

No. _____

24-7296

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED
APR 22 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

— Karen Hylton — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

United States of America "et al"

— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Courts of Appeals for the District of Columbia
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR
CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

— Karen Hylton —
(Your Name)

— 6004 3rd ST NW —
(Address)

Washington D.C. 20011
(City, State, Zip Code)

— 240-313-8803 —

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Common grounds for appeal include legal errors, procedural errors, new evidence, inadequate representation, and unreasonable prejudice. When the plaintiff has proven both a legal mistake and that the mistake impacted the decision. Compensation from a crime and being sentencing for committing a crime differs. Can a victim appeal a defendant's sentencing if they believe the trial court made a mistake of law or abused its discretion, or if there's an error in the facts? A victim who is a plaintiff who has the right to appear pro se in federal court is defined by statute 28 U.S.C. § do that plaintiff has the right to appeal a defendant sentencing in court?
2. Discrimination is a major part of society. The colored population are amongst the highest incarcerated. These police officer Sutton and Zabavsky are Caucasian. Mr. Karon Hylton is black. The years giving to colored offenders that have committed the same crime as Caucasian is unbalanced. Robert Hylton who is Karon Hylton's brother was falsely charged and given a lengthy sentencing but hasn't commit murder. Is there a law that states colored humans and Caucasian humans should be charged and sentenced separately. If there isn't a law, please explain why Mr. Robert Hylton was given a lengthy sentencing yet Sutton and Zabavsky commit murder yet they are given lenient sentencing
3. It states under the Department of Justice's rules governing petitions for executive clemency, is there is a minimum waiting period of five years after completion of sentence before anyone convicted of a federal offense becomes eligible to apply for a presidential pardon. Lying under oath by a government official including the president of the United States grounds for impeachment. President Trump mentions of these officers stating they were chasing an illegal. Karon Hylton isn't an illegal. President Trump also said these police officer has served 5 years. These police officer Sutton and Zabavsky hadn't served 5 years. Are the statement made by President Trump acknowledgements of offenders having to serve before a pardon can be granted and yet still granted such pardon? 28 CFR ss1. 1 et seq specially states MANDATORY serving of 5 years before applying or consider of a presidential pardon. Was mandatory stated to navigate confusion? Does police officers especially Caucasian police officers have the right to abuse authority to include murder, receive 5 years sentencing after a guilty conviction and be pardon without serving MANDATORY sentence of 5 Years? What messages is that sending to Humans of the United States and how does it violate the Constitution?

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

United States Attorney
Ed Matrin
asst. United states Attorney
Chrisellen R Kolbasst. United states Attorney
Counsels
Joseph M Hannon,Jr

RELATED CASES

United States of America
- VS-
Terrence Sutton and Andrew Zabavsky 1:21-cr-00598-PLF-1 (EFC)

Karen Hylton Hylton
- VS-
United States Court of Appeals
United States of America
RE: Sentencing of Terrence Sutton and Andrew Zabavsky , No.24-3118, (1-21-cv-00598-PLF)
entered 11-16-2024
United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Order of Dismissal dated - December 23,2023

APPENDIX B Denial of Rehearing dated January 24,2025
pgs.1-7

APPENDIX C Petition for Rehearing dated - December 23,2024

APPENDIX D Mandate filing dated February 2,2025
pages 1-5

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
Crime Victims Right Act (CVRA). 18 U S.C §	5
United States v. Monzel), 528,541	
Under the departments rules governing petitions for executive clemency. 28.CFR n 1.1 et seq 3,5,6	
UNDER art. II, § 2, cl. I; see Garland. 71 U.S. at 373	Appendix D Mandate., pg 5
Case # 24-3128 (error) case 24-3118 correct case stated repeatedly in petition. pg.3	Appendix

STATUTES AND RULES

Under the Department rules for governing petitions for executive clemency. 28CFR SSL I et an applicant must satisfy a Minium waiting period of five years before becomes eligible for al for a presidential pardon of his federal conviction.

18 U S.C. § 3771:

The Crime Victims' Rights Act (CVRA) provides a framework for enforcing crime victims' rights in federal court, including right to be reasonably protected from the accused. the right to notice of court proceedings. and the right to be reasonably heard at certain proceedings.

UNDER art. II, § 2 cl I; see Garland, 71 U S. at 373 President's authority to grant pardon is subject to the exception of cases of impeachment and that (w)ith that exception the power unlimited).

The right to appear pro se in federal court is defined by statute 28 U.S.C. §

OTHER

§ 2.1.1 EVIDENCE OF BIAS.

§ 2.1.2 EVIDENCE OF DEFECTS IN PERCEPTION AND RECALL.

§ 2.1.3 EVIDENCE OF BAD CHARACTER FOR TRUTHFULNESS.

§ 2.1.4 EVIDENCE OF PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS.

Under art. II, § 2, cl. 1; see Garland, 71 U.S. at 373

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[X] For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[X] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

[] For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was December 23, 2024.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: January 24, 2025, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix B.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.
2

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Under the Department rules for governing petitions for executive clemency, 28CFR ss1.1 et seq an applicant must satisfy a minimum waiting period of five years before becomes eligible for apply for a presidential pardon of his federal conviction.

2.1.1 EVIDENCE OF BIAS.

§ 2.1.2 EVIDENCE OF DEFECTS IN PERCEPTION AND RECALL

§ 2.1.3 EVIDENCE OF BAD CHARACTER FOR TRUTHFULNESS

§ 2.1.4 EVIDENCE OF PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS

UNDER art. II, § 2, cl. 1; see Garland, 71 U.S. at 373

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

"Well, we're looking at two police officers, actually, Washington police officers, who went after an illegal, and things happened and they ended up putting them in jail," he said. "They got five-year jail sentences. You know the case. And we're looking at that in order to give them — we got to give them a break."

The White House declined to elaborate on Trump's comments.

On Tuesday, he addressed the topic again.

"I'm going to be letting the two officers from Washington police, D.C. — I believe they're from D.C. — but I just approved it," he said, without specifying what he had approved. "They were arrested, put in jail for five years because they went after an illegal," Trump said. "And I guess something happened where something went wrong, and they arrested the two officers and put them in jail for going after a criminal. A rough criminal, by the way."

Trump pardons DC officers convicted in death and cover up

By Gabe Cohen, CNN

Updated 7:04 PM EST, Thu January 23, 2025

Former DC police officer fires back at Oath Keeper after

"No, the opposite. In fact, I'm going to be letting two officers from Washington police DC, I believe they're from DC, but I just approved it," Trump said. "They were arrested; put in jail for five years, because they went after an illegal, and I guess something happened where something went wrong and they arrested the two officers and put them in jail for going after a criminal. A rough criminal, by the way. And I'm actually releasing... no I'm the friend, I am the friend of police more than any president who's ever been in this office."

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I am asking the Supreme Court to grant this petition because Under the Department rules for governing petitions for executive clemency. 28CFR SSI .1 et seq an applicant must satisfy a minimum waiting period of five years before becomes eligible for apply for a presidential pardon of his federal conviction. Sutton and Zabavsky abuse their use of authority in the Northwest area of Washington DC for years, their abuse of authority was reported, but encourage by supervisor for years. This community reported their abuse for years. The chasing of Karon Hylton was racially motivated; this was not the first chase but the last of years of harassing this colored neighborhood and other Colored neighborhood. This MURDER of Karon Hylton wasn't the first murder but the first that Sutton has been convicted. Being a police officer, one should uphold the law and protect. Being a police officer or the President of the United States doesn't give RIGHTS TO DISCRIMINATE ON SKIN COLOR. The value and reason for this chase was \$3,126. Accountability for these police abuse of authority shouldn't be overlook because they are Caucasians and are police, they are HUMANS. The Mayor of Washington DC Mayor Bowser as well as citizen are aware of police brutally in Washington DC as well as other states. Accountability for MURDER after years of harassing the colored neighborhood, Sutton and Zabavsky are given WHITE PRIVILEGE. I am asking the supreme court to review this case as well as others that have allowed Caucasians police to DEBAUCHE Colored Humans lives. The sentencing of these police officers isn't justified for MURDER AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, LYING TO COVER-UP SUCH MURDER. There isn't a justifiable law that states a Victim can't appeal a defendant criminal sentencing, because such law doesn't exist, the victim is a COLORED HUMAN these officers Sutton and Zabavsky were pardon for murder. The pardoning of these Caucasian police officers due to the fact that they are Caucasian is unconstitutional and PREJUDICE. Karon Hylton is not an illegal immigrant my babies Robert and Karon was born in Washington DC February 29th 2000. He didn't commit any crime before, during and while these police officers illegally chased him for \$3,126. Years of harassing and murdering in colored community by government officials abuse of authority that are said to protect. If 5 years isn't mandatory before a presidential Pardon, why isn't 28CFR SSI .1 et seq written as such it specifically stated a Mandatory serving of 5 years before applying or being considered for a presidential pardon etc. Anyone should be able to receive a pardon without Mandatory 5 years of incarceration.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 4-22-2025