher, 2000 WI App 61, ¶1, 233 Wis. 2d 685, 608 N.W.2d 425 (reflecting that a petition for a writ of habeas corpus is rendered moot by inmate's release). We generally do not consider moot issues on appeal. See *id.*, ¶3. Although we make exceptions to that rule when appropriate, we see no reason to do so in this case.

IT IS ORDERED that the petition for habeas corpus is denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of this court is directed to redact all phone numbers and financial information contained within Thornton's December 20, 2023 petition for habeas corpus.

Samuel A. Christensen  
Clerk of Court of Appeals

---

APPENDIX J

---

IN THE SECOND DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS  
OF THE STATE OF WISCONSIN

Case No. 2023AP2368W

[Filed April 9, 2024]

---

State of Wisconsin ex rel. Ryan T.Thornton

v.

Circuit Court of Racine County (L.C. # 2019CF397)

---

ORDER TO RYAN's 3/26/2024 MOTION FOR  
RECONSIDERATION

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered  
the following opinion and order:

Before Gundrum, P.J., Neubauer and Grogan,  
JJ.

Ryan Thornton, pro se, moves for  
reconsideration of the order entered March 26, 2024  
denying his petition for a writ of habeas corpus.  
Thornton also moves to exceed the word limit for his  
reconsideration motion by approximately ninety-nine  
words. Good cause exists to grant Thornton's motion  
to exceed the word limit, and we will grant that  
motion. However, nothing in the materials presented  
alters our view of the case. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion to exceed the  
word limit for the motion for reconsideration is  
granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion for reconsideration is denied.

Samuel A. Christensen  
Clerk of Court of Appeals

---

APPENDIX K

---

IN THE SECOND DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS  
OF THE STATE OF WISCONSIN

Case No. 2023AP2368W

[Filed May 7, 2024]

State of Wisconsin ex rel. Ryan T.Thornton

v.

Circuit Court of Racine County (L.C. # 2019CF397)

**ORDER TO RYAN's 5/4/2024 AMENDED PETITION  
FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS**

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following opinion and order:

Before Gundrum, P.J.

By opinion and order dated March 26, 2024, we denied the petitioner's writ of habeas corpus. By order dated April 9, 2024, we denied the petitioner's motion for reconsideration. The petitioner then moved to add audio evidence to his motion for reconsideration. By order dated April 17, 2024, we took no action on the petitioner's request. We explained he "has no active petition before this

court." Thornton has now filed an amended petition for writ of habeas corpus. We take no action on this filing. We have already decided the original petition and a motion for reconsideration. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED no action is taken on the petitioner's filing.

Samuel A. Christensen  
Clerk of Court of Appeals

---

**APPENDIX L**

---

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF  
WISCONSIN**

**Case No. 2023AP2368W**

**[Filed August 2, 2024]**

---

Thornton

v.

Cir. Ct. of Racine Cnty. (L.C. # 2019CF397)

---

**ORDER TO RYAN's 5/8/2024 PETITION FOR  
REVIEW**

You are hereby notified that the Court entered the following order:

A petition for review pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 808.10 having been filed on behalf of petitioner petitioner, Ryan T. Thornton, pro se, and considered by this court:

IT IS ORDERED that the petition for review is denied, without costs; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of this court is directed to redact all phone numbers and financial information contained within Thornton's May 8, 2024 "Supplemental Appendix of Petition for Review."

Samuel A. Christensen  
Clerk of Supreme Court

**Additional material  
from this filing is  
available in the  
Clerk's Office.**