

24-7337

No. _____

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

DEC 12 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Michael Ross

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

Bluefield Area Transit, — RESPONDENT(S)
John Reeves, General Manager

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Michael Ross

(Your Name)

1100 Cherry Hill Ct. Apt. B

(Address)

Polson, Montana 59860

(City, State, Zip Code)

406-319-2090

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

I, Michael Ross, is wondering why Bluefield Area Transit John Reeves has not submitted my payment of \$500,000.

The City of Bluefield, the City Attorney Anthony Hixall modified the video for the benefit of John Reeves which made it the fraud of the court, right?

How do you do a wrong and expect a right? Jim Crow law is when you practice segregation, right? Obstruction to justice is when you modify or change something.

In the midst of my litigation to my case, I motioned for a hearing for mediation, I motioned for a jury trial, I also motioned for a summary judgment.

In the midst of a litigation if you have a substantial amount of ~~real~~ witnesses to state the fact of the matter and a video that was modified.

If the West Virginia state trooper Morris did not arrest me that day and his job is to protect the human rights of the people.

When General Manager John Reeves ^{kicked} ~~had kept~~ me off the bus after the Bluefield Police Department, John Morris officer had called to Bluefield Transit asking for me to get back on the bus. Isn't that wrong?

The question of the matter is if you agreed to a modified summary judgment in spite of the evidence that was obtained in this case. Don't you think you owe me my \$500,000?

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<i>Opinion of Court of Appeals</i>
APPENDIX B	<i>Opinion of US District Court</i>
APPENDIX C	
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER 14

Browder vs. Gayle

Gayle, 142 F. Supp. 707 (1956) ruled that segregation on public transportation is unconstitutional.

The 14th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution ensures equal protection under the laws for all persons within a state's jurisdiction. July 9, 1868.

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☒ reported at Google; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☒ reported at Google; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was September 23, 2024.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☒ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including April 2, 2025 (date) on June 2, 2025 (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- 42 U.S.C. § 2000a
- Title VI Statute, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d-2000d-7
- Department of Justice's Title VI Regulations (28 C.F.R. § 42.101 et seq.)
- Department of Justice's Title VI Coordination Regulations (28 C.F.R. § 42.401 et seq.)
- Fourteenth Amendment, section 1
- Second Amendment
The right to ~~possess and~~ defend your self
(Self-defense)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On March 23, 2023, I boarded the Bluefield Area Bus. I was denied public accommodations by two opposite race people and also assaulted by an unknown man. When Bluefield Trooper officer Morris came and investigated, he told me I did nothing ~~was~~ ~~was~~ wrong and was protecting myself. The next day, March 24, GEM. John Reeves prohibited me from riding the bus, my public accommodation.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

To review and look over the chances that I gave
Bluefield Area Transit - John Reeves to litigate the case
fairly, without having to pay me \$500,000.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael Ross

Date: May 14, 2025